

Review of equations of state and available experimental data for carbon capture and storage fluids

REVIEW OF EQUATIONS OF STATE AND AVAILABLE EXPERIMENTAL
DATA FOR CARBON CAPTURE AND STORAGE FLUIDS

First edition

December 2022

Published by

Energy Institute, London

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003
Registered charity number 1097899

The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 23 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 200 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit <http://www.energyinst.org>

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies:

ADNOC	Prax
BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd	Qatar Petroleum
BP Oil UK Ltd	Repsol Sinopec
Chevron North Sea Ltd	RWE npower
Chevron Products Company	Saudi Aramco
CNOOC	Scottish Power
DCC Energy	SGS
Drax Group	Shell UK Oil Products Limited
EDF Energy	Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd
ENI	Siemens Gamesa Renewables
Equinor	Spirit Energy
Exolum	SSE
ExxonMobil International Ltd	TAQA
Harbour Energy	TotalEnergies
Ithaca Energy	Uniper
Intertek	Valero
Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd	Vattenfall
Neptune Energy	Vitol Energy
Ocean Winds	Woodside
Ørsted	World Fuel Services
Phillips 66	

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2022 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 350 6

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, <https://publishing.energyinst.org>.

Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies.

For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.

e: pubs@energyinst.org

CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword	9
Acknowledgements	10
Executive summary	12
1 Introduction	20
1.1 Scope	20
1.2 Application	22
2 Background	23
2.1 Development of cubic EoS	23
2.1.1 Van der Waal and Redlich-Kwong EoS	23
2.1.2 Redlich-Kwong-Soave EoS	25
2.1.3 Peng-Robinson EoS	25
2.2 Modifications to cubic EoS	26
2.2.1 Mixing rules	26
2.2.2 Alpha functions	27
2.2.3 Density correction factors	29
2.2.4 Association theories (CPA EoS)	29
2.3 Development of Multi-Fluid Helmholtz Energy Approximation EoS	30
2.4 Development of SAFT-based models	31
3 Impurities	32
4 Technical review: cubic EoS	36
4.1 EoS modelling options – default cubic EoS modelling	36
4.2 EoS modelling options – mixing rules	40
4.3 EoS modelling options – alpha function	43
4.4 EoS modelling options – density correction factors	44
4.5 EoS modelling options – association theories	47
4.6 Modelling of minor/trace impurities	50
4.7 EoS availability in software packages (with options)	51
4.8 Summary of EoS	55
5 GERG-2008	57
5.1 EoS modelling options	59
5.2 EoS availability in software package (with options)	63
5.3 Summary of EoS	64
6 EoS-CG	65
6.1 EoS modelling options	65
6.2 EoS availability in software package (with options)	69
6.3 Summary of EoS	70
7 SAFT-based models	71
7.1 EoS modelling options	71
7.2 EoS availability in software packages (with options)	81
7.3 Summary of EoS	81

Contents continued

	Page
8 Gap analysis of experimental data	83
8.1 Binary mixtures of CO ₂ with main impurities	84
8.2 Minor/trace impurity mixtures	87
9 Discussion	88
9.1 Summary of EoS technical review	88
9.1.1 Cubic EoS	88
9.1.2 GERG-2008 EoS	89
9.1.3 EoS-CG	89
9.1.4 SAFT-based models	89
9.2 EoS performance across critical region	90
9.3 EoS comparison	90
9.4 EoS use in thermodynamic property packages	91
9.5 Summary of experimental data review	91
9.6 Recommendations for CCS EoS development	92
 Annexes	
Annex A Glossaries of terms, acronyms and abbreviations	93
A.1 Glossary of acronyms/abbreviations	93
Annex B References and bibliographies	95
B.1 References	95
B.2 Impacts workshop references	98
B.2.1 CO ₂ /H ₂ O	98
B.2.2 CO ₂ /N ₂	106
B.2.3 CO ₂ /O ₂	109
B.2.4 CO ₂ /Ar	110
B.2.5 Dry air	111
B.2.6 H ₂ O/N ₂	117
B.2.7 H ₂ O/O ₂	120
B.2.8 H ₂ O/Ar	120
B.2.9 H ₂ O/CO	121
B.2.10 Hum air	121
B.2.11 N ₂ /O ₂	124
B.2.12 N ₂ /Ar	126
B.2.13 N ₂ /CO	128
B.2.14 CO ₂ /CO	128
B.2.15 CO/O ₂	129
B.2.16 CO/Ar	129
B.2.17 O ₂ /Ar	129
B.2.18 SO ₂ /H ₂ O	131
B.3 Nottingham University database	132
B.3.1 CO ₂ /N ₂	132
B.3.2 CO ₂ /N ₂ /O ₂	133
B.4 Other Data	133
B.4.1 CO ₂ /Ar	133
B.4.2 CO ₂ /Ar/CO	133

Contents continued

	Page
B.4.3	$\text{CO}_2/\text{Benzene}$ 133
B.4.4	CO_2/Brine 133
B.4.5	$\text{CO}_2/\text{C}_1/\text{C}_2/\text{C}_3/\text{iC}_4/\text{nC}_4$ 133
B.4.6	$\text{CO}_2/\text{C}_1/\text{C}_2/\text{C}_3/\text{nC}_4/\text{C}_7$ 134
B.4.7	$\text{CO}_2/\text{C}_{10}$ 134
B.4.8	$\text{CO}_2/\text{C}_{10}/\text{C}_{13}/\text{C}_{16}$ 134
B.4.9	$\text{CO}_2/\text{C}_{11}$ 134
B.4.10	CO_2/C_2 134
B.4.11	CO_2/C_4 134
B.4.12	CO_2/C_5 135
B.4.13	CO_2/C_6 135
B.4.14	$\text{CO}_2/\text{C}_6\text{H}_{14}$ 135
B.4.15	$\text{CO}_2/\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$ 135
B.4.16	CO_2/C_7 135
B.4.17	$\text{CO}_2/\text{C}_7\text{H}_{16}$ 135
B.4.18	$\text{CO}_2/\text{C}_7\text{H}_8$ 135
B.4.19	CO_2/C_8 135
B.4.20	CO_2/C_9 136
B.4.21	CO_2/CH_4 136
B.4.22	$\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4/\text{CO}_2$ 136
B.4.23	$\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 137
B.4.24	$\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4/\text{H}_2\text{S}$ 137
B.4.25	$\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4/\text{N}_2$ 137
B.4.26	$\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4/\text{N}_2/\text{H}_2/\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}/\text{CO}$ 137
B.4.27	CO_2/CO 137
B.4.28	$\text{CO}_2/\text{CO}/\text{H}_2$ 137
B.4.29	CO_2/H_2 138
B.4.30	$\text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 138
B.4.31	$\text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{NaCl}$ 138
B.4.32	$\text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{S}$ 139
B.4.33	CO_2/Hg 139
B.4.34	$\text{CO}_2/\text{ISOC5}$ 139
B.4.35	CO_2/MEG 139
B.4.36	$\text{CO}_2/\text{Methylbenzene (Toluene)}$ 140
B.4.37	CO_2/N_2 140
B.4.38	$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2/\text{C}_1/\text{C}_2/\text{C}_3/\text{iC}_4/\text{nC}_4$ 140
B.4.39	$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2/\text{C}_1/\text{C}_2/\text{C}_3/\text{iC}_4/\text{nC}_4/\text{iC}_5$ 140
B.4.40	$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2/\text{C}_1/\text{C}_2/\text{C}_3/\text{iC}_4/\text{nC}_4/\text{iC}_5/\text{nC}_5$ 140
B.4.41	$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2/\text{C}_1/\text{C}_2/\text{C}_3/\text{iC}_4/\text{nC}_4/\text{iC}_5/\text{nC}_5/\text{C}_6+\text{Brine}$ 141
B.4.42	$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2/\text{CH}_4$ 141
B.4.43	$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2/\text{H}_2/\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$ 141
B.4.44	$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2/\text{H}_2/\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}/\text{CO}/\text{C}_1$ 141
B.4.45	$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 141
B.4.46	$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2/\text{O}_2$ 142
B.4.47	$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2\text{O}$ 142
B.4.48	$\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ 142
B.4.49	CO_2/NH_3 142
B.4.50	CO_2/O_2 142

Contents continued

	Page
B.4.51 $\text{CO}_2/\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}/\text{N}_2$	142
B.4.52 $\text{CO}_2/\text{O}_2/\text{CO}/\text{H}_2/\text{CH}_4/\text{N}_2$	143
B.4.53 CO_2/SO_2	143
B.4.54 CO_2/TEG	143
B.4.55 $\text{CO}_2/\text{Toluene}$	143
B.4.56 $\text{CO}_2/\text{nC}_{10}$	143

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

	Page
Figures	
Figure 1	EoS comparison: score: 1 (poor) to 5 (best) 16
Figure 2	Logic chart for EoS selection – GERG-2008 and EoS-CG 18
Figure 3	Logic chart for EoS selection – cubic EoS and PC-SAFT modelling. 19
Figure 4	Density data PT range of various binary mixtures 84
Figure 5	VLE data PT range of various binary mixtures. 85
Figure 6	Speed of sound data PT range of various binary mixtures. 86
Figure 7	VLE data PT range of various mixtures with minor/trace impurities. 87
Tables	
Table 1	EoS selection for set impurities 15
Table 2	EoS considered in technical review. 20
Table 3	Software packages reviewed for EoS availability and tuning options 21
Table 4	Impurities considered for EoS technical review. 32
Table 5	Range from common CO ₂ technologies for coal-fired power plants (ISO/TR 27921:2020). 34
Table 6	Cubic EoS performance against experimental data. 37
Table 7	Effect of alternative mixing rules on cubic EoS performance for CO ₂ -H ₂ O binary mixtures (Aasen et al., 2017) 40
Table 8	Effect of predictive mixing rules on cubic EoS performance for CO ₂ -binary mixtures 42
Table 9	Effect of polynomial alpha functions on cubic EoS performance for CCS mixtures. 43
Table 10	Effect of density correction on cubic EoS performance for CCS mixtures 45
Table 11	Effect of solvation scheme on cubic EoS performance for CCS mixtures. 48
Table 12	PR EoS availability across software packages 51
Table 13	RKS EoS availability across software packages 53
Table 14	CPA EoS availability across software packages 54
Table 15	Cubic EoS summary. 55
Table 16	Binary systems for the GERG-2008 EoS (Kunz et al., 2007) 58
Table 17	GERG-2008 performance against various experimental data 59
Table 18	GERG-2008 performance against VLE experimental data 60
Table 19	GERG-2008 performance against multicomponent mixture experimental data (Nazeri et al., 2017a). 61
Table 20	GERG-2008 tuning for improved VLE prediction in CO ₂ -H ₂ binary mixtures (Luna-Ortiz et al., 2021). 61
Table 21	Lemmon & Span Pure EoS for SO ₂ with GERG-2008 mixture rules (Nazeri et al., 2017). 62
Table 22	GERG-2008 EoS availability across software packages 63
Table 23	GERG-2008 summary 64
Table 24	Adjusted binary systems for the EoS-CG (Gernert, 2013) 65
Table 25	EoS-CG performance against VLE experimental data (Gernert, 2013) 66
Table 26	EoS-CG performance against other experimental data (Gernert, 2013) 67
Table 27	EoS-CG 2019 validated impurities (Herrig, 2018) 68
Table 28	EoS-CG EoS availability across software packages 69
Table 29	EoS-CG summary 70

Contents continued

	Page
Table 30	SAFT-based model performance against experimental VLE data 72
Table 31	SAFT-based model performance against experimental density data 77
Table 32	SAFT-VR Mie model performance against experimental data (Perez et al., 2017) . . . 80
Table 33	SAFT EoS availability across software packages 81
Table 34	PC-SAFT EoS summary. 82
Table 35	Availability of experimental data for main, minor and trace impurities of interest . . . 84
Table 36	EoS comparison. 91

FOREWORD

This document has been produced by Wood plc. on behalf of the Energy Institute (EI) via the CCS2001 Working Group and the Carbon Capture Utilisation and Storage (CCUS) Committee. The purpose of this document is to develop a research report on equations of state (EoS) suitable for use with carbon capture and storage (CCS) fluids and to provide the relative strengths and weaknesses of each. Furthermore, this technical report aims to provide a gap analysis of required experimental data, which could be used to tune EoS.

It is anticipated that this research report should be used as a good practice guideline for EoS selection in CCUS modelling, and that it will identify gaps in current knowledge for further tuning of the recommended EoS.

It is expected that the user of this publication will have prior knowledge or experience in fluid modelling from an industry or academic background.

This research report is written in the context of United Kingdom (UK) legislative and regulatory framework; however, the principles set out within this publication can be applied in other countries, providing national and local statutory requirements are met.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was commissioned by the EI's CCUS Committee and steered by the EI's CCS2001 Working Group, chaired by Dr Eduardo Luna-Ortiz (Pace CCS Ltd.). The research was carried out by Dr Hooman Haghighi, Craig McKay, Dr Mahmoud Nazeri and Dr Dale Erickson, from Wood Plc., with contributions and guidance from the following Working Group members:

Alberto Di Lullo	Eni
Richard Graham	Nottingham University
Edris Joonaki	TuV Sud NEL
Kheng Lau	BP
Eduardo Luna-Ortiz	Pace CCS Ltd
Tommaso Mantegazza	Eni
Julie Morgan	Woodside Energy Ltd

Members of the EI CCS2001 Working Group included:

Glyn Addicott	Hydraulic Analysis Group
David Aggarwal	Woodside Energy Ltd
Andy Brown	Progressive Energy
Tony Byrne	Thornton Tomasetti
Antonin Chapoy	Heriot-Watt University
Alberto Di Lullo	Eni
Jianzhi Ge	Saudi Aramco
Richard Graham	Nottingham University
Darryl Harris	Woodside Energy Ltd
Emily Hughes	SSE
Edris Joonaki	TuV Sud NEL
Kheng Lau	BP
Eduardo Luna-Ortiz	Pace CCS Ltd
Euan Mackay	Genesis
Ahmad Makhaita	Saudi Aramco
Tommaso Mantegazza	Eni
Angus Marshall	Storegga Geotechnologies
Julie Morgan	Woodside Energy Ltd
Arul Murugan	NPL
Graham Nott	DNV
Ali Odabasi	CNOOC
Myuran M. Pathmanathan	Woodside Energy Ltd
Ayidh Qahtani	Saudi Aramco
Nicole Silander	Shell
Chris Thomson	Kent
Dr Nasiru Tukur	Saudi Aramco
Manoj Valluri	Advanced Resources International, Inc.
Abdulmohsen Wosaifer	Saudi Aramco

Affiliations refer to the time of participation.

The EI wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the authors and its gratitude for the valuable contributions made by the CCS2001 Working Group and CCUS Committee during the course of the project.

A draft version was distributed to industry stakeholders for technical review. The following generously gave of their time to provide feedback, which is greatly appreciated:

Dr Hamid Emami-Meybodi	Pennsylvania State University
Dr Rahim Masoudi	PETRONAS
Prof Pascal Mougin	IFPEN
Prof Martin Trusler	Imperial College London

Project coordination, technical drafting and editing were carried out by Marcela Betancur-Diaz (EI). Editing and final formatting were carried out by Eva Leinwather (EI).