El 3589

Good plant design for CO₂ stream impurity measurement

First edition



GOOD PLANT DESIGN FOR ${\rm CO_2}$ STREAM IMPURITY MEASUREMENT

First edition

May 2025

Published by **Energy Institute, London**

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003 Registered charity number 1097899 The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 23 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 200 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The El's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the El addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the El serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies:

ADNOC Ørsted
Astron Energy Phillips 66
BAPCO Energy Prax
Basra Energy Qatar Energy
BP Repsol
BP Wind RWE npower
Chevron Saudi Aramco

CNOOC SGS

Corio Generation Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd

DCC Energy Siemens Gamesa Renewables

Drax Group Spirit Energy **EDF** Renewables SSE Renewables Equinor SSE Thermal **Exolum** TAQA ExxonMobil International Ltd **TotalEnergies** Harbour Energy Total Wind Iberdrola Uniper Intertek Valero Vattenfall Ithaca Energy Kuwait Petroleum Internation Vestas Marathon Petroleum Corporation Vitol Energy Neste Woodside Ocean Winds World Fuel Services

OMV

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2025 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 479 4

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to El and IP publications is available via our website, https://publishing.energyinst.org. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the El Publications Team.

CONTENTS

	Pag	Je
Forev	vord	9
Ackno	owledgements	1
1	Introduction	13
2	Scope1	15
3	Possible impurities to be measured and related issues.3.1Introduction13.2Prioritising (risk to network)13.3Potential for acids in the CO2 stream1	16 16
4	Sampling4.1Introduction24.2Homogeneity of composition and uniformity of flow24.3Sampling methods24.3.1Direct (on-line or active) sampling24.3.2Passive sampling (in-line/in-situ/insertion type)24.3.3Indirect sampling (off-line, grab, or spot)24.4Sample point selection24.5Sample probe design24.6Sampling materials selection24.7Sample conditioning24.8Sampling frequency24.9Use of multiple samplers24.10Offline sample analysis24.11Isokinetic sampling24.12Sample gas discharge2	20 21 21 21 22 23 23 26 27 27
5	Measurement ranges	30
6	Suitability of measurement techniques for CCS applications 6.1 Introduction 6.2 N ₂ vs CO ₂ atmosphere 6.3 Operating pressure 6.4 Effect of coincident impurities 6.5 Drift 6.6 Calibration frequency 6.7 Operation in explosive atmospheres.	32 33 33 33 33
7	Calibration of equipment (including PRMS)7.1PRMS and CRMS37.2Availability of PRMS37.3PRMS for dense phase CO2 streams3	36 36
8	Possible on-line measurement techniques for each impurity8.1Introduction38.2Certified calibration availability48.3Carbon dioxide (CO2)4	39 10

				Page		
	8.4	Acid forn	ming compounds	43		
	8.5					
	8.6		a (NH₃)			
	8.7		۸r)			
	8.8					
	8.9		disulphide (CS ₂)			
	8.10		monoxide (CO)			
	8.11		l Sulphate (COS)			
	8.12		C_2H_6)			
	8.13		e.g. MEG, DEG, Selexol)			
	8.14	Hydroger	n (H ₂)	59		
	8.15 8.16		n suĺphide (H ₂ S)			
	8.17		ol (CH ₃ OH)			
	8.18		lene			
	8.19		es and nitrosamines			
	8.20		(N_2)			
	8.21		de (NO)			
	8.22		dioxide (NO ₂)			
	8.23		(O ₃)			
	8.24	Propane	& other aliphatic hydrocarbons	71		
	8.25		ticulate			
	8.26		SO ₂ , SO ₃)			
	8.27		tals (Hg, Cd, Tl)			
	8.28		platile organic compounds)			
	8.29	VVater (H	l ₂ O)	/8		
9	Possi	hle off-lir	ne measurement techniques for some impurities	79		
9	9.1		tion			
	9.2		ming compounds			
	9.3					
	9.4		and furans			
	9.5	Glycols .		81		
	9.6	Naphthal	lene	82		
	9.7		ines and nitramines			
	9.8		tes			
	9.9		tals			
	9.10	Volatile o	organic compounds (VOCs)	86		
10	Comr	mant and	cummany the state of the art	07		
10	Comment and summary: the state of the art					
	10.1		nt selection strategy			
	10.3		dioxide (CO ₂)			
			y by impurity			
		10.4.1	Acid-forming compounds			
		10.4.2	Amines			
		10.4.3	Ammonia (NH ₃)			
		10.4.4	Argon (Ar)			
		10.4.5	BTEX	90		

			Page
	10.4.6	Carbon disulphide (CS ₂)	90
	10.4.7	Carbon monoxide (CO)	
	10.4.8	Carbonyl sulphide (COS)	
	10.4.9	Dioxins	
	10.4.10	Ethane (C ₂ H ₆)	91
	10.4.11	Furans	
	10.4.12	Glycols (eg. MEG, DEG, Selexol)	92
	10.4.13	Hydrogen (H ₂)	92
	10.4.14	Hydrogen sulphide (H ₂ S)	
	10.4.15	Methane (CH ₄)	92
	10.4.16	Methanol (CH ₃ OH)	
	10.4.17	Naphthalene	
	10.4.18	Nitramines	
	10.4.19	Nitrogen (N ₂)	
	10.4.20	Nitric oxide (NO)	
	10.4.21	Nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂)	
	10.4.22	Nitrosamines	
	10.4.23	Oxygen (O ₂)	
	10.4.24 10.4.25	Particulate	
	10.4.25		
	10.4.26	SOx (SO, SO2, SO3)	
	10.4.27	Toxic metals	
	10.4.29		
10.5		y by technology	
10.5		pgy transfer from the industrial gases industry	
10.7		ons	
		t standards (BSI/CEN/ISO) and timescales to publication	
11.1	Introduct	tion	
		Traceability	
	11.1.2 11.1.3	Precision and resolution	
	11.1.3	Measurement protocols	
	11.1.4	Error analysis and uncertainty quantification	
11.2		of natural gas	
11.3		andards gap analysis	
11.4		ities	
11.5		n Union standards initiative	
	Laropear	The standards milderer	
Ident	tification	of gaps and timescale to development	105
12.1	Identified	d gaps	105
12.2		es	
12.3	Priority in	mpurities	106
Refe	rences		108
Abbr	eviations	5	111

۸ bb ده	wiations	·	4
Abbre	eviations		. !
		of terms	
15.2	Gas mea	surement techniques	. 1
	15.2.1	Atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS)	
	15.2.2	Atomic fluorescence spectroscopy (AFS)	
	15.2.3	Cavity-enhanced absorption spectroscopy (CEAS)	
	15.2.4	Cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CDRS)	
	15.2.5	Condensation particle counter (CPC)	
	15.2.6	Dielectric barrier discharge ionization detector (DBDID)	
	15.2.7	Differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS)	
	15.2.8	Deuterated triglycine sulphate (DTGS)	
	15.2.9	Electrochemical gas analysis (EGA)	
	15.2.10	Electrochemical quartz crystal microbalance (EQCM)	
	15.2.11	Flame ionisation detector (FID)	
	15.2.12	Flame photometric detector (FPD)	
	15.2.13	Fourier transform infrared (FTIR)	
	15.2.14	Gas chromatograph (GC)	
	15.2.15	Gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer (GC-MS)	
	15.2.16	Gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer-flame ionisation (GC-MS-FID) .	
	15.2.17	Gas chromatograph-nitrogen chemiluminescence detector (GC-NCD) .	
	15.2.18	Gas chromatograph-sulphur chemiluminescence detector (GC-SCD)	
	15.2.19	Gas chromatograph – thermal conductivity detector (GC-TCD)	
	15.2.20	High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)	
	15.2.21	High performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometer (HPLC-MS)	
	15.2.22	High performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometer (HPLC-RI)	
	15.2.23	High performance liquid chromatography-ultra-violet (HPLC-UV)	
	15.2.24	Ion chromatography (IC)	
	15.2.25	Integrated cavity output spectroscopy (ICOS)	
	15.2.26	Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-MS)	
	15.2.27	Ion mobility spectrometry (IMS)	
	15.2.28	Infrared/ultraviolet (IR/UV) (as portable gas analyser)	
	15.2.29	Liquid chromatography (LC)	
	15.2.30	Mass spectrometer (MS)	
	15.2.31	Nitrogen chemiluminescent detection (NCD)	
	15.2.32	Non-dispersive infrared (NDIR)	
	15.2.33	Non-dispersive ultra-violet spectroscopy (NDUV)	
	15.2.34	Nitrogen chemiluminescence detector (NCD)	
	15.2.35	Off-axis-integrated cavity output spectroscopy (OA-ICOS)	
	15.2.36	Optical feedback cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy (OFCEAS).	
	15.2.37	Optical particle counter (OPC)	
	15.2.38	Open path spectrometer (OPS)	
	15.2.39	Photo-ionisation detector (PID)	
	15.2.40	Pressure regulating and metering station (PRMS)	
	15.2.41	Quantum cascade laser (QCL)	
	15.2.42	Refractive index (RI)	
	15.2.43	Raman spectroscopy (RS)	

Conte	nts co	ontinued	j	Page
		15.2.45 15.2.46 15.2.47 15.2.48 15.2.50 15.2.51 15.2.52 15.2.53 15.2.54 15.2.55 Natural g	Scanning mobility particle sizer spectrometer (SMPS)	
			LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES	Page
Figure	es			
	Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure	e 4-1: Exal e 4-2: Prod e 4-3: Typi e 4-4: 'Fill e 8-1: Rela e 11-1: Tra e 15-1: At e 15-2: OA	crogen oxide cycle. cample of location of fiscal sampling point. cocessing dense phase CO ₂ to permit analysis. cocessing dense phase CO ₂ to permit analysis. cocical sample conditioning system Il and empty' purging equipment lative thermal conductivity of some gases. craceability pyramid of gas measurements ctomic Fluorescence Instrument Configurations. CA-ICOS concept. CA-ICOS concept. DL and QCL impurity measurement potential	
Tables	5			
	Table Table Table Table Table Table Table Table	5-1: Estim 7-1: Avail 8-1: On-li 8-2: On-li 8-3: On-li 8-4: On-li 8-5: On-li 8-7: On-li 8-8: On-li	res of risk associated with individual impurities \cdot mates of the required calibration range for each impurity \cdot ilability of PRMs for each impurity in Table 5-1 \cdot line measurement of CO_2 content of the stream \cdot line measurement of chlorine in CO_2 stream \cdot line measurement of hydrogen chloride in a CO_2 stream \cdot line measurement of hydrogen cyanide in a CO_2 stream \cdot line measurement of hydrogen fluoride in a CO_2 stream \cdot line measurement of ammonia (NH_3) in a CO_2 stream \cdot line measurement of argon in a CO_2 stream \cdot line measurement of BTEX in a CO_2 stream \cdot line measurement of BTEX in a CO_2 stream \cdot	

	Page
Table 8-10: On-line measurement of CS ₂ in a CO ₂ stream	54
Table 8-11: On-line measurement of CO_2 in a CO_2 stream	55
Table 8-12: On-line measurement of COS in a $\overrightarrow{CO_2}$ stream	
Table 8-13: On-line measurement of ethane in a CO ₂ stream	
Table 8-14: On-line measurement of glycols in a CO_2^2 stream	
Table 8-15: On-line measurement of hydrogen in a CO ₂ stream	
Table 8-16: On-line measurement of H ₂ S in a CO ₂ stream	
Table 8-17: On-line measurement of methane in a CO ₂ stream	62
Table 8-18: On-line measurement of methanol in a CO_2 stream	
Table 8-19: On-line measurement of naphthalene in a CO ₂ stream	64
Table 8-20: On-line measurement of nitramines and nitrosamines in a CO ₂ stream	65
Table 8-21: On-line measurement of nitrogen in a CO ₂ stream	66
Table 8-22: On-line measurement of nitric oxide in a CO ₂ stream	
Table 8-23: On-line measurement of nitrogen dioxide in a CO ₂ stream	68
Table 8-24: On-line measurement of oxygen in a CO ₂ stream	69
Table 8-25: On-line measurement of propane and other aliphatic hydrocarbons in	
a CO ₂ stream	
Table 8-26: On-line measurement of solid particulate in a CO ₂ stream	72
Table 8-27: On-line measurement of SOx in a CO ₂ stream	73
Table 8-28: On-line measurement of toxic metals in a CO ₂ stream	74
Table 8-29: On-line measurement of VOCs in a CO ₂ stream	76
Table 8-30: On-line measurement of water in a CO ₂ stream	78
Table 9-1: Off-line measurement of acid forming compounds in a CO ₂ stream	
Table 9-2: Off-line measurement of amines in a CO ₂ stream	
Table 10-1: Measurement of toxic metals	
Table 10-2: Summary of gas impurity measurement by technology	
Table 15-1: Natural gas analysis standards under review	
Table 15-2: Other natural gas analysis standards	131

FOREWORD

In 2006, the then Department of Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform¹ asked the UK Health and Safety Executive (HSE) to determine if there were any health and safety concerns relating to the deployment of large-scale carbon capture and storage (CCS) technology in the UK.

The HSE carried out a significant review of all aspects of the carbon capture and storage chain from the various capture technologies through to the injection points on the offshore platforms. Part of this process involved approaching the Energy Institute (EI) and the Carbon Capture and Storage Association (CCSA), who jointly organised several workshops to identify the key areas for development. The workshops specifically identified several areas which it believed merited greater attention.

The concerns were split into two broad subject areas:

- modelling the dispersion of any leak of carbon dioxide appropriately; and,
- ensuring good practice from industrial gases sectors is fed into the UK CCS industry and is adequate and appropriate for this new industry.

Three documents were produced to cover these topics^{2,3,4}, which proved to be a well-used resource. They were intended for guidance only and to improve the industry's knowledge, to assist developers and operators to carry out hazard analysis and procure and manage their plant safely. It was accepted when producing the documents that it would be necessary, at some point in the future, to review the documents. A decade after their publication, recognising both increased interest in CO₂ capture and storage and the work that has been undertaken in the meantime, the El organised a series of workshops which identified the need to update the earlier documents.

The opportunity was taken to restructure the three documents into two. The first⁵, which drew on experiences from many countries, provides not only guidance on the various elements that make up a CCS chain (omitting geological storage), but also gives assistance on what is perceived as good practice in design and operation at time of writing. A second document⁶ describes all aspects relating to the hazards associated with CO₂, to include approaches to ensuring that hazards are appropriately identified, quantified and mitigated.

During the production of the first of these, several gaps in the scope were noted, which advised a future work programme. This Good Practice Guide addresses one of these, the measurement of

¹ Responsibilities now allocated to the Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ).

² Energy Institute. Good plant design and operation for onshore carbon capture installations and onshore pipelines. [Updated to EI 3554]

³ Energy Institute. Technical guidance on hazard analysis for onshore carbon capture installations and onshore pipelines. [Updated to El 3553]

⁴ Energy Institute. Hazard analysis for offshore carbon capture platforms and offshore pipelines. [Updated to El 3553]

⁵ Energy Institute. El 3554 Good plant design and operation for onshore and offshore carbon capture installations and pipelines. 2nd edition.

⁶ Energy Institute. EI 3553 Hazard analysis for onshore and offshore carbon capture installations and pipelines. 2nd edition.

impurities in the captured CO₂ stream, necessary to provide confidence between producers and the transportation and storage entities, and deliver a system which is safe, operable and affordable.

Whilst every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy and relevance of its contents, the Energy Institute, its sponsoring companies, section writers and the working group members listed in the Acknowledgements who have contributed to its preparation, cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken based on this information. The Energy Institute shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage which may arise from the use of any of the information contained in any of its publications.

This publication will be reviewed in the future, and it would be of considerable assistance for any subsequent revision if users would send comments or suggestions for improvements to:

The Technical Department, Energy Institute 61 New Cavendish Street London W1G 7AR E: technical@energyinst.org.uk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was commissioned by the Energy Institute's Carbon Capture Utilisation and Storage Committee and steered by the El's CCS2301 'CO₂ impurity measurement Working Group', chaired by Andy Brown of Progressive Energy.

The research and update of the guideline was led by Andy Brown of Progressive Energy as the principal author and coordinated the drafting of other sections by:

Pieter Verloop Kimman Process Solutions B.V.

Sam Bartlett National Gas

Nitya Nagesh National Physical Laboratory Manohara Gudiyor Veerabhadrappa National Physical Laboratory

David Simon Wood

Members of the EI CCS2301 Working Group included:

Eryk Remiezowicz Air Products
Prakash Patel Marsh

Georgie Roberjot Department for Energy Security & Net Zero

Richard Holden EET Hydrogen
Trupti Patinge EET Hydrogen

Ben Rowton National Physical Laboratory
Andrew Clissold Shimadzu Corporation

Gabriele Chinello TÜV SÜD Hooman Haghighi Wood

The support from a number of equipment manufacturers has been essential to this work and contributions from the following deserve recognition and acknowledgement:

Karl Rogers ABB

Daniel Currie Panametrics, a Baker Hughes Business

Dante Grassi Beamonics
Michael Potts Emerson
Jeff Hand Emerson

Paul Keeling Enviro Technology Services Ltd
Pieter Verloop Kimman Process Solutions B.V.
Godert de Keijzer Kimman Process Solutions B.V.

Mike Shepherd nZero Group

Andrew Clissold Shimadzu Corporation
Trevor Tilmann Thermo Fisher Scientific
Paul Le Marquand Thermo Fisher Scientific

Antti Heikkilä Vaisala

A draft version was distributed to industry stakeholders for technical review. The following generously gave of their time to provide feedback, which is greatly appreciated:

Sam Bartlett National Gas

Nitya Nagesh National Physical Laboratory

Project coordination was carried out by Eva Leinwather and Sreeja Roy (Energy Institute).

1 INTRODUCTION

The introduction of new technologies is usually accompanied by new challenges, and transitioning the carbon-based energy economy that has served humankind since the dawn of creation to something more sustainable is no exception. Carbon (dioxide) capture and storage (CCS) is expected to make a significant contribution towards managing down the atmospheric CO₂ inventory, and projects to deliver its long-term removal are currently being developed in many nations across the globe. These CCS projects, planned around tens of million tonnes annually, are being developed commercially, initially incentivised by Government support, with the awareness that the technology cannot yet be described as fully mature, and is therefore not without some degree of risk. These risks are typically managed by several actors, including conservative design and operational controls.

One of the operational controls is, having set a purity specification for the captured CO_2 stream⁷, providing confidence that the impurities are within the limits agreed between the producer and the transportation and/or storage operator. The limits are set to avoid unwanted chemical consequences (e.g. corrosion), thermodynamic effects (e.g. sudden phase changes) and reduction in downhole capacity (e.g. promotion of sulphate reducing bacteria). Such confidence is gained by measurement of the levels of impurity at the custody transfer point(s): out-of-specification CO_2 streams may be rejected (usually vented to atmosphere).

Any financial incentive between the parties is a function of the direct measurement of the CO_2 content of the stream. Knowledge of the impurity content of a CO_2 stream allows calculation of the CO_2 content, which could be used as a check. There is therefore a fiscal motivation to provide accurate measurement of the CO_2 content itself and of the impurities. A requirement to do this is therefore included in the commercial and regulatory codes that can govern a CCS network.

Accurate measurement of the impurity content of the ${\rm CO}_2$ stream is one of the challenges facing this relatively new industry. This Good Practice Guide is intended to provide guidance to developers in the existence of, and selection of suitable equipment, to enable them to deliver a safe and operable CCS system. It is noted that off-line and on-line measurements have different challenges and therefore need slightly different approaches for impurity measurements. In this context, 'off-line' refers to sample collection followed by laboratory analyses) and 'on-line' refers to continuous measurement as close as practicable to 'real time', with the latter being preferable for reasons which are described herein.

This relatively new industry therefore needs analysers, validated methods, and calibration gases. Whilst existing commercial systems may be offered for the measurement of impurities in CCS applications, it is not always the case following testing that they have demonstrated the capability to identify specific analytes.

Section 2 describes the scope of this guide – what is included and what has been excluded. Section 3 describes the impurities to be measured and some of the factors that will need to be considered, including functions unique to the measurement of impurities in CO₂ streams in a CCS context. Section 4 illustrates the ranges over which the measurement equipment might expect to be calibrated and offers comment on the potential value of beyond-calibration capacity. Sections 8 and 9 describe, for each of the impurities listed, what measurement techniques are available at the time of writing, and provide a high-level summary of key

 $⁷ ext{ A CO}_2$ stream is defined as 'a stream consisting overwhelmingly of carbon dioxide, usually greater than 95 mol% carbon dioxide' as per ISO 27913:2024, section 3.4.

issues that can influence the designer's choice. These sections are for 'on-line' (samples being automatically taken at defined intervals whenever the plant is operational), and 'manual' which refers to the less frequent measurement, often by a plant operator, respectively.

Much of the focus in earlier sections is on hardware, sections 7 and 10.6 address the important issues of standards against which the equipment will be calibrated, and how such calibration would be supported by Primary Reference Materials.

A summary of the 'state of the art' is provided in section 10, and seeks to identify what measurement equipment is available now, what could reasonably be made available within about one year, what might become available within a two/three-year horizon and what requires more fundamental development and is beyond currently available technology.

Throughout the document certain gaps will have been identified, both in the suitability of hardware on an appropriate timescale, in the availability of recognised standards, and how calibration is to be confirmed. Section 12 lists these and, based on a subjective assessment by the Working Group, assigns priorities to support existing and planned CCS projects, together with an idealised timescale to development, assuming that the work was adequately resourced.

A substantial appendix (15.2) provides the reader with a very brief description of each of the measurement techniques referred to in the preceding sections, particularly in sections 8 and 9.



Energy Institute 61 New Cavendish Street London W1G 7AR, UK

t: +44 (0) 20 7467 7100 f: +44 (0) 20 7255 1472 e: pubs@energyinst.org www.energyinst.org This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the Energy Institute (EI), funded by the EI's Technical Partners and other stakeholders. The EI's Technical & Innovation Programme provides industry with cost effective, value adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and beyond.



ISBN 978 1 78725 479 4

Registered Charity Number: 1097899