El Research report

Aviation fuelling hazardous area classification



## EI RESEARCH REPORT

## AVIATION FUELLING HAZARDOUS AREA CLASSIFICATION

July 2017

Published by Energy Institute, London

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003 Registered charity number 1097899 The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 23 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status;
- the Science Council to award Chartered Scientist status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

#### For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

CentricaScottish PowerChevron North Sea LtdSGSChevron Products CompanyShell UK Oil Products LimitedCLHShell U.K. Exploration and ProConocoPhillips LtdSSEDCC EnergyStatkraftDONG EnergyStatoilEDF EnergyTesoroENGIETaqa BrataniENITotal E&P UK LimitedE. ON UKTotal UK LimitedExxonMobil International LtdValeroMaersk Oil North Sea UK LimitedVattenfallNexen CNOOCVitol EnergyPhillips 66WoodsideQatar PetroleumWorld Fuel Services	
---	--

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2017 by the Energy Institute, London. The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003. Registered charity number 1097899, England All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

#### ISBN 978 0 85293 844 7

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to El and IP publications is available via our website, **https://publishing.energyinst.org**. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the El Publications Team.

e: pubs@energyinst.org

# CONTENTS

### Page

Forew	vord		. 5
Acknowledgements			
1	Introd	duction	. 7
2	Meth	odology	. 8
3	<ul> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> <li>3.3</li> <li>3.4</li> <li>3.5</li> <li>3.6</li> <li>3.7</li> <li>3.8</li> <li>3.9</li> <li>3.10</li> <li>3.11</li> </ul>	ical literature reviewModel code of safe practice part 15, 4th editionInvestigation into explosion hazards during the handling of keroseneJET-A1 in tank farms and during the refuelling of aircraft, PTB-EX-2ePrevention of fire and explosion risks on plant for refuellingaircraft – Part 1 and 2 Verband TUV e. V.The UK Health & Safety Executive/Health & Safety Laboratory-ledjoint industry project3.4.1Research into mist explosion hazards, HSE RR 9803.4.2Mist fires and explosions3.4.3Area classification for oil mists – final reportRecommended practice for classification of locations for electricalinstallations at petroleum facilities, API 505Australian/New Zealand standard – classification of explosive gas atmospheresPTB FV-34014 and El 08/037Förster, H. Comment on El-overview of PTB research report. 9 January 2009.A qualitative review of electrostatic risks in jet fuel handling and distributionAM5204 – Quantified risk assessment of aircraft fuelling operationsConclusion	<ol> <li>11</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>16</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>
4	4.1 4.2 4.3	Ance for the HAC around aircraft fuelling operations.Hazardous material properties.Scope4.2.1Climate variationsRPS conclusions.4.3.1Releases from pipework joints and couplings4.3.2Release within a vessel4.3.3Releases from ventsAircraft refuelling operations direct example4.4.1In position ready to perform the fuelling operation.4.4.2Performing the fuelling operation.4.4.3In standby when no connections made to a hydrant or aircraft.	24 26 27 27 29 29 29 29 30 33
5	IATA 1	fuelling safety zones vs HAC direct examples	38
6	Biblio	graphy	40
Anne	хA	List of potential sources of release	41

# LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

### Figures

### Page

Figure 6Figure 8 from API 505, combustible liquid storage tank in a non-enclosed adequately ventilated area.18Figure ZA.25Aircraft refuelling at aircraft tarmac and ramp areas19Figure 7Figure 6.5 from AM5201 – cause of fuel spillage vs percentage of total fuel spilled.22
Figure ZA.25 Aircraft refuelling at aircraft tarmac and ramp areas
Figure 7 Figure 6.5 from $\Delta M5201 - cause of fuel spillage vs percentage of total fuel spilled 22$
righter righter 0.5 month (wiszon cause of racisplinage vs percentage of total racisplined
Figure 8 Impact between a baggage truck and fuel hydrant coupler
Figure 9 Jet A-1 properties and worst-case conditions
Figure 10         Refueller         31
Figure 11 Dispenser
Figure 12         Hydrant cart         32
Figure 13         Hydrant pit         33
Figure 14Refuelling by dispenser services
Figure 15Refuelling by refueller services36
Figure 16Refuelling by hydrant cart services37
Figure 17    IATA fuel safety zones

### Tables

Table 1	Documentation included in technical review and the summary of suggested zoning
	information
Table 2	Table 3.1 from EI 15: hazard radius (R1) from tank vent for category C fluid 13
Table 3	Hazardous area classification data sheet – Part I: flammable substance list and
	characteristics
Table 4	Standards and guidance that apply to aircraft refuelling equipment
Table 5	Hazardous area classification data sheet – list of sources of release

### FOREWORD

This El Research Report has been prepared by Andrew Garrison and Steve Sherwen of RPS Risk Management<sup>1</sup> under the direction of the Energy Institute's (El) Aviation Committee.

This work was commissioned to provide an authoritative hazardous area classification for aviation fuelling with jet fuel and to propose internationally-acceptable direct examples. This was in recognition that there is a large degree of standardisation in aviation fuelling vehicle design (hydrant dispensers, refuellers and hydrant carts) and that of vehicle-mounted service equipment.

The intention of this work was to achieve consensus agreement on a subject that has been debated for many years, with a wide range of regional and national interpretation, due to the relatively high flashpoint of jet fuel (well above ambient in temperate zones) and lack of quantitative data on mist/ spray formation.

This El Research Report is intended to assist all those involved in the design, construction, inspection and maintenance of aviation fuelling vehicles and all companies involved in the fuelling of commercial aircraft with jet fuel.

The El is not undertaking to meet the duties of employers to warn and equip their employees, and others exposed, concerning health and safety risks and precautions, nor undertaking their obligations under local and regional laws and regulations.

Nothing contained in any EI publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither shall anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

This report is intended to assist those involved in aviation fuel handling. Every effort has been made by the EI to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained herein; however, the EI makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any local or regional laws or regulations with which this publication may conflict.

<sup>1</sup> RPS Risk Management, 105 Dalton Avenue, Birchwood Park, Warrington, Cheshire, WA3 6YF, UK. Tel: +44(0)1925 831 000, http://www.rpsgroup.com

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This El Research Report was prepared by Andrew Garrison and Steve Sherwen of RPS Risk Management who are thanked for their significant contributions on this topic.

Jan de Wit (formerly of Shell Aviation), Bernhard Mädler (Shell Aviation) and Arthur Mitchell (Air BP) formed a Working Group under the direction of the Aviation Committee and provided considerable technical input to the study.

Bernhard Mädler, Nic Mason (Kuwait Petroleum International Aviation Company) and the staff at ASIG, Manchester, are thanked for their assistance in arranging a site visit.

A draft version of this report was made available for review by representatives of the following EI Aviation Committee and EI Area Classification Working Group member companies and organisations, who are thanked for their participation and contributions to the preparation of this publication:

Air BP Limited Air TOTAL Airlines for America Bechtel Chevron Civil Aviation Administration of China Compañía Logística de Hidrocarburos (CLH) ExxonMobil F.E.S. (EX) Ltd Haztech Consultants Health and Safety Executive Health and Safety Laboratory International Air Transport Association Joint Inspection Group Kuwait Petroleum International Aviation Company Ltd. Phillips 66 Shell Aviation Ltd. Shell Global Solutions Tesoro US Air Force Petroleum Office Valero Vitol Aviation World Fuel Services

The EI is grateful to the following organisations for granting permission to reproduce certain figures in this report:

API (Figure 6) Health and Safety Laboratory (Figure 5) IATA (Figure 17) Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand (Figure ZA.25)

Project coordination and editing was undertaken by Martin Hunnybun (EI).

## **1** INTRODUCTION

The El Aviation Committee has identified a need to standardise the classification of potentially hazardous zones around commercial jet aircraft fuelling across the world.

RPS was given the task of providing technical assistance to support the preparation of Hazardous Area Classification (HAC) guidance on the potentially flammable zones that may exist around jet aircraft fuelling operations.

The following sections follow the review of existing technical information on the HAC of aircraft refuelling and then provide guidance for the size and nature of zones, using the format of the direct examples given in EI 15 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. The zones and their hazardous extents are taken from the literature reviewed and applied pragmatically to reflect the nature and working environment of the aircraft fuelling equipment.

This publication has been created with Jet A-1/Jet A as the process fluid. If an aircraft's fuel tanks are filled with lower flash point fuels (e.g. TS-1 or AVGAS) then spills and vent vapours will have a higher risk of ignition and a specific study must be carried out to determine the extent of any hazardous zone.

# 2 METHODOLOGY

RPS had an initial meeting on 24 March 2016 with the EI Aviation Committee where information was gathered on aircraft refuelling operations and vehicles. RPS was shown dispenser and refueller equipment located at the ASIG facility and then witnessed an aircraft being refuelled by a dispenser at Manchester Airport to aid in their understanding of the process and the potential sources of release.



### Figure 1: A fuel dispenser fuelling an aircraft

RPS then conducted a technical review of available literature and documentation which was supplied by the EI Aviation Committee. The following operations were considered in the development of the HAC:

- in position ready to perform the fuelling operation;
- performing the fuelling operation, and
- in standby with no connections made to a hydrant or aircraft.

Following this technical review RPS presented its findings to the Aviation Committee at a meeting of the working group in Prague on 19 May 2016. Here RPS proposed the options for direct examples for the operations listed here. During the meeting the opinion was taken to construct a set of worldwide direct examples which could be applied to any aircraft refuelling operations, independent of the ambient temperature. Acceptance was gained and technical feedback given.