

Hydrocarbon management

HM 58

Guidelines for determination of flare quantities
from upstream oil and gas facilities

2nd edition

HM 58 GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINATION OF FLARE QUANTITIES
FROM UPSTREAM OIL AND GAS FACILITIES

2nd edition

July 2017

Published by
Energy Institute, London

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003
Registered charity number 1097899

The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 23 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status;
- the Science Council to award Chartered Scientist status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit <http://www.energyinst.org>

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd	RWE npower
BP Oil UK Ltd	Saudi Aramco
Centrica	Scottish Power
Chevron	SGS
CLH	Shell UK Oil Products Limited
ConocoPhillips Ltd	Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd
DCC Energy	SSE
DONG Energy	Statkraft
EDF Energy	Statoil
ENGIE	Talisman Sinopec Energy (UK) Ltd
ENI	Tesoro
E. ON UK	Total E&P UK Limited
ExxonMobil International Ltd	Total UK Limited
Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd	Tullow Oil
Maersk Oil North Sea UK Limited	Valero
Nexen	Vattenfall
Phillips 66	Vitol
Qatar Petroleum	World Fuel Services

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2017 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher,

ISBN 978 0 85293 847 8

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, <https://publishing.energyinst.org>.

Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies.

For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.

e: pubs@energyinst.org

CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword	7
Acknowledgements	8
1 Introduction	9
2 Scope	10
3 Background	11
3.1 EU Emissions Trading Scheme (EU ETS)	11
3.2 Key legislation covering flaring and venting	12
3.2.1 Objectives for flaring and vent consent	13
3.2.2 Approach to flare consent application	13
3.2.3 Developing legislation worldwide	13
3.2.4 Alternative country legislation	14
4 Categorisation, selection and methods	16
4.1 Installation categories	16
4.2 Source stream categorisation	16
4.3 The tier system	17
4.3.1 Tier selection	18
4.3.2 Calculation factor tier selection (special rules)	19
4.4 Source stream measurement approaches	19
4.4.1 Calculation-based approaches	19
4.4.2 Standard calculation-based approach	20
4.4.3 Mass balance calculation approach	22
4.4.4 Measurement-based approach	23
4.4.5 Fall-back approach	25
5 Operational considerations	27
5.1 Pressure – high and low flare systems	27
5.2 Temperature – high and low flare systems	27
5.2.1 Temperature – reference conditions	28
5.3 Gas composition	28
5.3.1 Acid gas flares	28
6 Determination of flare quantity (flow rate)	29
6.1 Indirect determination	29
6.1.1 'By-difference' method to determine total flare	29
6.1.2 Inventory calculation	29
6.2 Direct determination of flare quantity	31
6.2.1 Dedicated flare meter approach	31
6.2.2 Distributed metering approach	31
6.3 Combination of direct and indirect determination of flare quantity	32
7 Flare composition	33
7.1 EU ETS emissions factor	33

Contents continued

	Page
8 Flare metering technologies	36
8.1 Flow meter selection criteria	36
8.2 Flow meter installation considerations	37
8.2.1 Ultrasonic transit time meters	37
8.2.2 Thermal mass flow meters (TMFs)	38
8.2.3 Optical flow meters	39
9 Determination of flare composition	42
9.1 Indirect determination of flare composition	42
9.2 Direct determination of flare composition	42
9.2.1 Manual gas sampling and offline analysis by gas chromatograph	43
9.2.2 Automatic gas sampling and offline analysis by gas chromatograph	43
9.2.3 Online gas chromatographs	44
9.2.4 Optical spectroscopy	44
9.3 Determining molecular weight from speed of sound measurement	45
10 Flow meter installation considerations	47
10.1 Installation effects	47
10.1.1 Use of CFD techniques to determine flow meter installation error	48
10.2 Effect of Reynolds number	48
10.2.1 Laminar/turbulent flow	49
10.2.2 Roughness	49
11 Uncertainty	50
11.1 Sources of uncertainty	51
11.1.1 Basic meter uncertainty	51
11.1.2 Pipe diameter, D	52
11.1.3 Flow-related sources of uncertainty	52
11.1.4 Environmental sources of uncertainty	52
11.1.5 Secondary instrumentation	53
11.1.6 Uncertainty in the calculation of Z	53
11.1.7 Data acquisition	54
11.2 Source of uncertainty in flare quantity using ultrasonic flare gas meters	54
11.2.1 Metrology contributions (D , L and x) for ultrasonic flare gas meters	55
11.2.2 Flow-related sources of uncertainty for ultrasonic flare gas meters	55
11.2.3 Uncertainty in ultrasonic flare gas meters owing to environmental effects	56
11.2.4 Uncertainty in the calculation of Z and M for ultrasonic meters	56
11.3 Sources of uncertainty in flare gas quantity using thermal mass flow meters	57
11.4 Sources of uncertainty in flare gas quantity using optical flare gas meters	58
11.5 Uncertainty in flare quantity calculated using the by-difference technique	59
11.6 Sources of uncertainty in the determination of f_g	62
11.6.1 Uncertainty in f_g obtained by gas sampling	62
12 Calibration and verification	65
12.1 Laboratory calibration of flare gas meters	65
12.1.2 Meters installed <i>in situ</i>	65
12.2 <i>In situ</i> calibration of flare gas meters	65
12.2.1 Insertion probe techniques	66
12.2.2 Gas tracer techniques	66

Contents continued

	Page
12.3 <i>In situ</i> verification of flare meters	67
12.3.1 Ultrasonic transit time meters	67
12.3.2 Thermal mass probes	68
12.3.3 Optical meters	69
12.4 Calibration and meter verification competency	69
13 Transferred/inherent CO₂ and CCS	70
13.1 Transferred CO ₂ and CCS	70
13.2 Inherent CO ₂	71
14 Zero/near zero flaring	72
15 References	73
16 Other useful web links and references	76

Annexes

Annex A Sample investigation into installation effect on an ultrasonic flare gas meter using CFD analysis	78
A.1 Methodology	78
A.2 Uncertainty in the CFD method	79
Annex B Symbols, abbreviations and terminology	80
B.1 Glossary of symbols	80
Greek symbols	80
Prefixes and subscripts	80
B.2 Abbreviations	81
B.3 Terminologies and definitions	82

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

		Page
Figures		
Figure 1	Method of obtaining mass flow rate from the speed of sound measurement at low pressure (ideal gas assumed)	46
Figure A.1	Geometry and computational meshes used for the CFD simulation	79
Figure A.2	Illustration of data extraction method used in the CFD analysis	79
Tables		
Table 1	A brief description of each trading period	11
Table 2	EU ETS regulatory organisations.	12
Table 3	Flaring and venting legislation in U.S. and Canada.	14
Table 4	Installation categories	16
Table 5	Source stream categories.	17
Table 6	Example of tier system selection possibilities for a calculation-based approach.	17
Table 7	Calculation approach summary	18
Table 8	Measurement approach summary	19
Table 9	Tiers for CEMS (maximum permissible uncertainty for each tier).	24
Table 10	Minimum requirements for measurement-based methodologies	24
Table 11	Overall uncertainty thresholds for installations adopting the fall-back approach.	26
Table 12	Sensitivity of Q_N to changes in the input parameters	34
Table 13	Sensitivity of Q_N to changes in the input parameters for an optical meter.	55
Table 14	Example of uncertainty in the by-difference technique during normal flaring.	59
Table 15	Example of uncertainty in the by-difference technique during blowdown of an export line	61
Table 16	Example of sensitivity coefficients of f_e to x_i for a methane-rich gas mixture	61
Table 17	Student's t table (for a two-tailed distribution)	64

FOREWORD

The EI Hydrocarbon Management Committee is responsible for the production and maintenance of guidelines covering various aspects of static and dynamic measurement of petroleum and hydrocarbon gases. This publication has been instigated and managed by the Energy Institute's (EI) Upstream Hydrocarbon Management Committee, HMC-1, which deals with measurement applicable to the upstream sector of the industry and includes measurement for fiscal reporting, product allocation and streams associated with emissions trading.

Flaring and venting are methods of burning or releasing associated gas that is treated as a waste product from an offshore installation or gas terminal. This is necessary for maintaining process safety or for disposal of gas that it is technically or economically impractical to collect and transport.

Greenhouse gas emissions from oil and gas production are currently covered by 'The Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading Scheme Regulations of 2012' – Directive 2003/87/EC. This monitoring and reporting regulation (MRR Phase III) was implemented in January 2013. The 1st edition of HM 58 was published in 2008. HMC-1 have now developed a 2nd edition of HM 58 to provide up-to-date guidance on the application of measurement techniques and principles needed to comply with the current European Union Emissions Trading Scheme (EU ETS) requirements for the trading of flared quantities discharged from facilities involved in the upstream oil and gas industry.

This publication will be a useful aid to measurement specialists, environmental personnel and operations management principally within the UK upstream oil and gas industry. It will also be a valuable aid to similar personnel from other countries where controls on discharges from flares are being enforced.

This publication has been compiled for guidance only and while every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy and relevance of its contents, the Energy Institute, its sponsoring companies, the document writer and the Working Group members listed in the Acknowledgements who have contributed to its preparation, cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken, on the basis of this information. The Energy Institute shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage which may arise from the use of any of the information contained in any of its publications.

These guidelines may be reviewed from time to time and it would be of considerable assistance for any future revision if users would send comments or suggestions for improvements to the Technical Department, Hydrocarbon Management, Energy Institute, 61 New Cavendish Street, London, W1G 7AR (e:technical@energyinst.org)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Energy Institute (EI) wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the following individuals:

Nigel Bellew of Intertek: Calibration and Metering Services (CMS) for the preparation of these guidelines.

Members of the HMC-1 Flare Measurement Working Group who have assisted with steering the project and for providing valuable expertise:

John Alborough	Nulab
Martin Basil	Solv Ltd
Ian Bates	Total
Paul Batty	BEIS
Simon Barons	ConocoPhillips
Jim Barton	BP
Baron Bennington	SGS
Murdoch Campbell	TAQA
Paul Daniel	Emerson
Alan Elphinstone	Accord-ESL
Heather Fitzgerald	H and D Fitzgerald
Douglas Griffin	OGA
Mark Hay	Kelton
Phil Hunt	Oneflo
Jason Laidlaw	Emerson
Walter Macdonald	Accord-ESL
Alick MacGillvray	TUV NEL
Sandy Marshall	Nexen
Christopher Mills	TUV NEL
David Newman	BP
Richard Paton	Consultant/Petrofac
Denis Pinto	Premier Oil
Michael Reader-Harris	TUV NEL
Bob Sim	Shell
Stephen Smyth	Petrofac
Eddie Spearman	CNR
Alan Spence	Accord-ESL

The Energy Institute also wishes to acknowledge contributions made by the following personnel:

Martin Bruce	Intertek: Calibration and Metering Services (CMS)
Gordon Mackie	GE

During the development of this publication, other members of HMC-1 and other industry personnel were provided with copies for reference and were advised of progress.

1 INTRODUCTION

Our planet's climate is anything but simple: all kinds of factors influence it. However, despite all the complexities, a firm and ever-growing body of evidence points to a clear picture: the world is warming, and this warming is due to human activity increasing levels of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, and if emissions are allowed to continue, then global warming will continue too, with increasingly serious consequences.

The EU ETS (European Union Greenhouse Gas Emission Trading Scheme) Directive 2003/87/EC was implemented from 2005–2012 (Phase II), and for the first time, the scheme included emissions from offshore installations, which focused on the verification and integrity of measured data. Phase III has now commenced from 2013–2020 which is focused on more consolidated rules based on the knowledge and data gathered during Phase II.

The good news is that from 2013–2014, UK carbon emissions fell dramatically by 8.4 % whilst the economy grew faster than it has in any year since 2007. This was mainly due to a reduction in coal use and the generation of energy from renewable sources, but it illustrates how carbon reduction can play a crucial role in curbing the inevitability of global warming. Source Guardian

Activities such as the burning of produced gas as fuel for power generation, and the safe evacuation of excess gas through emergency flaring, comprise the major source of CO₂ emissions from upstream oil and gas facilities.

The major difficulty in managing flaring is accurately identifying how much gas is coming from the various sources that contribute to the overall flare volume. The measurement of emissions from flares is different to other gas-flow measurement and emission measurement applications due to the unpredictable nature of flaring, and the often hostile environment offshore makes exact measurement an extremely difficult and complex task.

Both the quantity of gas delivered to flare and its composition are required to determine the mass of CO₂ released to atmosphere for EU ETS reporting purposes. Molecular weight is required in order to calculate the total mass of gas flared for the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) reporting purposes; this can also be determined from the gas composition or directly using online measurement instrumentation. The units of the figures reported to BEIS (i.e. tonnes of gas flared) should not be confused with those reported to the EU ETS (tonnes of CO₂ produced by flaring).

2 SCOPE

The purpose of this publication is to provide guidance to oil and gas duty holders who monitor and report CO₂ emissions and to assist operators with interpretation of the requirements of the EU Emissions Trading Scheme (EU ETS) with regard to achieving the highest standard of monitoring for carbon dioxide emissions, as defined in the Commission Regulation (EU) No. 601/2012 of 21 June 2012, and the EU Monitoring and Reporting Regulation Guidelines (MRR Guidance document No. 1 (MRR), ('M&R Guidelines')).