

Guidance on passive fire protection for process and storage plant and equipment

GUIDANCE ON PASSIVE FIRE PROTECTION FOR PROCESS AND STORAGE PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

First edition

March 2017

The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 23 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status;
- the Science Council to award Chartered Scientist status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit <http://www.energyinst.org>

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Apache North Sea | Repsol Sinopec |
| BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd | RWE npower |
| BP Oil UK Ltd | Saudi Aramco |
| Centrica | Scottish Power |
| Chevron North Sea Ltd | SGS |
| Chevron Products Company | Shell UK Oil Products Limited |
| CLH | Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd |
| ConocoPhillips Ltd | SSE |
| DCC Energy | Statkraft |
| DONG Energy | Statoil |
| EDF Energy | Tesoro |
| ENGIE | Taqva Bratani |
| ENI | Total E&P UK Limited |
| E.ON UK | Total UK Limited |
| ExxonMobil International Ltd | Tullow Oil |
| Innogy | Uniper |
| Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd | Valero |
| Maersk Oil North Sea UK Limited | Vattenfall |
| Nexen CNOOC | Vitol Energy |
| Phillips 66 | Woodside |
| Qatar Petroleum | World Fuel Services |

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2017 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 0 85293 824 9

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, <https://publishing.energyinst.org>.

Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies.

For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.

e: pubs@energyinst.org

Contents

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | 8 |
| Acknowledgements | 9 |
| 1 Introduction, scope and application | 10 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 10 |
| 1.2 Scope | 10 |
| 1.3 Application | 11 |
| 2 Process fire hazards and passive fire protection | 12 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 12 |
| 2.2 Process fire hazards | 12 |
| 2.3 Selection of fire measures | 12 |
| 2.4 Other passive fire protection measures | 13 |
| 3 Determining the need for PFP materials | 14 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 14 |
| 3.2 The role of fire risk assessment | 14 |
| 3.3 The concept of safety-critical elements and PFP | 16 |
| 3.4 PFP as area protection – firewalls/temporary refuges/occupied location protection | 17 |
| 3.5 Safety-critical elements (SCEs) – hazardous inventory containment | 17 |
| 3.5.1 Overpressure failure | 17 |
| 3.5.2 Thermal weakening failure | 17 |
| 3.5.3 Vessel and pipe supports and process structure thermal failure | 18 |
| 3.5.4 Flanges thermal failure | 18 |
| 3.6 Safety-critical elements (SCEs) – emergency systems protection | 19 |
| 3.6.1 Valves and actuators | 19 |
| 3.6.2 Structural support to flare and vent systems | 20 |
| 3.6.3 Critical cabling and control lines | 20 |
| 3.6.4 Protection of fire pump rooms/uninterruptable power supplies/ communication systems | 20 |
| 3.7 Advantages and disadvantages of PFP and AFP | 21 |
| 4 Typical fire hazards | 23 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 23 |
| 4.2 Fire types – general | 23 |
| 4.3 Hydrocarbon (HC) pool (diffuse) fires | 23 |
| 4.4 Hydrocarbon (HC) gas jet fires | 24 |
| 4.5 Hydrocarbon (HC) spray jet fires | 25 |
| 4.6 Heat flux | 25 |
| 5 Defining PFP material performance requirements | 28 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 28 |
| 5.2 Qualitative or quantified determination | 28 |
| 5.2.1 Fire exposure and extent of PFP application | 28 |
| 5.2.2 Maximum allowable temperature (MAT) of the protected item | 28 |
| 5.2.3 Duration | 29 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 5.3 | The use of technical publications | 29 |
| 5.3.1 | Qualitative determination limitations | 33 |
| 5.4 | Quantified performance specification | 33 |
| 5.4.1 | Quantified determination – thermal design loads | 33 |
| 5.4.2 | Quantified determination – failure criteria | 34 |
| 5.5 | Performance requirements for PFP materials on supporting steelwork | 34 |
| 5.5.1 | Structural steel MAT in fire | 35 |
| 5.6 | Hazardous inventory containment – vessels and process pipework | 36 |
| 5.6.1 | Trapped inventory protection – thermal overpressure failures | 36 |
| 5.6.2 | Trapped inventory protection – thermal wall weakening and failures below maximum allowable working pressure (MAWP) | 37 |
| 5.6.3 | Trapped inventory protection – interaction between PFP and pressure relief and blowdown systems | 38 |
| 5.7 | Other trapped inventory specification considerations – vessel design | 40 |
| 5.8 | Other trapped inventory specification considerations – thermal insulation | 41 |
| 5.9 | Other trapped inventory specification considerations – pipework | 41 |
| 5.10 | Other trapped inventory specification considerations – depressurising system interface and interaction | 41 |
| 5.11 | Hazardous inventory containment – associated valves and flanges | 41 |
| 5.12 | Safety-critical cabling and control lines | 42 |
| 6 | Interactions between PFP materials and AFP | 44 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 44 |
| 6.2 | General | 44 |
| 6.3 | Interaction of AFP and PFP – one taking credit for the other in design | 45 |
| 6.4 | Interaction of AFP and PFP – the effect of water on PFP material | 45 |
| 6.4.1 | Effect of water on blanket-type PFP material | 46 |
| 6.4.2 | Effect of water on cement-based PFP material | 46 |
| 6.4.3 | Effect of water on polymer-based PFP materials | 46 |
| 7 | Testing and certification as evidence of performance | 48 |
| 7.1 | Introduction | 48 |
| 7.2 | General | 48 |
| 7.3 | Fire testing | 49 |
| 7.4 | Hydrocarbon (HC) pool fire | 49 |
| 7.5 | Hydrocarbon (HC) jet fire | 50 |
| 8 | PFP material specification | 53 |
| 8.1 | Introduction | 53 |
| 8.2 | General | 53 |
| 8.3 | Explosion | 54 |
| 8.4 | Environmental conditions | 55 |
| 8.5 | Impact/physical damage | 56 |
| 8.6 | Process temperatures | 57 |
| 8.7 | Specifying the correct PFP material thickness | 57 |
| 8.7.1 | Structural steel | 58 |
| 8.7.2 | Vessels and pipework | 58 |
| 8.7.3 | Valves, actuators and flanges | 59 |
| 8.8 | Example specification | 60 |
| 8.9 | Typical PFP material issues – coatback, reinforcement and termination | 62 |
| 8.9.1 | Coatback | 62 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 8.9.2 | PFP reinforcement | 62 |
| 8.9.3 | PFP terminations | 63 |
| 9 | Characteristics of common generic PFP material types | 65 |
| 9.1 | Introduction | 65 |
| 9.2 | General | 65 |
| 9.3 | Concrete PFP | 67 |
| 9.4 | Lightweight cementitious (LWC) PFP | 68 |
| 9.5 | Polymer-based PFP | 70 |
| 9.6 | Man-made mineral fibre (MMMMF) insulation PFP | 72 |
| 9.6.1 | Mineral wool/Stone wool | 72 |
| 9.6.2 | High temperature insulation wool (HTIW) | 72 |
| 9.6.3 | Microporous and aerogel insulation as PFP | 74 |
| 9.6.4 | Soft jacket PFP enclosures | 75 |
| 9.7 | Cellular glass PFP | 75 |
| 10 | Application and installation of PFP materials | 76 |
| 10.1 | Introduction | 76 |
| 10.2 | General | 76 |
| 10.3 | Applicator/installer competence | 77 |
| 10.4 | Quality assurance (QA)/ quality control (QC) record keeping | 78 |
| 11 | Failure mechanisms of generic PFP materials | 80 |
| 11.1 | Introduction | 80 |
| 11.2 | Concrete PFP | 80 |
| 11.3 | Lightweight cementitious (LWC) PFP | 82 |
| 11.4 | Organic polymer-based PFP | 83 |
| 11.5 | Man-made mineral fibre (MMMMF) insulation and cellular glass PFP | 85 |
| 12 | Integrity management (IM) of protected plant and equipment under PFP materials | 87 |
| 12.1 | Introduction | 87 |
| 12.2 | General | 87 |
| 12.3 | Removable PFP systems | 87 |
| 12.4 | Fixed PFP systems | 88 |
| 12.5 | Inspection considerations | 89 |
| 13 | Inspection and maintenance of applied/installed PFP materials | 91 |
| 13.1 | Introduction | 91 |
| 13.2 | General | 91 |
| 13.3 | Responsibility, ownership and management | 93 |
| 13.3.1 | Functionality | 94 |
| 13.3.2 | Availability | 94 |
| 13.3.3 | Reliability | 94 |
| 13.3.4 | Survivability | 94 |
| 13.3.5 | Interaction | 94 |
| 13.4 | PFP inspection and maintenance | 95 |
| 13.5 | Anomaly assessment of PFP materials | 95 |
| 13.6 | Assessment of the importance of anomalies | 95 |
| 13.7 | Repair strategies | 96 |

| | | |
|----------------|---|------------|
| 14 | Re-evaluating PFP materials for change of use | 98 |
| 14.1 | Introduction | 98 |
| 14.2 | Considerations for long-term integrity | 98 |
| 14.3 | Changes in hazard or process conditions | 98 |
| Annex A | Glossaries of terms, acronyms and abbreviations | 99 |
| A.1 | Introduction | 99 |
| A.2 | Glossary of terms | 99 |
| A.3 | Glossary of acronyms and abbreviations | 104 |
| Annex B | References and bibliography | 108 |
| Annex C | The concept of section factor and methods of application/installation | 114 |
| C.1 | The concept of section factor | 114 |
| C.1.1 | Steel elements in fire and section factor | 114 |
| C.1.2 | Section factor (H_p/A) and PFP material requirements | 114 |
| C.1.3 | Section factor in the USA (W/D) | 115 |
| C.2 | Methods of applying/installing concrete or lightweight cementitious (LWC) PFP | 115 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| Figure 1 | Overview of FRA process | 14 |
| Figure 2 | Simplified procedure for optimising design of the depressurising and PFP systems for process equipment. | 38 |
| Figure 3 | Vessel response to fire | 39 |
| Figure 4 | Regulation 13 and part of Regulation 19 of NA PFEER | 92 |
| Figure 5 | Extract from Fig1A of API RP 2218. | 92 |
| Figure C.1 | Determination of heated perimeter and cross-sectional area | 115 |
| Figure C.2 | Contour detail. | 116 |
| Figure C.3 | Solid fill detail | 116 |
| Figure C.4 | Hollow box detail | 116 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| Table 1 | Typical heat flux values quoted in SN <i>NORSOK S-001</i> | 26 |
| Table 2 | Appropriate technical publications (codes, standards and guidelines) related to PFP use | 30 |
| Table 3 | Typical example of PFP requirements for performance and specification. | 60 |
| Table 4 | Typical uses of a selection of the most commonly used generic PFP material types. | 66 |
| Table 5 | Example PFP anomaly levels. | 96 |
| Table 6 | Example anomaly remedial actions | 97 |

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| Photo 1 | Large contained pool fire at Buncefield bulk fuels storage terminal, UK | 24 |
| Photo 2 | Large scale jet fire demonstration | 25 |
| Photo 3 | ISO 22899-1 jet fire test | 51 |
| Photo 4 | Explosion test undertaken at DNV GL testing and research facility, Spadeadam, Cumbria, UK | 55 |
| Photo 5 | Damaged concrete PFP – cracked and a potential dropped object hazard | 57 |
| Photo 6 | LWC PFP applied to structural steel using the contour method. | 69 |
| Photo 7 | LWC PFP on vessel saddle with insulation and cladding on vessel. | 69 |
| Photo 8 | Epoxy PFP spray applied to a vessel skirt | 70 |
| Photo 9 | Engineered composite flange covers | 71 |
| Photo 10 | AES blanket – aluminium faced | 73 |
| Photo 11 | AES blanket and cladding | 73 |
| Photo 12 | Rigid enclosure for valve | 74 |
| Photo 13 | Soft jacket PFP correctly installed | 75 |
| Photo 14 | Concrete PFP cracking along 'toes' of steel column flange | 81 |
| Photo 15 | Collapsed sphere with concrete PFP on legs that concealed CUI. | 82 |
| Photo 16 | Weathered and spalled LWC PFP on vessel wall. | 83 |
| Photo 17 | Weathered and damaged LWC PFP | 83 |
| Photo 18 | Cracked and detached epoxy PFP due to hot pipe | 84 |
| Photo 19 | Cladding removed and not replaced | 86 |
| Photo 20 | Jackets not replaced correctly | 86 |

FOREWORD

Guidance on passive fire protection for process and storage plant and equipment brings together the knowledge and good practice of many specialists and practitioners within the process and passive fire protection (PFP) industries, including major asset owners, design engineers and consultants, fire protection specialists, competent authorities (CAs) and PFP material manufacturers.

It provides guidance on the use of PFP materials as a fire control and mitigation option across the life cycle of process and storage assets in a fixed location, both for existing assets and new projects, onshore and offshore. The life cycle comprises the initial determination of PFP material requirements, specification of PFP material performance, generic PFP details in selection, installation/application, through to ongoing inspection, maintenance and the effects of changes on PFP duty.

Typical applications covered are use of PFP materials on items such as the main process unit structural steelwork, process vessels, storage vessels, process pipework, emergency shutdown valves (ESDVs), control valves, bolted flanges, and other permanent items whose failure could lead to incident escalation. It also includes the protection of support structures for equipment items (e.g. vessel saddles and skirts, steel pedestals, and lugs).

This technical publication does not provide a prescriptive set of 'rules' that must be followed nor a detailed 'how to' assessment procedure. Instead, it provides background information and guidance to those who select, specify and have ownership of PFP materials as part of fire protection measures.

The information contained in this publication is provided as guidance only. Whilst every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of its contents, the Energy Institute (EI) and the representatives listed in the Acknowledgements, cannot accept any responsibility for any actions taken, or not taken, on the basis of this information. The EI shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage that may arise from the use of the information contained in any of its publications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The need for this publication was identified by the EI's Process Safety Committee (PSC). The project to develop it was directed by EI's PFP Working Group (PFP WG), which is a PSC working group. Developmental work was contracted to MMI Engineering (MMIE): Graham Boaler and Ian Herbert were the main authors; other MMIE personnel assisted. Their work was steered by the PFP WG, whose members during the project included:

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Richard Carroll | BG Group |
| Kevin Westwood | BP International |
| Kieran Glynn | BP International |
| John Shotton | CAN Offshore Ltd |
| John Henderson (Chairperson) | Chicago Bridge and Iron (representing British Chemical Engineering Contractors Association (BCECA)) |
| Chris Fyfe | ConocoPhillips |
| Dr Mark Scanlon (Secretary) | Energy Institute |
| Mark Royle | Health and Safety Executive |
| Dr Deborah Willoughby | Health and Safety Laboratory |
| Dr Vincent Tam | Independent consultant |
| Kevin Waterton | Intertek Production and Integrity Assurance |
| Paul Mather | Paul Mather Consultancy LLP |
| Stuart Warburton | Shell Global Solutions International |
| Evert Jonker | Shell Global Solutions International |
| Jens Holen | Statoil |

The EI acknowledges their direction and technical contributions to the project. The listing refers to representatives' last affiliation whilst participating.

In addition, the EI acknowledges the following who provided significant comments during the stakeholder technical review:

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Adrian Bunn | Aker Solutions (representing British Chemical Engineering Contractors Association (BCECA)) |
| John Henderson (Chairperson) | Chicago Bridge and Iron (representing British Chemical Engineering Contractors Association (BCECA)) |
| Chris Fyfe | ConocoPhillips |
| | Engineering Equipment and Materials Users Association (EEMUA) |
| Mark Royle | Health and Safety Executive |
| Dr Deborah Willoughby | Health and Safety Laboratory |
| Terry Hedgeland | Independent consultant (member, EI Electrical Committee) |
| Kevin Waterton | Intertek Production and Integrity Assurance |
| Dave Freeman | KBR (representing British Chemical Engineering Contractors Association (BCECA)) |
| Dr David Piper | Maersk Oil |
| Paul Mather | Paul Mather Consultancy LLP |
| Andy Norman | PE Composites Ltd |
| Dr Paul Davison | Safety & Reliability Society |
| Evert Jonker | Shell Global Solutions International |
| Matthew Chalk | Solent Composite Systems Ltd |
| Jens Holen | Statoil |

1 INTRODUCTION, SCOPE AND APPLICATION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This technical publication brings together the knowledge and good practice of many specialists and practitioners within the process and passive fire protection industries, including asset owners, design engineers and consultants, fire protection specialists, competent authorities (CAs) and passive fire protection (PFP) material manufacturers.

This technical publication provides guidance on the use of PFP materials and their application across the life cycle of process and storage assets. It has been set out to cover the initial determination of PFP material requirements, specification of PFP material performance, generic PFP details in selection, installation/application, through to ongoing inspection, maintenance and the effects of changes on PFP duty.

There exist other technical publications (e.g. codes, standards and guidelines) that deal with certain aspects of PFP use and application; however, these only tend to focus on specific applications, e.g. structural or vessels, or have been too general and broad in application, e.g. the use of default performance durations to protect against generic fire types, whereas this technical publication aims to provide an overview to enable PFP to be fully integrated in the range of major accident hazard (MAH) control and mitigation measures.

1.2 SCOPE

The guidance provided in this technical publication applies to the use of PFP materials for the fire exposure protection of process and storage plant and equipment in a fixed location, both onshore and offshore. It is therefore applicable to the use of PFP materials on items such as the main process unit structural steelwork, process vessels, storage vessels, process pipework, emergency shutdown valves (ESDVs), control valves, bolted flanges, and other permanent items whose failure could lead to incident escalation. It also includes the protection of support structures for equipment items (e.g. vessel saddles and skirts, steel pedestals, and lugs).

The following are not in scope:

- Use of PFP covered by other technical publications, such as:
 - within civil 'building' elements;
 - enclosures containing emergency response systems (such as fire pumps, uninterruptable power supplies (UPSs) and communication systems;
 - on the main structure on an offshore platform such as the jacket, and
 - fire walls/barriers.
- Specialised PFP materials such as fire-resistant rubber for riser protection and for sealing.
- Pipe and cable penetration sealing systems.
- Mobile items such as road, rail and seagoing tankers/vessels. However, equipment such as fixed storage and transfer systems used to fill these mobile items is in scope.
- The occupational health implications of handling existing or new PFP materials. Users should read the pertinent safety data sheet (SDS).

1.3 APPLICATION

The installation operator has a duty to comply with all legal requirements relating to major accidents. All hazards should be identified and documented and all risks fully understood, suitably controlled and mitigated. One option in the control and mitigation of risk presented by fires in the process industry is by the use of PFP. This technical publication aims to assist the installation operator in the selection and use of PFP materials as part of process safety management (PSM).

This technical publication does not provide a prescriptive set of 'rules' that must be followed nor a detailed 'how to' assessment procedure. Instead, it provides background information and guidance to those who select, specify and have ownership of PFP materials as part of fire protection measures, such as asset owners and operators, consultancies, engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) contractors, CAs and inspection service companies. It should help them to understand the issues, specify requirements, make decisions, and manage PFP material on an ongoing basis. Although not specifically intended for PFP manufacturers, it should help to inform them on issues associated with use of PFP materials.

This technical publication applies equally to both existing assets and new projects.

This technical publication as far as possible references internationally recognised technical publications (e.g. codes, standards and guidelines) including those originating from Norway, United Kingdom (UK) and United States of America (USA). Although written with a UK focus, the intent is that it should be applicable to assets and projects worldwide. Local or national legislation should be observed.

This technical publication may be used by referring to appropriate sections as applicable to the life cycle stage in use of PFP materials, without the necessity to read it all. To support this, there is some intentional duplication of topics.