# Research report:

Guidance on available literature for assessing and managing ageing plant at bulk liquid storage facilities



# RESEARCH REPORT: GUIDANCE ON AVAILABLE LITERATURE FOR ASSESSING AND MANAGING AGEING PLANT AT BULK LIQUID STORAGE FACILITIES

First edition

September 2016

# Published by **ENERGY INSTITUTE, LONDON**

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003
Registered charity number 1097899

The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 23 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status;
- the Science Council to award Chartered Scientist status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the El serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd

BP Oil UK Ltd

Centrica

RWE npower

Saudi Aramco

Scottish Power

Chevron SG:

CLH Shell UK Oil Products Limited

ConocoPhillips Ltd Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd

DCC Energy SSE
DONG Energy Statkraft
EDF Energy Statoil

ENGIE Talisman Sinopec Energy (UK) Ltd

ENI Tesoro

E. ON UK
Total E&P UK Limited
ExxonMobil International Ltd
Total UK Limited
Total UK Limited
Tullow Oil
Maersk Oil North Sea UK Limited
Valero
Nexen
Vattenfall
Phillips 66
Vitol

Qatar Petroleum World Fuel Services

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2016 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 0 85293 929 1

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to El and IP publications is available via our website, **https://publishing.energyinst.org**. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the El Publications Team.

#### e: pubs@energyinst.org

# **CONTENTS**

Foreword						
Ackn	owled	gements	14			
1	Intro	duction	15			
2	Scope	e	16			
	2.1	Inclusions				
	2.2	Exclusions				
3	Appli	ication	17			
4	Regu	latory requirements	18			
_	_					
5	Docu	ment types	19			
c	Ciima	ey	24			
6	Surve	ey	<b>Z</b> I			
7	Inche	ection and maintenance planning	22			
•	7.1	Prescriptive methods				
	7.2	Risk base inspection (RBI).				
	7.2	Reliability-centred maintenance (RCM).				
	7.5	Reliability-certified maintenance (NCIVI)	23			
8	Degradation mechanisms					
•	8.1	Corrosion				
	8.2	Erosion				
	8.3	Fatigue				
	8.4	Stress corrosion cracking				
	8.5	Settlement/subsidence.				
	8.6					
		Seal breakdown				
	8.7	Overload/overpressure				
	8.8	Further guidance	26			
9	Prima	ary containment	28			
•	9.1	Pipework above ground.				
	5.1	9.1.1 System limits				
		9.1.2 Survey results				
		9.1.3 Summary of degradation mechanisms				
		9.1.4 Literature				
		9.1.5 Conclusions				
	0.2					
	9.2	Pipework below ground				
		9.2.1 System limits				
		9.2.2 Survey results				
		9.2.3 Summary of degradation mechanisms				
		9.2.4 Literature				
		9.2.5 Conclusions				
	9.3	Double walled pipework below ground				
		9.3.1 System limits	37			

			Page
	9.3.2	Survey results	37
	9.3.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
	9.3.4	Literature	
	9.3.5	Conclusions	
9.4	Valves .		42
	9.4.1	System limits	
	9.4.2	Survey results	
	9.4.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
	9.4.4	Literature	
	9.4.5	Conclusions	
9.5	Pressure	e relief valves	
	9.5.1	System limits	
	9.5.2	Survey results	
	9.5.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
	9.5.4	Literature	
	9.5.5	Conclusions	
9.6		hoses	
	9.6.1	System limits	
	9.6.2	Survey results	
	9.6.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
	9.6.4	Literature	
	9.6.5	Conclusions	
9.7		/unloading arms	
3.,	9.7.1	System limits	
	9.7.2	Survey results	
	9.7.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
	9.7.4	Literature	
	9.7.5	Conclusions	
9.8		ground storage tanks	
3.0	9.8.1	System limits	
	9.8.2	Survey results	
	9.8.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
	9.8.4	Literature	
	9.8.5	Conclusions	
9.9	5.0.5	ground storage tanks	
3.5	9.9.1	System limits	
	9.9.2	Survey results	
	9.9.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
	9.9.4	Literature	
	9.9.5	Conclusions	
9.10		skinned or double bottomed storage tanks	
5.10	9.10.1	System limits	
	9.10.2	Survey results	
	9.10.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
	9.10.3	Literature	
	9.10.4	Conclusions	
9.11		igal and reciprocal pumps	
ا ۱ . د	9.11.1	System limits	
	9.11.1	Survey results	
	J. 1 1.Z	Julycy results	/4

				Page
		9.11.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		9.11.4	Literature	
	0.12	9.11.5	Conclusions	
	9.12		lated equipment (pressure vessels, spheres and bullets)	
		9.12.1 9.12.2	System limits	
		9.12.2	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		9.12.3	Literature	
		9.12.5	Conclusions	
40				00
10			ntainment/tertiary containment	
	10.1	10.1.1	e bunds	
		10.1.1	System limits	
		10.1.2	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		10.1.3	Literature	
		10.1.4	Conclusions	
	10.2		rthwork bunds	
		10.2.1	System limits	
		10.2.2	Survey results	
		10.2.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		10.2.4	Literature	90
		10.2.5	Conclusions	91
	10.3	Drainage	e facilities and interceptors	91
		10.3.1	System limits	
		10.3.2	Survey results	
		10.3.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		10.3.4	Literature	
		10.3.5	Conclusions	96
11	Struc	tures		97
	11.1	Pipe bric	lges	97
		11.1.1	System limits	97
		11.1.2	Survey results	
		11.1.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		11.1.4	Literature	
		11.1.5	Conclusions	
	11.2		ports	
		11.2.1	System limits	
		11.2.2	Survey results	
		11.2.3		
		11.2.4 11.2.5	Literature	
	11.3		ports	
	11.5	11.3.1	System limits	
		11.3.1	Survey results	
		11.3.2	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		11.3.4	Literature	
		11.3.5	Conclusions	

Com	circs co	ontinaca		Page
	11.4	11.4.1	nd associated equipment	109
		11.4.2	Survey results	
		11.4.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		11.4.4	Literature	
		11.4.5	Conclusions	113
	11.5	Rail load	ing and discharging facilities	114
		11.5.1	System limits	
		11.5.2	Survey results	
		11.5.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		11.5.4	Literature	
		11.5.5	Conclusions	115
12	Auxi	liary equi	ipment	116
			recovery units	
		12.1.1	System limits	
		12.1.2	Survey results	116
		12.1.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	119
		12.1.4	Literature	119
		12.1.5	Conclusions	
	12.2	Firefighti	ing pumps	
		12.2.1	System limits	
		12.2.2	Survey results	
		12.2.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		12.2.4	Literature	
		12.2.5	Conclusions	
	12.3	_	ing monitors	
		12.3.1	System limits	
		12.3.2	Survey results	
		12.3.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		12.3.4	Literature	
	12.4	12.3.5	Conclusions	
	12.4		r systems	
		12.4.1	System limits	
		12.4.2 12.4.3	Survey results	
		12.4.3	Literature	
		12.4.4	Conclusions	
		12.4.5	Conclusions	151
13			ms and instrumentation	
	13.1		uging	
		13.1.1	System limits	
		13.1.2	Survey results	
		13.1.3	Summary of degradation mechanisms	
		13.1.4	Literature	
	12.2	13.1.5	Conclusions	
	13.2		mperature and level alarms and gauging	
		13.2.1	System limits	
		13.2.2	Survey results	136

#### Contents continued **Page** 13.2.3 13.2.4 13.2.5 13.3.1 13.3.2 13.3.3 13.3.4 13.3.5 14 15 16 Annexes Annex A A.1 A.2 A.3 A.4 A.5 A.6 Flexible hoses 163 A.7 **8.A** Below ground storage tanks......173 A.9 A.10 A.11 A.12 A.13 A.14 A.15 A.16 A.17 A.18 A.19 A.20 A.21 A.22 A.23 Flow, temperature and level alarms and gauging . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 209 A.24 A.25

A.26

### LIST OF TABLES

**TABLES** Table 1: Table 2: Literature relevant to below ground double skinned pipework . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 41 Table 3: Table 4: Table 5: Table 6: Table 7: Table 8: Table 9: Table 10: Table 11: Table 12: Table 13: Table 14: Table 15: Table 16: Table 17: Table 18: Table 19: Table 20: Table 21: Table 22: Table 23: Table 24: Table 25: Table 26: Table 27: LIST OF FIGURES **FIGURES** Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for pipework above Figure 1: Figure 2: Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of pipework Figure 3: Figure 4: Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of pipework above ground.......30 Figure 5: Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of pipework above Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for pipework below Figure 6: Figure 7: Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and Figure 8: Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of pipework Figure 9: Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of pipework below ground. . . . . . . . 35

		Page
Figure 10:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of pipework below	
E' 44	ground	35
Figure 11:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for double walled pipework below ground	38
Figure 12:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and maintenance regimes for double walled pipework below ground	38
Figure 13:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of double walled pipework below ground	39
Figure 14:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of double walled pipework below	
Figure 15:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of double walled pipework below ground	
Figure 16:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for valves	
Figure 17:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and maintenance regimes for valves	
Figure 18:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of valves	
Figure 19:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of valves	
Figure 20:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of valves	
Figure 21:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for pressure relief valves	
Figure 22:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and	47
rigure 22.	maintenance regimes for pressure relief valves	17
Figure 23:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of pressure relief valves	
Figure 24:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of pressure relief valves	
Figure 25:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of pressure relief valve	
Figure 26:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for flexible hoses	
Figure 27:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and	
rigule 27.		52
Figure 28:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of flexible hose	
Figure 29:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of flexible hoses	
-	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of flexible hoses	
Figure 30: Figure 31:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for loading/unloading	
Figure 32:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and	56
Figure 33:	maintenance regimes for loading/unloading arms	
E' 24	unloading arms	
Figure 34:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of loading/unloading arms	5/
Figure 35:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of loading/unloading arms	58
Figure 36:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for above ground storage tanks.	60
Figure 37:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and	
E' 22	maintenance regimes for above ground storage tanks	61
Figure 38:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of above	۵1
Figure 20:	ground storage tanks	
Figure 39: Figure 40:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of above ground storage tanks Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of above ground	
	storage tanks	62

		Page
Figure 41:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for below ground	
F: 42.	storage tanks.	65
Figure 42:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and maintenance regimes for below ground storage tanks	65
Figure 43:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of below ground storage tanks	66
Figure 44:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of below ground storage tanks.	66
Figure 45:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of below ground	
Figure 4C.	storage tanks.	67
Figure 46:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for double skinned or double bottomed storage tanks	69
Figure 47:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and	09
riguic 47.	maintenance regimes for double skinned or double bottomed storage tanks	70
Figure 48:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of double	
	skinned or double bottomed storage tanks	70
Figure 49:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of double skinned or double	
E'	bottomed storage tanks	71
Figure 50:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of double skinned or	71
Figure 51:	double bottomed storage tanks	71
rigule 51.	reciprocal pumps	74
Figure 52:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and	, ,
<i>J</i> = 1	maintenance regimes for centrifugal and reciprocal pumps	74
Figure 53:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of centrifugal	
	and reciprocal pumps	75
Figure 54:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of centrifugal and reciprocal	
Fi FF:	pumps	75
Figure 55:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of centrifugal and reciprocal pumps	76
Figure 56:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for PSSR related	70
rigare 50.	equipment	78
Figure 57:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and	
	maintenance regimes for PSSR related equipment	79
Figure 58:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of PSSR relate	
E' EO	equipment	79
Figure 59:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of PSSR related equipment	80
Figure 60:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of PSSR related equipment	80
Figure 61:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for concrete bunds	84
Figure 62:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and	0.4
Eiguro 62:	maintenance regimes for concrete bunds	84
Figure 63:	bunds	25
Figure 64:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of concrete bunds	
Figure 65:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of concrete bunds	
Figure 66:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for lined earthwork bun-	

Figure 67: Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and maintenance regimes for lined earthwork bunds	. 88 . 89 . 89
Figure 68: Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of lined earthwork bunds	. 89 . 89
earthwork bunds	. 89
	. 89
Figure 69: Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of lined earthwork bunds	
Figure 70: Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of lined earthwork	. 90
bunds	
Figure 71: Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for drainage facilities	
and interceptors	. 92
Figure 72: Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and	
maintenance regimes for drainage facilities and interceptors	. 92
Figure 73: Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of drainage	
facilities and interceptors	. 93
Figure 74: Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of drainage facilities and	
interceptors	. 93
Figure 75: Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of drainage facilities	0.4
	. 94
Figure 76: Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for pipe bridges	.97
maintenance regimes for pipe bridges	. 98
Figure 78: Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of pipe bridges	
Figure 79: Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of pipe bridges	
Figure 80: Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of pipe bridges	
Figure 81: Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for pipe supports	
Figure 82: Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and	
maintenance regimes for pipe supports	102
Figure 83: Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of pipe	
	103
Figure 84: Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of pipe supports	103
Figure 85: Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of pipe supports	
Figure 86: Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for tank supports	106
Figure 87: Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and	
3 11	106
Figure 88: Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of tank	
!!	107
Figure 89: Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of tank supports	
Figure 90: Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of tank supports	108
Figure 91: Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for jetties and associated	110
equipment	110
Figure 92: Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and maintenance regimes for jetties and associated equipment	110
Figure 93: Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of jetties	110
and associated equipment	111
Figure 94: Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of jetties and associated	
equipment	111
Figure 95: Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of jetties and associated	
equipment	112
Figure 96: Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for VRUs	

	Page
Figure 97:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and
Figure 98:	maintenance regimes for VRUs
Figure 99:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of VRUs
Figure 100:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of VRUs
Figure 101:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for firefighting pumps 120
Figure 102:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and
	maintenance regimes for firefighting pumps
Figure 103:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of firefighting
	pumps121
Figure 104:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of firefighting pumps
Figure 105:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of firefighting pumps 122
Figure 106:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for firefighting monitors . 124
Figure 107:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and
	maintenance regimes for firefighting monitors
Figure 108:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of firefighting monitors
Figure 109:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of firefighting monitors 126
Figure 110:	
Figure 111:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for sprinkler systems 128
Figure 112:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and
3	maintenance regimes for sprinkler systems
Figure 113:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of sprinkler
	systems
Figure 114:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of sprinkler systems
Figure 115:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of sprinkler systems 130
Figure 116:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for tank gauging 132
Figure 117:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and
	maintenance regimes for tank gauging
Figure 118:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of tank gauging . 133
Figure 119:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of tank gauging
Figure 120:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of tank gauging 134
Figure 121:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for flow, temperature
	and level alarms and gauging
Figure 122:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and
	maintenance regimes for flow, temperature and level alarms and gauging 137
Figure 123:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of flow,
	temperature and level alarms and gauging
Figure 124:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of flow, temperature and level
	alarms and gauging
Figure 125:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of flow, temperature
	and level alarms and gauging
Figure 126:	Proactive maintenance and inspection regimes reported for DCS and SCADA 140
Figure 127:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be considered to develop inspection and
_	maintenance regimes for DCS and SCADA
Figure 128:	Ageing mechanisms reported to be found responsible for failures of DCS and
_	SCADA
Figure 129:	Action reported to be taken to resolve failures of DCS and SCADA
Figure 130:	Codes reported to be considered when managing ageing of DCS and SCADA 142

### **FOREWORD**

The purpose of this guidance is to act as a signposting document, for operators, to relevant industry technical guidance for assessing and managing a range of ageing assets at onshore bulk liquid storage and distribution terminals. The aim is to assist operators in assessing and managing through effective targeting and maintenance of plant. This document can provide a useful reference to relevant codes, standards and guidance and other information which may be of use.

Industry research was conducted to help contribute to the content of this guidance which also helped the authors to identify current good practice and identify any potential gaps in knowledge.

The document applies to civil, structural, mechanical and pipework assets at onshore terminals within the limits of a terminal boundary. This includes jetties and associated equipment, where present. Excluded are pipelines that extend beyond the site boundary, electrical, control and instrumentation (EC&I), electrical switchgear and buildings.

All parts of this document are intended to be applicable to assets at all points in their operating life.

Whilst this publication has listed other relevant guidance, this is by no means exhaustive nor does it attempt to assist in compliance. It is the responsibility of the operator to ensure that all measures are taken to comply with relevant legislative requirements.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This guidance was commissioned by the Energy Institute's Distribution and Marketing Committee (DMC) and was produced by Atkins Consulting on behalf of the committee. The El wishes to thank the authors, Luke Devereux and Paul Tallett. The El also wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the following individuals for their contribution and commitment to delivering the guidance:

Francis Drew UM Storage Ltd.

Peter Davidson Tank Storage Association (Formerly UKPIA)

Mark Palmer Exxonmobil
Craig Pugh Exxonmobil

Barrie Salmon Tank Storage Association
Paul Stanworth Health and Safety Executive

The EI also wishes to record its appreciation of input provided by Dave de Halle (P66), Chairman of DMC, who reviewed and provided input into the document.

The project was coordinated by Toni Needham, Technical Manager (Energy Institute).

### 1 INTRODUCTION

This document has been prepared on behalf of the Distribution and Marketing Committee who have identified a specific need for a signposting document, which should provide an accessible resource for the management of plant commonly operated at onshore bulk liquid storage and distribution terminals. The aim is to assist the operators of such plant in assessing and managing ageing assets throughout their life. This should enable the efficient targeting of inspection and maintenance resources in order to mitigate potentially costly and hazardous failures through appropriate consideration of the associated risks.

Due to the large body of literature already available in the public domain, this is accomplished by referencing existing codes, standards and guidance which are applicable to the plant typically found at these distribution terminals. This approach avoids the need to duplicate the comprehensive data already developed by a number of organisations.

To support this process and ensure its relevance, a survey was circulated to a number of operators of onshore bulk liquid storage and distribution terminals. This ensured some reflection of the practices currently employed and issues encountered by operators relating to the ageing of equipment. An overview of the survey responses relevant to each piece of equipment considered in the following sections is included.

It is intended that this guidance is used by operators of onshore bulk liquid storage and distribution terminals, and those involved in managing inspection and maintenance programmes for equipment on these sites.

### 2 SCOPE

The following sections give a guide to documents which may be used as a basis for managing ageing equipment at distribution terminals. Some general information relating to ageing of this equipment is discussed, and any significant gaps in the available literature are identified where these exist.

Due to the wide range of equipment and product, and the range of degradation mechanisms that may occur, it is not possible to ensure that all equipment and all feasible degradation mechanisms are covered in this document.

### 2.1 INCLUSIONS

The document applies to civil, structural, mechanical and pipework assets at onshore terminals within the limits of the terminal boundaries. This includes jetties and associated equipment, where present.

### 2.2 EXCLUSIONS

This document excludes the following items:

- Pipelines extending beyond the site boundary.
  - These are usually inspected and maintained in a separate scope from on-site equipment.
- Electronic, control and instrumentation (EC&I) items.
  - These items are generally inspected and maintained in line with manufacturer instructions.
- Electrical switchgear and substations, generic items such as buildings.
  - These items do not generally have specific requirements for inspection and maintenance at bulk liquid storage facilities but will be inspected and maintained following the same guidance applicable to these items on all sites.