Practical guidance on technologies for fieldscreening hydrocarbons and associated metals in soil and water



PRACTICAL GUIDANCE ON TECHNOLOGIES FOR FIELD-SCREENING HYDROCARBONS AND ASSOCIATED METALS IN SOIL AND WATER

August 2014

Published by **ENERGY INSTITUTE, LONDON**

The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 19 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The El's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the El addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status;
- the Science Council to award Chartered Scientist status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

BG Group Premier Oil
BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd RWE npower
BP Oil UK Ltd Saudi Aramco
Centrica Scottish Power

Chevron SGS

ConocoPhillips Ltd Shell UK Oil Products Limited

Dana Petroleum Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd

DONG Energy SSE
EDF Energy Statkraft
ENI Statoil

E. ON UK Talisman Sinopec Energy UK Ltd

ExxonMobil International Ltd Total E&P UK Limited International Power Total UK Limited

Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd

Maersk Oil North Sea UK Limited

Murco Petroleum Ltd

Nexen

Valero

Vattenfall

Vitol

Phillips 66 World Fuel Services

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2014 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 0 85293 704 4

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, **www.energypublishing.org**. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.

e: pubs@energyinst.org

CONTENTS

				Page
Execu	tive su	ummar	y	3
Ackno	owled	gemen [.]	ts	4
1	1.1		ound and development of field screening technologies and monitoring –	5
	1.1		ves and benefits	5
	1.2		al use of field screening technology	
	1.3		echnologies and monitoring compared to laboratory analysis	
	1.4		nce on sampling and measurements	
		1.4.1	Soil sampling	
		1.4.2	Soil sampling techniques	
		1.4.3	Groundwater sampling	
		1.4.4	Groundwater sampling techniques	
		1.4.5	Surface water	
		1.4.6	Surface water sampling techniques	11
	1.5	Industr	y requirements	12
	1.6	Import	ance of a QA/QC programme	12
2	Gene	ric class	sification and description of general operating principles	14
	2.1		ethods for detecting hydrocarbons in soil and water	
		2.1.1	Headspace analysis	15
		2.1.2	Turbidimetric test kits	18
		2.1.3	Colorimetric chemical analysis	18
		2.1.4	Infrared spectrophotometry	19
		2.1.5	Vis-NIR spectrophotometer	
		2.1.6	UV absorption/transmission	
		2.1.7	Nephelometry	
		2.1.8	Fluorescence	
		2.1.9	Raman spectroscopy	
			Sensor arrays	
			Immunoassay	
			Physical screening methods	
		2.1.13	Summary of methods and media investigated (soil, water, groundwater) - for hydrocarbons	
	2.2	Test me	ethods for detecting metals in soil and water	
	2.2	2.2.1	Field analysis of metals in water	
		2.2.2	Field analysis of metals in soil and sediment	27
		2.2.3	Summary of methods and media investigated (soil, water, groundwater) -	-
			for metals	
	2.3	Summa	ary of detection and quantification methods for hydrocarbon and metals.	
		2.3.1	Hydrocarbons	
		2.3.2	Heavy metals.	
3	Revie	w of a	vailable technologies	35

4	Field screening method selection criteria								
	4.2	Considerations for using field screening equipment outside the UK							
	4.3	Limits and restrictions of available technologies							
	4.4	General quality assurance protocols for field measurements							
	4.5	Consideration of cost-benefit							
		4.5.1 Cost-benefit factors to consider	47						
5	Case	study examples	50						
6	Deci	sion support flow charts	58						
	6.1	Choice of decision support flow chart							
		6.1.1 Decisions for determining hydrocarbons in soil							
		6.1.2 Decisions for determining associated metals in soil							
		6.1.3 Decisions for determining hydrocarbons in water	67						
		6.1.4 Decisions for determining associated metals in water	71						
7	Cone	lusions	75						
8	Refe	rences	76						
Ann	0.00								
Annexes Annex A Annex B Annex C		Common hydrocarbon compounds							
						Ann	ex D	Examples of currently available instruments	86

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A wide variety of field screening technologies exists for assessing contamination of soil and water with hydrocarbons and associated metals. These technologies range in complexity from simple, qualitative indicators through to advanced, quantitative measurements. This document provides practical guidance to field screening technologies for rapidly assessing hydrocarbon and metal contamination in soils and water. The guide is based on currently available techniques, with reference to the scientific basis, reliability, detection limits and precision of the methods and instruments concerned. The document outlines how to select an appropriate technique according to circumstances, and how and when this is best deployed. It also explains the limits and restrictions of available technologies and any quality assurance measures that should be put in place to verify field-based data.

After introducing the topics covered, the document briefly gives guidance on field sampling and monitoring methods and provides direction to the relevant later parts of the guide. The physical and statistical basis of sampling is described for each medium.

The document describes all field testing and screening methods found in an extensive review of peer reviewed, grey and marketing literature and a person-to-person survey. The methods are categorised into generic classes and a basic overview of operating principles is given. The strategy for selecting an appropriate analytical technique is described, based on the target analytes, estimation of the concentration range, data quality objectives (quantitative or qualitative), the required level of operator expertise, the capabilities and limitations of the screening methods, and cost-benefit factors. Much of the material is presented in tabular form for easy reference.

The findings are integrated in flow charts to facilitate a clear decision making process for field-based technical staff. The flow charts are separated by contaminant type (hydrocarbons/associated metals), the matrix to be analysed (soil/water), and the characteristics of the technology (sensitivity, specificity, ease of use, time of analysis, cost and reliability). Five flow charts are given, structured in a simple stepwise sequence, based on decision boxes, to allow the most appropriate technology to be selected. The master flow chart leads to four subsidiary flow charts for soils, water, hydrocarbons or metals. The flow charts lead to a recommended method.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The report was commissioned by the Energy Institute's (EI's) Soil Waste and Groundwater Group. The work was undertaken by Cranfield University.

The following members and former members of the El's Soil Waste and Groundwater Group have been associated with the production of this report:

Tammy Brantley GB-Oils Ruth Chippendale Shell Nigel Clarke Shell

Martyn Dunk ExxonMobil
Paola Franchi former Rontec

Beate Hildenbrand El Martyn Lambson BP

The EI wishes to express its gratitude for the valuable contributions made by the steering panel during the course of the project.

The EI also wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the project team at Cranfield University. In particular, the EI would like to acknowledge and thank Dr Lynda Deeks of Cranfield University as the principal author. The EI would also like to thank the following contributors from Cranfield University: Professor Mark Tibbett, Dr Frederic Coulon, Professor Guy Kirk, Dr Abdul Mouazen, Dr Ibtisam Tothill and Dr Chris Walton.

The EI also gratefully acknowledge the contributions made by all those within the industry who gave up their time to be interviewed.

1 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this guide is to enable those who wish to undertake field-based screening for hydrocarbons and associated metal contamination in soil and water, to do so from a more informed position and therefore make more appropriate decisions about which methods to use in a given situation.

This document is based on information collected from within the industry and from related documentation including scientific publications, trade journals, trade reports and instrument manufacturers' literature. Relevant findings from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) who undertook a comprehensive review in 1999 of field screening methods used to determine petroleum hydrocarbons in soil are included (ADEC, 1999). However, it should be noted that commercial availability as well as developments in technology have occurred since the publication of the ADEC review, which is accounted for in this report. The information has been gathered from a global source but is pertinent to the UK industry.

The use of trade names is for descriptive purposes only and should not be interpreted as any form of product endorsement.

1.1 BACKGROUND AND DEVELOPMENT OF FIELD SCREENING TECHNOLOGIES AND MONITORING – OBJECTIVES AND BENEFITS

Field screening methods offer a cost- and time-effective means of defining levels and distribution of hydrocarbon and associated metal contamination in soil and water on-site, before undertaking more costly off-site laboratory chemical analysis (Barnes, 2009). That is, it provides a means of undertaking, in real-time, an initial survey of contamination across a site that can be used to inform a more strategic sampling campaign in which more accurate field representative samples for laboratory analyses can be efficiently targeted for collection.

There is a wide range of field screening methods and techniques. None of the techniques measures the entire range of petroleum hydrocarbons or metals. The range of petroleum hydrocarbons or metals detected depends on the samples and analytical method used. Therefore, choosing which method to use is an important process to enable effective screening for hydrocarbon and metal contamination in soil and water. In order to select an appropriate technique it is important to have an understanding of hydrocarbons and associated metals. A brief description of the range of hydrocarbons and metals associated with hydrocarbons is given in Annexes A and B

It is also important to understand the type and quality of data that will be generated by field screening technologies, and interpretation of the data generated should be carefully evaluated before conclusions are drawn (ADEC, 1999). Depending on which method(s) is used, it is possible to achieve qualitative, semi-quantitative and quantitative results using field screening methods. In some cases, the accuracy of field screening technology is approaching that achievable only previously from laboratory analysis. However, laboratory analysis may still be required in order to attain legally recognised measurements. Nonetheless, it is also pertinent to remember that laboratory methods may themselves be subject to error, to which screening techniques are calibrated, with associated errors.

Field measuring and screening equipment range from simple colorimetric test kits to sophisticated portable versions of laboratory instrumentation. Most of these approaches are based on standard methods.

This guidance document:

- Reviews current technology.
- Suggests a classification of field screening methods based on fundamental operating principles.
- Indicates the scope and applicability of different methods.
- Provides a basis for selection of appropriate screening tools based on decision support charts.
- Outlines the limitations of the various approaches.

Thereby, it helps to ensure an appropriate, cost-effective and efficient deployment and sampling strategy. Table 1 signposts the relevant techniques. It needs to be noted that some techniques may fall into more than one category.

Table 1 Summary of field screening methods

Data	Method	Measure- ment			Page
			Soil*	Water*	
Qualitative (presence or absence)	Detector tubes	Direct	VOC/ SVOC	VOC/ SVOC	16
	Flame ionisation detectors (FID)	Direct	VOC/ SVOC	VOC/ SVOC	17
	Photoionisation detectors (PID)	Direct	VOC	VOC	17
	Colorimetric	Direct	SVOC/ Metals	SVOC/ Metals	18; 26
	Ultraviolet (UV) fluorescence	Direct	SVOC	SVOC	20
	Laser-induced fluorescence (LIF)	Direct	VOC/ SVOC	VOC/ SVOC	21
	Physical screening		SVOC	SVOC	25
Semi-quantitative (order of magnitude and/or specific	Detector tubes	Direct	VOC/ SVOC	VOC/ SVOC	16
compounds)	FID	Direct	VOC/ SVOC	VOC/ SVOC	17
	PID	Direct	VOC	VOC	17
	Colorimetric	Direct	SVOC	SVOC	18; 26
	Fibre Optic Chem sensors	Direct	VOC/ SVOC	VOC/ SVOC	23
	Immunoassay	Direct	SVOC	SVOC	24
	Gold nanoprobe detection	Direct		Metals	27