Model code of safe practice

Part 15

Area classification for installations handling flammable fluids

4th edition



MODEL CODE OF SAFE PRACTICE PART 15 AREA CLASSIFICATION FOR INSTALLATIONS HANDLING FLAMMABLE FLUIDS

4th edition

June 2015

Published by ENERGY INSTITUTE, LONDON The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003 Registered charity number 1097899 The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 20 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status;
- the Science Council to award Chartered Scientist status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

BG Group	RWE npower
BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd	Saudi Aramco
BP Oil UK Ltd	Scottish Power
Centrica	SGS
Chevron	Shell UK Oil Products Limited
ConocoPhillips Ltd	Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd
DONG Energy	SSE
EDF Energy	Statkraft
ENI	Statoil
E. ON UK	Talisman Sinopec Energy UK Ltd
ExxonMobil International Ltd	Total E&P UK Limited
International Power	Total UK Limited
Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd	Tullow
Maersk Oil North Sea UK Limited	Valero
Nexen	Vattenfall
Phillips 66	Vitol
Premier Oil	World Fuel Services

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2015 by the Energy Institute, London. The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003. Registered charity number 1097899, England All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 0 85293 717 4

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to El and IP publications is available via our website, **https://publishing.energyinst.org**. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the El Publications Team. e: **pubs@energyinst.org**

CONTENTS

	Pa	ige
Forew	vord	. 8
Key te	echnical changes	. 9
Ackno	owledgements	10
Overv	/iew	11
1	Introduction1.1Scope1.2Limits of applicability1.3Application of this Model Code1.4Area classification management1.5Key terms1.6Ventilation1.7Buoyancy of release	13 13 15 17 17 21 21
2	The technique of area classification2.1Introduction2.2Data required for the assessment.2.3Application2.4Area classification approaches2.5The area classification drawing2.6Apparatus sub-group and temperature class (T class)	23 23 24 24 25 26
3	The point source approach for classification of individual sources of release3.1Scope3.2Explanation of the 'point source' concept as used in this Model Code3.3Methodology3.4Point Sources3.5Application of the point source approach to direct examples3.6Equipment where release hole size is known and independent of release frequency3.7Equipment where hole size is frequency dependent3.8Liquid pools, sumps, interceptors, separators3.9Shape factors and hazard radii for pressurised releases	28 28 28 33 33 33 39 41 43
4	Effect of ventilation on area classification4.1Introduction4.2Outdoor areas4.3Enclosed areas4.4Design considerations4.5Effect of ventilation on classification of enclosed areas4.6Enclosed areas with no source of internal release within or adjacent to a hazardous area	45 46 50 54 56 57

MODEL CODE OF SAFE PRACTICE PART 15: AREA CLASSIFICATION FOR INSTALLATIONS HANDLING FLAMMABLE FLUIDS

Annexes Classification and categorisation of petroleum and flammable fluids 59 Annex A El Classification of petroleum, based (except for liquefied petroleum gases, A1 A2 Annex B Annex C Part 1 C1 Part 2 (2 Part 3 C3 Annex D The direct example approach for classification of common facilities D1 D2 D3 D4 D5 D6 D7 Annex E F1 F2 Hazards associated with particular classes of material 146 E3 Paskground and examples on ventilation of enclosed areas, releases

Annex F	within enclosed areas and associated external hazardous areas.			
	F1	Introduction	147	
	F2	Natural ventilation of enclosed areas	147	
	F3	Adequate ventilation of enclosed areas	149	
	F4	Examples of assessment of adequate ventilation.	151	
	F5	External hazards	154	
Annex G	Gloss	ary	155	
Annex H	Refere	ences	163	

Page

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figures

Figure 1.1	Application of code	6
Figure 2.1	Hazardous area zone classification shading convention	6
Figure 3.1	Procedure for determining the hazard radii for primary and continuous grade releases. 3	31
Figure 3.2	Procedure for determining the hazard radii for secondary grade releases	27
Figure 2.2	Trocedule for determining the hazard radii for secondary grade releases	, Z
Figure 5.5		0
Figure 3.4	Liquid pool due to spillage	۲I
Figure 3.5	Open sump – zoning for primary grade release	۶ł
Figure 3.6	Shape factors for pressurised releases 4	4
Figure 4.1	Procedure for assessing type and degree of ventilation	7
Figure 4.2	Zoning of congested and stagnant regions	8
Figure 4.3	Extent of hazardous area around wall producing sheltered area	9
Figure 1.3	Enclosed areas without an internal source of release located within or	2
rigule 4.4	adjacent to classified areas	. 0
5' 64		Ö
Figure C1	Risk-based procedure for calculation of hazard radii for secondary grade releases /	/
Figure C2	Release frequency (LEVEL) to achieve an Individual Risk (IR) criterion of	
	1,0E-5 (or lower) given Exp and P _{inp}	33
Figure C3	Individual risk (/yr) vs. exposure (release frequency = 1,0E-2/release source-yr) 8	88
Figure C4	Individual risk (/vr) vs. exposure (release frequency = $1.0E-3$ /release source-vr)	88
Figure D1	LPG storage facilities (Class 0 product)	1
Figure D2	Typical composite bazardous area zone classification around LPG road	•
riguic D2	tapker during loading and unloading	5
	Tanker during loduling and unitoduling	
Figure D3	Typical nazardous area zone classification for underground LPG storage tanks 9	13
Figure D4(a)	Bunded tanks – cone or dome	15
Figure D4(b)	Small bunded horizontal storage tank	6
Figure D4(c)	Bunded tanks – floating roof	17
Figure D5	Tanks with outer protective wall	17
Figure D6	Typical hazardous area zone classification for underground gasoline storage tanks	
5	and fill points during road tanker connection, unloading and disconnection	8
Figure D7	Typical hazardous area zone classification around a storage tank vent	
rigare by	nine at retail facilities	0
Eiguro D8	Pottom loading island, no vahisla procent	17
Figure Do	Doctorn roduing Island, no vehicle present	
Figure D9	Road tanker equipped for bottom loading with vapour collection, during loading . 10	13
Figure D10	Area classification in event of spillage	15
Figure D11	Composite area classification drawing for bottom loading road tanker	
	during loading in the event of a spillage10)6
Figure D12	Top loading island and bay area – no vehicle present	8(
Figure D13	Top loading of road tanker through open or vent fill covers)9
Figure D14	Typical Zone 2 provision for spillage area with drainage 10	19
Figure D15	Composite area classification drawing for ton loading road tankers during loading	
rigule DTJ	in the event of a spillage. Classes I. II and III products. For treatment of upper upde	
	In the event of a spinage – classes i, if and in products. For treatment of unoccupied	~
5' 546	bay on right hand side in condition to receive a road tanker	0
Figure D16	lypical hazardous area zone classification of a road tanker during unloading 11	3
Figure D17	Top loading of rail cars	6
Figure D18	Bottom loading of rail cars	8
Figure D19	Rail car unloading via hose connection11	9
Figure D20	Jetties – loading facilities only	2
Figure D21	Jetty – unloading	3
5	, , ,	

Figures continued...

Figure D22	Container filling in an open area	124
Figure D23	Typical hazardous area classification around a gasoline dispenser housing	126
Figure D24	Typical hazardous area zone classification around an external dispenser	
	air separator vent	127
Figure D25	Typical hazardous area zone classification around a nozzle during	
	vehicle refuelling	127
Figure D26	Typical hazardous area zone classification around nozzle spout housing	128
Figure D27	Typical composite hazardous area zone classification around a dispenser	
	during refuelling	129
Figure D28	Typical hazardous area zone classification around an LPG dispenser	
	during refuelling	130
Figure D29	Typical hazardous area zone classification for an oil/water separator	131
Figure D30	Drilling and workover	136
Figure D31	Typical well cellar (land rig)	137
Figure D32	Freestanding wireline operation (open areas)	138
Figure D33	Hazardous area around a shale-shaker in an open area	140
Figure D34	Hazardous area around mud tanks	141

Tables

Table 1.1	Capacity thresholds above which area classification is required
Table 1.2	Relationship of grade of release to zone for an open area
Table 1.3	Fluid categories
Table 3.1	Hazard radius (R ₁) from tank vent for category C fluid
Table 3.2	Hazard radius (R ₁) for process vents
Table 4.1	Ventilation flow rates required to dilute average gas concentrations to 25 % LFL52
Table 4.2	Effect of ventilation on zone classification for enclosures with an
	internal source of release
Table A1	El petroleum classes
Table A2	Typical commonly encountered petroleum materials
Table A3	Relationship between EI petroleum class and fluid category
Table C1	Fluid compositions and LFLs
Table C2	Physical parameters used in dispersion modelling
Table C3	Release flow rate (kg/s)
Table C4	Hazard radii R ₁ and R ₂ for pressurised releases
Table C5	Spill volumes modelled (m ³)
Table C6	Hazard radius R ₁ for pool spills
Table C7	Parameter values used in sensitivity analysis
Table C8	Average number of release sources in range (N _{range})
Table C9	Exposure calculation for plant area*
Table C10	Probability of ignition (P _{ian}) for varying sources of ignition strengths
Table C11	Calculation of P _{ign}
Table C12	Categorisation of release frequency
Table C13	Equivalent hole sizes for a range of release frequencies
Table C14	Suggested risk criteria
Table F1	Classification of approaches available for estimating ventilation rates
	in naturally ventilated enclosed areas148
Table F2	Comparison of cloud volumes above LFL for zero background
	concentration and ventilation dilution to 25 % LFL

Tables continued...

Table F3	Mass flow rates and ventilation flow rates for dilution to 25 % LFL, releases	
	calculated using DNV PHAST and gas cloud volumes calculated from QUADVENT	
	(for G(i) and G(ii)), scaled from DNV PHAST free jet calculations (for other fluids)	150
Table F4	Ventilation flow rate to dilute to 25 % LFL (adequate ventilation)	152
Table F5	Efficiency of mixing ε within the enclosure	153

FOREWORD

EI Model code of safe practice – Part 15: Area classification code for installations handling flammable fluids (EI15, formerly referred to as IP15) is a well-established Model Code for area classification in the petroleum industry. It provides a demonstrable methodology for determining hazard radii, applicable to installations handling flammable fluids. Identifying and designating areas in which there is a risk of a flammable (explosive) atmosphere occurring is a legal duty under the Dangerous Substances and Explosives Atmospheres Regulations 2002, (DSEAR) [which implement ATEX Directive 99/92/EC setting minimum requirements for improving the safety & health of workers potentially at risk from explosive atmospheres], and the Offshore Installations (Prevention of Fire and Explosion, and Emergency Response) Regulations, 1995, (PFEER).

The fourth edition of this Model Code provides clarification on issues that have been raised by users of the third edition since its publication in 2005 and also incorporates key findings from a number of research studies commissioned by the El. In addition, further editorial changes have been made.

The Model Code applies dispersion modelling to the calculation of hazard radii, taking into account variables such as pressure of release and the effect of mist or spray formation. The current methodology takes account of both the composition of the material released and its release conditions including the release pressure, together with sensitivity to various operating parameters.

The Model Code also provides a risk-based approach for specifying hazardous areas from secondary grade sources of release, allowing further flexibility in specifying hazard radii. Whilst the Model Code includes the basis of the risk-based approach, the full methodology is provided in the publication El *A risk-based approach to hazardous area classification*, 2nd edition, 2015.

The information contained in this publication is provided for information only and while every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of its contents, the El cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken, on the basis of this information. The El shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage which may arise from the use of the information contained in any of its publications.

The above disclaimer is not intended to restrict or exclude liability for death or personal injury caused by own negligence.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Technical Department, Energy Institute, 61 New Cavendish Street, LONDON W1G 7AR.

KEY TECHNICAL CHANGES

This section sets out in a generalised form, a summary of the key technical changes between the third and fourth editions of EI15.

The key technical changes comprise:

- 1. Emphasis being placed on the use of the point source approach for area classification and relocation of direct examples to Annex D.
- 2. Amendments to the point source approach amended hazard radii for secondary grade releases from pumps, compressors, flanges and valves (based upon revised equivalent hole sizes); along with amended hazard radii from pool spills.
- 3. Annex C, calculation of hazard radii, has been reordered and restructured to aid user understanding.
- 4. Examples on how to assess whether ventilation is 'adequate' are provided.
- 5. Expansion of Annex F on ventilation and releases within enclosed areas to include pertinent findings in HSE Research Report: *Technical input on ventilation effectiveness for area classification guidance El15.*
- 6. Amendments to guidance on ventilation of hazardous areas. Amended definition of 'adequate ventilation' and other key findings from HSE Research Report *Technical Input on ventilation effectiveness for area classification guidance EI15*. Based on this work some sections have been restructured and sections which are no longer technically valid have been deleted.
- 7. Incorporation of conclusions in El Research Report: Dispersion modelling and calculations in support of El Model code of safe practice Part 15: Area classification code for installations handling flammable fluids, including a sensitivity analysis to various parameters.
- 8. Incorporation of further background technical information on hazard radii from pool spills.
- 9. Incorporation of hazard radii and background information for LNG releases.
- 10. Revised 'equivalent hole sizes' for frequency bands (LEVEL I III), based on analysis of the HSE Hydrocarbon Release Database (HCR).
- 11. Amplification of the discussion of the limits of applicability of the Model Code.
- 12. Amplification of the discussion of grades of release, particularly with reference to detection times.
- 13. Revision of the guidance on the formation of flammable mists, noting further research into this subject is ongoing.
- 14. Guidance and recommendations on equipment design, information on protection concepts and fired process heaters and guidance on equipment selection and non-electrical sources of ignition have been removed and incorporated into El Model code of safe practice Part 1: The selection, installation, inspection, and maintenance of electrical and non electrical apparatus in hazardous areas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The EI wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the following individuals:

Ian Buckland Howard Crowther Jon Ellis Tony Ennis Paul Evans Geoff Fulcher Kieran Glynn Matthew lvings Mohan Karmarkar Peter Murdoch Toni Needham Peter Nichols Mick Robinson Steve Sherwen Roger Santon Chris Turney Mick Wansborough Health and Safety Executive Consultant Phillips66 Haztech Consultants Valero F.E.S. (EX) Limited ΒP Health and Safety Laboratory Consultant CSA Group Testing UK Ltd Energy Institute KCA-Deutag Ltd F.E.S. (EX) Limited ABB Health and Safety Laboratory F.E.S. (EX) Limited Consultant

It also wishes to recognise the contribution made by those individuals, companies and organisations that provided comments since publication of the 3rd edition which have resulted in this revised edition.

OVERVIEW

GENERAL: This Model Code presents approaches to be used in area classification: the point source approach, where release rates are dependent on process conditions, and the direct example approach, which is limited to common facilities. The risk-based approach is included within the point source approach for a risk-based methodology for determining unknown release hole sizes for secondary grade releases, where actual hole size is not available.

CHAPTER 1: Establishes the scope of the Model Code and defines key terms. It indicates a means of defining flammable fluids for area classification purposes by their flash points and, where extremes of volatility, temperature and pressure occur, by fluid category. Figure 1.1 provides a guide to applying the Model Code and selecting the appropriate approach to be used.

CHAPTER 2: Identifies the information required to classify a hazardous area and explains the technique of area classification by use of either the point source or direct example approach. It also describes the area classification drawing.

CHAPTER 3: Describes the point source approach and provides the basis for the hazard radii specified throughout the Model Code. These are based on the results of dispersion modelling published in El *Research report: Dispersion modelling and calculations in support of El Model code of safe practice – Part 15: Area classification code for installations handling flammable fluids,* which allows for variations in release rates and operational pressures. A risk-based approach is also provided for determining the extent of Zone 2 hazardous areas where release hole sizes are not specified.

CHAPTER 4: Provides guidance on the effect of ventilation on hazard radii and zone classification in non-open areas. The different degrees of ventilation are described and Figure 4.1 provides a procedure for assessing the type and degree of ventilation for given situations. Open areas, sheltered areas and enclosed areas are defined and the application of area classification to each situation is described. Methods of artificial ventilation and the effect of loss of ventilation on the area classification are discussed.

ANNEX A: Provides background information on El classes of petroleum, and their relationship to the fluid categories used in this Model Code. Implications of flammable fluids being released as a mist or spray are discussed.

ANNEX B: Summarises work done on assessing the area classification of hydrogen and hydrogencontaining streams.

ANNEX C: Presents the background to the calculation of hazard radii for release rates used in Chapter 3, based on dispersion modelling in El *Research report: Dispersion modelling and calculations in support of El Model code of safe practice – Part 15: Area classification code for installations handling flammable fluids.* Also, for use with the risk-based approach, Annex C describes the procedure for determining appropriate release frequency for release rates, to be used in conjunction with Chapter 3 and describes the background to the risk-based approach and how risk tolerability criteria are related to release frequencies and corresponding release hole sizes.

ANNEX D: Provides direct examples, with diagrams, which can be used to classify common facilities; distances are valid for the conditions given. However, the Annex also refers to the point source approach in Chapter 3 for variable releases (e.g. releases from tank vents).

ANNEX E: Presents a discussion on small scale operations including research and development installations (e.g. pilot plants, laboratories, etc.) where special features will need to be considered in assessing the fire and explosion risk, and the approach to area classification.

ANNEX F: Provides background information and examples on the assessment of ventilation of enclosed areas. Releases within enclosed areas, such as buildings, and associated external hazardous areas are also discussed.

ANNEX G: Glossary of terms used in this Model Code.

ANNEX H: List of references cited in this Model Code.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 SCOPE

This Model Code gives guidance on the classification of areas around equipment handling or storing flammable fluids, and provides a basis for both the correct selection of fixed electrical equipment and the location of other sources of ignition in those areas. An 'area' in this context is always taken to be three-dimensional. Area classification zoning restrictions should be considered when introducing and using any temporary electrical equipment or mobile equipment capable of generating a source of ignition, to a facility.

It is intended that the guidance given in this Model Code is applicable internationally to installations in processing, distribution, production and retail sectors. The application of this Model Code is limited to flammable fluids similar in physical characteristics to those occurring in the petroleum, petrochemical and allied industries. It does not cover ignitable dusts or the assessment of health risks due to the handling of flammable fluids.

It does not comprehensively address the releases of flammable refrigerated or cryogenic liquids, for which the dispersion characteristics are markedly different from those of fluids at higher temperatures. The general approach presented in the Model Code may be applied to such releases if specific dispersion modelling, using the appropriate dispersion characteristics, is performed. For this purpose, a limited amount of information and guidance on the hazardous areas generated by those fluids is given. It can, however, be used for situations where vented boil-off vapour is released at around ambient temperatures. If it is desired to consider area classification for the liquids, it will be necessary to carry out specific calculations using suitable dispersion models, e.g. those applied in the calculation of radii provided in Annex C Part 1, published in El Research report: Dispersion modelling and calculations in support of El Model code of safe practice – Part 15: Area classification code for installations handling flammable fluids.

General guidance as to the main principles, definitions and explanations of terms relating to area classification has also been set out internationally by the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) and in Europe by the European Committee for Standardization (CEN), followed nationally by bodies such as, in the United Kingdom, the British Standards Institution (BSI). References to standards and guidance issued by these bodies are provided throughout this Model Code.

1.2 LIMITS OF APPLICABILITY

1.2.1 General

The area classification techniques described in this Model Code assume that the facilities to which they are applied are designed, constructed, maintained and operated in accordance with industry good practice so as to reduce the frequency of releases. The guidance contained in a number of El publications (cited in Annex H) covering good operational and maintenance practice should also be followed.

Area classification should not be used as a prime tool in determining layout. However, aspects of area classification may be considered in determining separation distances. Guidance as to

the recommended spacings between equipment and public boundaries and to other facilities, including sources of ignition, can be found in other industry guidance, Codes of Practice, etc.

In the UK, there are many criteria used for classifying the severity of release e.g. those used in RIDDOR and the HSE Hydrocarbon Release System (HCR). Generally, these regimes classify the severity of a release based on the quantity and duration of a release and are not related to the failure mode of equipment. This Model Code seeks to evaluate equipment failure cases, on which area classification is based.

The aim of area classification is to avoid ignition of those releases that may occur from time to time in the normal operation of facilities handling flammable fluids. The approach is to reduce the probability of coincidence of a flammable atmosphere and an electrical or other source of ignition.

It is not the aim of area classification to prevent the ignition of major accidental releases of flammable materials that could extend to large distances from the release source. These larger accidental releases, which may result from major or catastrophic failure of process or storage equipment, should be dealt with by risk assessment and other procedures or processes including the requirements of relevant legislation.

1.2.2 Extent of hazardous area

The design intent should always be to minimise the extent of the hazard radii. It is not good practice to classify hazardous zones that would reach uncontrolled sources of ignition, such as site roads, occupied buildings and off-site populations. For typical sites these distances can be between 15 m and 30 m.

In the majority of cases, it is expected that the release rates will result in hazard radii significantly less than 30 m, although releases resulting in potential hazard radii greater than 30 m which occur due to design intent, e.g. discharges from a relief valve, vent or high pressure source, should be included in the area classification review. Arrangements should be made if practical to route the discharge to a flare or to discharge at an appropriate location subject to a risk assessment.

If a hazard radius calculated using the point source method is greater than 30 m, then the size of the release is generally larger than that considered for area classification purposes and measures should be taken where practical to eliminate the source or reduce the size of the release.

1.2.3 Small scale operations

As guidance, Table 1.1 details capacity thresholds above which area classification is typically required. However, it would be incorrect to assume that operations involving smaller quantities than these thresholds do not require area classification. Such operations should be separately assessed to determine the size of release that may occur during normal operation (continuous/ primary grade release), or foreseeable equipment failure or operator error (secondary grade release) and the risk of harm to an individual should this ignite. Where there is risk of injury, appropriate control and mitigation measures should be taken to protect the health and safety of the individual. Such precautionary measures can include area classification, but this may not always be necessary. For example, in locations handling only small quantities of flammable fluids, such as a laboratory, the control measures taken to minimise releases and limit vapour concentrations to provide a safe atmosphere for the occupants to breathe may remove the

need to designate any area classification. Further guidance may be found in the HSE document *Hazardous area classification and laboratory operations*, available at the UK Health and Safety Executive website.

Further information on the application of area classification to small-scale operations is given in Annex E.

	Gas: volume corrected to 1 bar(a) pressure	Liquefied flammable gas	Flammable liquid at a temperature above its flash point
Inside	50 litres	5 litres	25 litres
Outdoors	1 000 litres	100 litres	200 litres

Table 1.1: Capacity thresholds above which area classification is required

Whilst these figures are only guides, for inventories below these values, it is up to the user to determine the extent of hazards and necessary safe distances. These figures rely on the use of good practice. It is up to the user to determine the extent of hazard for the materials being stored and handled on the premises.

1.3 APPLICATION OF THIS MODEL CODE

The application of this Model Code and the relevance of each chapter are shown in Figure 1.1 which summarises the area classification procedure.

1.3.1 Other facilities

For process streams of less uniform volatility and where there are extremes in temperature and pressure, as in processing plant, a more rigorous calculation methodology should be used, referred to as the 'point source approach'. This methodology is presented in Chapter 3. An optional 'risk-based approach', covered in Annex C, may be used in conjunction with the point source approach when the release rate (hole size, pressure) is unknown. This approach may also be used to revise the extent of hazard radii.

1.3.2 Facilities of common type

Certain facilities of standard layout and design, handling flammable fluids, can be classified directly from typical examples. This is referred to as the 'direct example approach' and is presented in Annex D. These facilities may also be classified using the point source approach.

1.3.3 Mists and sprays

Flammable atmospheres may also be formed if flammable fluids are handled below their flash points. Although such fluids are normally regarded as non-hazardous, if released through a small hole under pressure they are capable of producing a mist or spray. They should be regarded as a category C fluid (see Table 1.3) generating a hazardous area as appropriate. (See Annex A.1.2).

MODEL CODE OF SAFE PRACTICE PART 15: AREA CLASSIFICATION FOR INSTALLATIONS HANDLING FLAMMABLE FLUIDS



* The point source/risk-based approach can be applied.

Figure 1.1: Application of Code