# Guidance on meeting expectations of El *Process safety management framework*

Element 10: Management of operational interfaces



## GUIDANCE ON MEETING EXPECTATIONS OF EI PROCESS SAFETY MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK

### **ELEMENT 10: MANAGEMENT OF OPERATIONAL INTERFACES**

1st edition

October 2014

# Published by **ENERGY INSTITUTE, LONDON**

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003 Registered charity number 1097899 The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 19 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The El's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the El addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status;
- the Science Council to award Chartered Scientist status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

BG Group Premier Oil
BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd RWE npower
BP Oil UK Ltd Saudi Aramco
Centrica Scottish Power

Chevron SGS

ConocoPhillips Ltd Shell UK Oil Products Limited

Dana Petroleum Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd

DONG Energy SSE
EDF Energy Statkraft
ENI Statoil

E. ON UK Talisman Sinopec Energy UK Ltd

ExxonMobil International Ltd Total E&P UK Limited International Power Total UK Limited

Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd Tullow
Maersk Oil North Sea UK Limited Valero
Murco Petroleum Ltd Vattenfall
Nexen Vitol

Phillips 66 World Fuel Services

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2014 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 0 85293 688 7

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, **www.energypublishing.org**. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.

e: pubs@energyinst.org

## **CONTENTS**

		Page	
Publications in this series			
Foreword			
Acknowledgements			
1	1.1 1.2	Duction7Operational interfaces7Expectations for element 10: Management of operational interfaces7	
2	Arran 2.1	gements for meeting expectations	
3	Suggrand 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	Performance measure 1: Element compliance and implementation status (EIPSS rating)	
Anne	xes		
Anne	хА	References and bibliography.27A.1References27A.2Further resources27	
Anne	х В	Glossary of acronyms and abbreviations	
Anne	x C	Mapping of process steps to EI <i>PSM Framework</i> expectations 29	
Anne	x D	Example report template: management and supervisory field observation 30	

### **PUBLICATIONS IN THIS SERIES**

Guidance on meeting expectations of El Process safety management framework

- Element 1: Leadership, commitment and responsibility
- Element 2: Identification and compliance with legislation and industry standards
- Element 3: Employee selection, placement and competency, and health assurance
- Element 4: Workforce involvement
- Element 5: Communication with stakeholders
- Element 6: Hazard identification and risk assessment
- Element 7: Documentation, records and knowledge management
- Element 8: Operating manuals and procedures
- Element 9: Process and operational status monitoring, and handover
- Element 10: Management of operational interfaces
- Element 11: Standards and practices
- Element 12: Management of change and project management
- Element 13: Operational readiness and process start-up
- Element 14: Emergency preparedness
- Element 15: Inspection and maintenance
- Element 16: Management of safety critical devices
- Element 17: Work control, permit to work and task risk management
- Element 18: Contractor and supplier, selection and management
- Element 19: Incident reporting and investigation
- Element 20: Audit, assurance, management review and intervention

### **FOREWORD**

Process safety management (PSM) is vital to ensuring safe and continued operations in major accident hazard (MAH) organisations. However, PSM is a multifaceted process, and a number of high profile incidents since 2005 have suggested that without a holistic understanding of the various factors required for effective PSM it can be difficult and inefficient to ensure, and measure, performance.

In 2010 the Energy Institute (EI) published *High level framework for process safety management* ('*PSM framework*'), which aimed to define what PSM should involve. Divided into four focus areas (process safety leadership, risk identification and assessment, risk management, and review and improvement) and sub-divided into 20 'elements', it sets out a framework of activities MAH organisations should undertake to ensure PSM. Each element lists a number of high level activities organisations should meet (expectations).

El Guidance on meeting expectations of El Process safety management framework is a series of 20 publications ('guidelines') that build on the PSM framework. Commissioned by the El Process Safety Committee (PSC) each guideline captures and presents current industry good practices and guidance on how organisations can meet the expectations set out in each element of the PSM framework. Each guideline includes:

- A logical flow diagram of activities ('steps') the organisation should undertake to manage that element.
- Descriptions of those steps.
- Example performance measures (PMs) to measure the extent to which key steps have been undertaken.
- A list of further resources to help undertake key steps.
- A table mapping the steps against the expectations in the *PSM framework*.
- Annexes of useful information.

Readers implementing the guidance in this publication should be aware of the *PSM framework* and the other publications in this series, particularly if they are a manager with oversight of the wider implementation of PSM.

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the EI and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the EI or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the EI and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the EI nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted through the Technical Department, Energy Institute, 61 New Cavendish Street, London, W1G 7AR. e: technical@energyinst.org

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

El Guidance on meeting expectations of El Process safety management framework was commissioned by the Energy Institute (El) Process Safety Committee (PSC) and prepared by Martin Ball (Bossiney Consulting). During this project, PSC members included:

Martin Ball Bossiney Consulting
David Bleakley ConocoPhillips

John Brazendale Health and Safety Executive

Kuwait Petroleum International

Gus Carroll Centrica
Jonathan Carter Marsh
James Coull Total
Peter Davidson UKPIA
Graeme Ellis ABB

Dr David Firth Chilworth Group

Peter Gedge (Chair) BP

John Henderson CB&I Lummus (BCECA)

Bob Kilford EDF Energy
King Lee (Vice-Chair) Lloyd's Register

Paul McCulloch E.ON
SreeRaj Nair Chevron
Peter O'Toole Tullow Oil
John Pond Consultant

Dr Niall Ramsden Resource Protection International

Andrew Robertson Nexen

Toby St.Leger ConocoPhillips
Dr Mark Scanlon (Secretary) Energy Institute

Don Smith Eni UK

The following additional individuals are acknowledged for commenting on the drafts for consultation of this series of publications:

Lee Allford European Process Safety Centre

John Armstrong E.ON Mike Beanland ABB

Amanda Cockton Health and Safety Executive

Edwin Ebiegbe Consultant

Allan Ormond ABB

Technical editing was carried out by Stuart King (EI), assisted by Sam Daoudi (EI).

### 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 OPERATIONAL INTERFACES

Operational interfaces with third parties, who provide or receive services, utilities, or products, may impact the organisation's operations. Management should ensure that operational interfaces with third parties are identified, assessed and appropriately managed.

The implications of a failure of these interfaces should be understood. Necessary measures should be identified and implemented:

- To ensure that the interfaces are appropriately managed in order to minimise the likelihood of a failure.
- To ensure that any issues arising are effectively managed in order to minimise the consequences of any failure.

#### 1.2 EXPECTATIONS FOR ELEMENT 10: MANAGEMENT OF OPERATIONAL INTERFACES

Element 10 of El High level framework for process safety management ('PSM framework') describes four expectations – arrangements and processes that organisations should (to an appropriate degree) have in place in order to ensure they are managing this aspect of process safety management (PSM) appropriately:

'Overview	Operational interfaces with third parties, who provide or receive services, utilities, or products may impact the organisation's operations. Management must ensure that operational interfaces with third parties are identified, assessed and appropriately managed.
10.1	Operational interfaces with third parties are identified, documented and risk assessed.
10.2	Management arrangements are established proportionate to the risk associated with failure of the operational interface.
10.3	Arrangements for management of operational interfaces are understood and followed; understanding of arrangements and compliance with them is regularly tested.
10.4	Compliance and performance trends are reviewed by specified levels of

This guideline provides a process, along with guidance, to help organisations meet these expectations. It also suggests a number of compliance checks and performance measures (PMs) to measure the extent to which key activities involved in meeting these expectations have been or are being undertaken.

management.'