

INSTITUTE OF PETROLEUM
RESEARCH REPORT

INVESTIGATION INTO THE EFFECTS
OF LUBRICITY ADDITIVES
ON THE PERFORMANCE OF
FILTER/WATER SEPARATORS

Daniel E. Kadlecsek¹

March 2003

¹ ExxonMobil Research & Engineering Company, Fuel Products Division, Paulsboro Technical Center, Paulsboro, NJ 08066-1033

The Institute of Petroleum gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies:

Agip (UK) Ltd
Amerada Hess Ltd
BG Group
BHP Billiton Limited
BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd
BP Oil UK Ltd
ChevronTexaco Ltd
Conoco Limited
Conoco UK Ltd
Enterprise Oil PLC
ExxonMobil International Ltd

Kerr-McGee North Sea (UK) Ltd
Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd
Murco Petroleum Ltd
Petroplus Refining Teeside Ltd
Phillips Petroleum Co. UK Ltd
Shell UK Oil Products Limited
Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd
Statoil (U.K.) Limited
Talisman Energy (UK) Ltd
TotalFinaElf Exploration UK PLC
TotalFinaElf UK Ltd

Copyright © 2003 by The Institute of Petroleum, London:
A charitable company limited by guarantee. Registered No. 135273, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 0 85293 395 9

Published by The Institute of Petroleum

Further copies can be obtained from Portland Customer Services. Commerce Way,
Whitehall Industrial Estate, Colchester CO2 8HP, UK. Tel: +44 (0) 1206 796 351
email: sales@portland-services.com

CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword	vii
Abstract	viii
Acknowledgements	viii
Technical summary	xii
1. Introduction	1
2. Test methods	3
2.1 Single Element Test (SET)	3
2.2 ExxonMobil Coalescence Test (EMCT)	4
2.3 Microseparometer (MSEP).....	4
2.4 Modified Microseparometer	4
2.5 Water Shedding Property (WASP).....	5
2.6 Interfacial Tension (IFT)	5
2.7 <i>SWIFT</i> ® kit.....	5
2.8 Water Reaction Test (D1094).....	5
2.9 Ball on Cylinder Lubricity Evaluator (BOCLE)	5
3. Test materials	7
3.1 Test fuels.....	7
3.2 Lubricity additives.....	7
3.3 Fuel/additive blends.....	7
3.4 SET supplies.....	7
4. Test protocol	9
5. Results and discussion	11
5.1 Monoacid lubricity additive.....	11
5.1.1 Single Element Test (SET) results	11
5.1.2 ExxonMobil Coalescence Test (EMCT) runs	12
5.1.3 MSEP results	15
5.1.4 WASP results	16

5.1.5 Interfacial Tension (du Noüy ring).....	17
5.1.6 Interfacial Tension (<i>SWIFT</i> ® kit)	18
5.1.7 Water Reaction (ASTM D1094)	19
5.1.8 Ball on Cylinder Lubricity Evaluator (BOCLE)	20
5.2 Ester lubricity additive.....	20
5.2.1 Single Element Test (SET) results	21
5.2.2 ExxonMobil Coalescence Test (EMCT) runs	23
5.2.3 MSEP results	23
5.2.4 WASP results	25
5.2.5 Interfacial Tension (du Noüy ring).....	26
5.2.6 Interfacial Tension (<i>SWIFT</i> ® kit)	27
5.2.7 Water Reaction (ASTM D1094)	28
5.2.8 Ball on Cylinder Lubricity Evaluator (BOCLE)	29
6. Conclusions	31
7. References	33
Annex A - Schematics of SET and EMCT rigs	35
Annex B - Certificates of analysis	39
Annex C - Raw data	43
Annex D - List of abbreviations	67

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Comparison of MSEP and MSEP 'modified' results for both base HDT and additized HDT fuels containing a monoacid lubricity additive	15
Table 2	Comparison of MSEP and MSEP 'modified' results for both base Merox and additized Merox fuels containing a monoacid lubricity additive	15
Table 3	WASP results for both base HDT and additized HDT fuels containing a monoacid lubricity additive	16
Table 4	WASP results for both base Merox and additized Merox fuels containing a monoacid lubricity additive	17
Table 5	IFT results for both base HDT and additized HDT fuels containing a monoacid lubricity additive	17
Table 6	IFT results for both base Merox and additized Merox fuels containing a monoacid lubricity additive	18
Table 7	<i>SWIFT</i> ® kit results for both base HDT and additized HDT fuels containing a monoacid lubricity additive	18
Table 8	<i>SWIFT</i> ® kit results for both base Merox and additized Merox fuels containing a monoacid lubricity additive	19
Table 9	Water Reaction (ASTM D1094) results for both base HDT and additized HDT fuels containing a monoacid lubricity additive	19
Table 10	Water Reaction (ASTM D1094) results for both base Merox and additized Merox fuels containing a monoacid lubricity additive	20
Table 11	BOCLE wear scar results for base fuels and blends with a monoacid lubricity additive	20
Table 12	Comparison of MSEP and MSEP 'modified' results for both base HDT and additized HDT fuels containing an ester lubricity additive	24
Table 13	Comparison of MSEP and MSEP 'modified' results for both base Merox and additized Merox fuels containing an ester lubricity additive	24
Table 14	WASP results for both base HDT and additized HDT fuels containing an ester lubricity additive	25
Table 15	WASP results for both base Merox and additized Merox fuels containing an ester lubricity additive	26
Table 16	IFT results for both base HDT and additized HDT fuels containing an ester lubricity additive	26
Table 17	IFT results for both base Merox and additized Merox fuels containing an ester lubricity additive	27
Table 18	<i>SWIFT</i> ® kit results for both base HDT and additized HDT fuels containing an ester lubricity additive	27
Table 19	<i>SWIFT</i> ® kit results for both base Merox and additized Merox fuels containing an ester lubricity additive	28
Table 20	Water Reaction (ASTM D1094) results for both base HDT and additized HDT fuels containing an ester lubricity additive	28
Table 21	Water Reaction (D1094) results for both base Merox and additized Merox fuels containing an ester lubricity additive	28
Table 22	BOCLE wear scar results for base fuels and blends with an ester lubricity additive	29

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	SET generated Aqua-glo measurements for base and additized HDT fuels containing 10 ppm and 200 ppm of a monoacid lubricity additive.....	13
Figure 2	SET generated Aqua-glo measurements for base and additized Merox fuels containing 10 ppm and 200 ppm of a monoacid lubricity additive.....	13
Figure 3	EMCT generated Aqua-glo measurements for base and additized HDT fuels containing 10 ppm and 200 ppm of a monoacid lubricity additive.....	14
Figure 4	EMCT generated Aqua-glo measurements for base and additized Merox fuels containing 10 ppm and 200 ppm of a monoacid lubricity additive.....	14
Figure 5	SET generated Aqua-glo measurements for base and additized HDT fuels containing 10 ppm and 200 ppm of an ester lubricity additive	21
Figure 6	SET generated Aqua-glo measurements for base and additized Merox fuels containing 10 ppm and 200 ppm of an ester lubricity additive	22
Figure 7	EMCT generated Aqua-glo measurements for base and additized HDT fuels containing 10 ppm and 200 ppm of an ester lubricity additive	22
Figure 8	EMCT generated Aqua-glo measurements for base and additized Merox fuels containing 10 ppm and 200 ppm of an ester lubricity additive	23

FOREWORD

This publication provides the report of an investigation that the IP Aviation Committee commissioned into the effects of diesel fuel lubricity additives on the performance of aviation fuel filter/water separators. The work was undertaken by Fuel Products Division of ExxonMobil Research & Engineering¹ under contract to the IP during the period February 2002 to September 2002. A draft version of this report was technically reviewed and accepted by Aviation Committee members.

The investigation was commissioned to enhance the knowledge of the aviation fuelling industry of the performance of filter/water separators in aviation fuel that has become contaminated by a diesel fuel lubricity additive. Large quantities of aviation fuel are distributed in multi-product pipelines, along with other petroleum products which may contain a lubricity additive. Such additives are used to increase the capacity of pipeline systems. As a result there is an increasing possibility that aviation fuel could be contaminated by such additives, the identification of which in routine operations is not straightforward.

The focus of this study was to determine the effect of certain diesel fuel lubricity additives on aviation fuel water separation at concentrations consistent with cross-contamination (10 ppm) and complete mis-additisation (200 ppm).

The investigation utilized aviation fuel that was free of any additional additives that can be used commercially (e.g. Stadis 450) to isolate the effects of the particular lubricity additives in question. Following the results of this first phase of work it is anticipated that further tests using fuel/additive blends may be undertaken in the near future.

The results of this investigation will be of significant interest to those involved in the handling of aviation fuel from multi-product pipelines.

¹ ExxonMobil Research & Engineering, Fuel Products Division, Paulsboro Technical Center, 600 Billingsport Road, Paulsboro, NJ 08066.

ABSTRACT

This report provides the results and interpretation of a study into the water separation characteristics of aviation turbine fuels contaminated with diesel fuel lubricity additives. The study was undertaken to gain an understanding of the impact that these additives might have on the coalescence of water from jet fuel. Results indicate that low levels (10 ppm) of these additives (monoacid or ester-based) do not disarm one model of aviation fuel coalescers; however, higher concentrations (200 ppm) of additive may indeed disarm coalescers, especially in the case of the ester additive. Tests including the Single Element Test (SET), ExxonMobil Coalescence Test (EMCT), Microseparometer (MSEP), Water Shedding Property (WASP), Interfacial Tension (IFT) and ASTM D1094 Water Reaction were investigated to determine their ability to predict which fuel/additive combinations would disarm coalescers.