Guidance on meeting expectations of El Process safety management framework

Element 9: Process and operational status monitoring, and handover



GUIDANCE ON MEETING EXPECTATIONS OF EI PROCESS SAFETY MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK ELEMENT 9:

PROCESS AND OPERATIONAL STATUS MONITORING, AND HANDOVER

1st edition

May 2014

Published by ENERGY INSTITUTE, LONDON The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003 Registered charity number 1097899 The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 19 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status;
- the Science Council to award Chartered Scientist status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

Premier Oil RWE npower Saudi Aramco Scottish Power SGS Shell UK Oil Products Limited Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd SSE Statkraft Statoil Talisman Sinopec Energy UK Ltd
Total E&P UK Limited
Total UK Limited
Tullow Valero Vattenfall Vitol World Fuel Services

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2014 by the Energy Institute, London. The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003. Registered charity number 1097899, England All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 0 85293 681 8

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Electronic access to El and IP publications is available via our website, **www.energypublishing.org**. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the El Publications Team. e: **pubs@energyinst.org**

CONTENTS

		Page		
Publications in this series				
Foreword				
Acknowledgements				
1	Intro 1.1 1.2	duction 7 Process and operational status monitoring, and handover 7 Expectations for element 9: Process and operation status monitoring, and handover 7		
2	Arrar 2.1	Descriptions of actions for each step in the logical flow diagram 9		
3	Sugg 3.1	ested compliance checks and performance measures		
	3.2	(EIPSS rating)		
	3.3	Performance measure 3: Definition and implementation of handover arrangements - progress against schedule		
	3.4	Performance measure 4: Overdue process and operational status monitoring arrangements		
	3.5	Performance measure 5: Review and update of handover arrangements overdue 30		
	3.6 3.7	Performance measure 6: Excursions outside SOE		
	3./	status monitoring and handover arrangements		
	3.8	Performance measure 8: Overdue field observations		
	3.9	Performance measure 9: Incident root causes which are failures of element 9 34		
Anne Anne		References and bibliography35A.1References35		
		A.2 Further resources		
Anne	хВ	Glossary of acronyms and abbreviations		
Anne	хC	Mapping of process steps to EI <i>PSM framework</i> expectations		
Anne	хD	Example report template: management and supervisory field observation 38		

PUBLICATIONS IN THIS SERIES

Guidance on meeting expectations of El Process safety management framework

- Element 1: Leadership, commitment and responsibility
- Element 2: Identification and compliance with legislation and industry standards
- Element 3: Employee selection, placement and competency, and health assurance
- Element 4: Workforce involvement
- Element 5: Communication with stakeholders
- Element 6: Hazard identification and risk assessment
- Element 7: Documentation, records and knowledge management
- Element 8: Operating manuals and procedures
- Element 9: Process and operational status monitoring, and handover
- Element 10: Management of operational interfaces
- Element 11: Standards and practices
- Element 12: Management of change and project management
- Element 13: Operational readiness and process start-up
- Element 14: Emergency preparedness
- Element 15: Inspection and maintenance
- Element 16: Management of safety critical devices
- Element 17: Work control, permit to work and task risk management
- Element 18: Contractor and supplier, selection and management
- Element 19: Incident reporting and investigation
- Element 20: Audit, assurance, management review and intervention

FOREWORD

Process safety management (PSM) is vital to ensuring safe and continued operations in major accident hazard (MAH) organisations. However, PSM is a multifaceted process, and a number of high profile incidents since 2005 have suggested that without a holistic understanding of the various factors required for effective PSM it can be difficult and inefficient to ensure, and measure, performance.

In 2010 the Energy Institute (EI) published *High level framework for process safety management (PSM framework*), which aimed to define what PSM should involve. Divided into four focus areas (process safety leadership, risk identification and assessment, risk management, and review and improvement), and sub-divided into 20 'elements', it sets out a framework of activities MAH organisations should undertake to ensure PSM. Each element lists a number of high level activities organisations should meet (expectations).

El *Guidance on meeting expectations of El Process safety management framework* is a series of 20 publications (guidelines) that build on the *PSM framework*. Commissioned by the El Process Safety Committee (PSC) each guideline captures and presents current industry good practices and guidance on how organisations can meet the expectations set out in each element of the *PSM framework*. Each guideline includes:

- a logical flow diagram of activities (steps) the organisation should undertake to manage that element;
- descriptions of those steps;
- example performance measures (PMs) to measure the extent to which key steps have been undertaken;
- a list of further resources to help undertake key steps;
- a table mapping the steps against the expectations in the PSM framework, and
- annexes of useful information.

Readers implementing the guidance in this publication should be aware of the *PSM framework* and the other publications in this series, particularly if they are a manager with oversight of the wider implementation of PSM.

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the EI and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the EI or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the EI and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted through the Technical Department, Energy Institute, 61 New Cavendish Street, London, W1G 7AR. e: technical@energyinst.org

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

El *Guidance on meeting expectations of El Process safety management framework* was commissioned by the El Process Safety Committee (PSC) and prepared by Martin Ball (Bossiney Consulting). During this project, PSC members included:

John BriggsKuGus CarrollCaJonathan CarterMJames CoullToPeter DavidsonUIGraeme EllisAIDr David FirthCIPeter Gedge (Chair)BFJohn HendersonCIBob KilfordEIKing Lee (Vice-Chair)LIKeith LewisToPaul McCullochE.SreeRaj NairCIPeter O'TooleTuJohn PondCaDr Niall RamsdenRaAndrew RobertsonNaToby St.LegerCa	EB&I Lummus (BCECA) EB&I Lummus (BCECA) EDF Energy loyd's Register Total E&P UK Ltd Consultant Consultant Resource Protection International Nexen ConocoPhillips
Dr Mark Scanlon (Secretary) Er	Energy Institute Eni UK

The following additional individuals are acknowledged for commenting on the drafts for consultation of this series of publications:

Lee Allford	European Process Safety Centre
John Armstrong	E.ON
Mike Beanland	ABB
Amanda Cockton	Health and Safety Executive
Peter Davidson	UKPIA
Edwin Ebiegbe	Consultant
Allen Ormond	ABB

Technical editing was carried out by Stuart King (EI) and assisted by Sam Daoudi (EI).

Affiliations are correct at the time of contribution.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROCESS AND OPERATIONAL STATUS MONITORING, AND HANDOVER

This guideline sets out good practice in establishing the necessary process and operational status monitoring to ensure that key aspects of the organisation's operations are appropriately monitored on a day-to-day or continuous basis. It also sets out good practice for establishing appropriate handover arrangements between shift crews and work groups.

Comprehensive process and operational status monitoring and effective handover between work groups are essential to assure the continued integrity of the organisation's operations. Management should ensure that the process and operational status monitoring, and handover requirements, are defined, understood and carried out.

1.2 EXPECTATIONS FOR ELEMENT 9: PROCESS AND OPERATIONAL STATUS MONITORING, AND HANDOVER

Element 9 of El *High level framework for process safety management (PSM framework)* describes eight expectations – arrangements and processes that organisations should (to an appropriate degree) have in place in order to ensure they are managing this aspect of process safety management (PSM) appropriately:

'Overview: Comprehensive process and operational status monitoring and effective handover between work groups is essential to assure the continued integrity of the organisation's operations.

Management must ensure that the process and operational status monitoring, and handover requirements are defined, understood and carried out.

- **9.1** Safe operating limits (SOLs) are defined for all assets, commensurate with risk.
- **9.2** There are procedures to ensure that SOLs are regularly reviewed and kept up to date as living systems.
- **9.3** Operating parameters are systematically monitored vs. SOLs.
- **9.4** Excursions beyond safe operating envelope (SOEs) are identified and followed up.
- **9.5** Arrangement for SOLs and their significance are understood and monitoring requirements are followed; understanding of arrangements and compliance with them is regularly tested.
- **9.6** Handover arrangements are defined, understood and implemented commensurate with risk, covering handovers such as:
 - operational and maintenance shift handover;
 - successive work groups, and
 - job positions (one to another).

- **9.7** Arrangements for process and operational status monitoring, and handover are understood and followed; understanding of arrangements and compliance with them is regularly tested.
- **9.8** Compliance and performance trends are reviewed by specified levels of management.'

This guideline provides a process, along with guidance, to help organisations meet these expectations. It also suggests a number of compliance checks and performance measures (PMs) to measure the extent to which key activities involved in meeting these expectations have been or are being undertaken.