

## El literature review

---

## Biofuels - potential risks to UK water resources

---

EI LITERATURE REVIEW: BIOFUELS –  
POTENTIAL RISKS TO UK WATER RESOURCES

August 2008

Published by

**ENERGY INSTITUTE, LONDON**

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003  
Registered charity number 1097899

The Energy Institute (EI) is the leading chartered professional membership body supporting individuals and organisations across the energy industry. With a combined membership of over 13 500 individuals and 300 companies in 100 countries, it provides an independent focal point for the energy community and a powerful voice to engage business and industry, government, academia and the public internationally.

As a Royal Charter organisation, the EI offers professional recognition and sustains personal career development through the accreditation and delivery of training courses, conferences and publications and networking opportunities. It also runs a highly valued technical work programme, comprising original independent research and investigations, and the provision of IP technical publications to provide the international industry with information and guidance on key current and future issues.

The EI promotes the safe, environmentally responsible and efficient supply and use of energy in all its forms and applications. In fulfilling this purpose the EI addresses the depth and breadth of energy and the energy system, from upstream and downstream hydrocarbons and other primary fuels and renewables, to power generation, transmission and distribution to sustainable development, demand side management and energy efficiency. Offering learning and networking opportunities to support career development, the EI provides a home to all those working in energy, and a scientific and technical reservoir of knowledge for industry.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the Energy Institute (EI), funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit <http://www.energyinst.org.uk>

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

|                                    |   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| BG Group                           | Maersk Oil North Sea UK Limited           |
| BHP Billiton Limited               | Murco Petroleum Ltd                       |
| BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd    | Nexen                                     |
| BP Oil UK Ltd                      | Saudi Aramco                              |
| Centrica plc                       | Shell UK Oil Products Limited             |
| Chevron                            | Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd |
| ConocoPhillips Ltd                 | Statoil (U.K.) Limited                    |
| ENI                                | Talisman Energy (UK) Ltd                  |
| E. ON UK                           | Total E&P UK plc                          |
| ExxonMobil International Ltd       | Total UK Limited                          |
| Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd |   |

Copyright © 2008 by the Energy Institute, London:  
The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.  
Registered charity number 1097899, England  
All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

The information contained in this publication is provided as guidance only and while every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of its contents, the Energy Institute cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken, on the basis of this information. The Energy Institute shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage which may arise from the use of any of the information contained in any of its publications.

The above disclaimer is not intended to restrict or exclude liability for death or personal injury caused by own negligence.

ISBN 978 0 85293 519 4

Published by the Energy Institute

Further copies can be obtained from  
Portland Customer Services, Commerce Way, Whitehall Industrial Estate, Colchester CO2 8HP, UK. Tel: +44 (0) 1206 796 351  
email: [sales@portland-services.com](mailto:sales@portland-services.com)

Electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, [www.energyinstpubs.org.uk](http://www.energyinstpubs.org.uk).  
Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies.  
For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.  
e: [pubs@energyinst.org](mailto:pubs@energyinst.org)

# CONTENTS

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| <b>Foreword</b> .....                           | v    |
| <b>Acknowledgements</b> .....                   | vi   |
| <b>1 Introduction</b> .....                     | 1    |
| 1.1 Background .....                            | 1    |
| <b>2 Scope</b> .....                            | 3    |
| <b>3 Defining biofuels</b> .....                | 4    |
| <b>4 Production and distribution</b> .....      | 6    |
| 4.1 Biodiesel .....                             | 6    |
| 4.2 Bioethanol .....                            | 7    |
| 4.3 Biobutanol .....                            | 9    |
| 4.4 Biomethanol .....                           | 9    |
| 4.5 ETBE .....                                  | 10   |
| <b>5 Physical and chemical properties</b> ..... | 11   |
| 5.1 Biodiesel .....                             | 11   |
| 5.2 Bioethanol .....                            | 13   |
| 5.3 Biobutanol .....                            | 15   |
| 5.4 Biomethanol .....                           | 16   |
| 5.5 ETBE .....                                  | 17   |
| <b>6 Fate and transport</b> .....               | 19   |
| 6.1 Biodiesel .....                             | 19   |
| 6.2 Bioethanol .....                            | 20   |
| 6.2.1 Partitioning .....                        | 20   |
| 6.2.2 Degradation .....                         | 21   |
| 6.2.3 Influence on other hydrocarbons .....     | 22   |
| 6.3 Biobutanol .....                            | 25   |
| 6.4 Biomethanol .....                           | 26   |
| 6.5 ETBE .....                                  | 27   |
| 6.6 Fate and transport summary .....            | 28   |
| <b>7 Toxicology</b> .....                       | 29   |
| 7.1 Biodiesel .....                             | 29   |
| 7.2 Bioethanol .....                            | 29   |
| 7.3 Biobutanol .....                            | 30   |
| 7.4 Biomethanol .....                           | 30   |
| 7.5 ETBE .....                                  | 30   |

| <b>Contents cont...</b>  | <b>Page</b> |
|--|-------------|
| <b>8 Remediation</b> .....   | 32          |
| 8.1 Biodiesel .....  | 32          |
| 8.2 Bioethanol .....   | 32          |
| 8.3 Biobutanol .....   | 32          |
| 8.4 Biomethanol .....  | 33          |
| 8.5 ETBE .....   | 33          |
| 8.6 Summary of remediation techniques .....  | 33          |
| <b>9 Conclusions</b> .....   | 35          |
| 9.1 Biodiesel .....  | 35          |
| 9.2 Bioethanol .....   | 35          |
| 9.3 Biobutanol .....   | 36          |
| 9.4 Biomethanol .....  | 36          |
| 9.5 ETBE .....   | 36          |
| 9.6 Risk ranking .....   | 37          |
| 9.7 Data gaps .....  | 37          |
| <b>Annex A References</b> .....  | 38          |
| <b>Annex B List of abbreviations</b> .....   | 46          |
| <b>Tables</b>  |             |
| Table 1: Production of ethanol (synthetic and bio) in 2004 .....   | 8           |
| Table 2: ETBE production capacities Europe 2005 (EFOA, 2006) .....   | 10          |
| Table 3: Physical and chemical properties of FAME .....  | 12          |
| Table 4: Physical and chemical properties of biodiesel antioxidants .....  | 13          |
| Table 5: Physical and chemical properties of ethanol .....   | 13          |
| Table 6: Physical and chemical properties of denatonium benzoate .....   | 15          |
| Table 7: Physical and chemical properties of 1-butanol .....   | 15          |
| Table 8: Physical and chemical properties of methanol .....  | 16          |
| Table 9: Physical and chemical properties of ETBE .....  | 17          |
| Table 10: Summary of model results of effect of ethanol on BTEX plume length .....                               | 24          |
| Table 11: Summary of the main fate and transport parameters for biofuels .....                                   | 28          |
| Table 12: Likely applicability of remediation technologies for soils/groundwater impacted<br>with biofuels ..... | 34          |
| <b>Figures:</b>  |             |
| Figure 1: Molecular structure of methyl oleate .....   | 11          |
| Figure 2: Molecular structure of ethanol .....   | 14          |
| Figure 3: Molecular structure of denatonium benzoate .....   | 15          |
| Figure 4: Molecular structure of 1-butanol .....   | 16          |
| Figure 5: Molecular structure of methanol .....  | 17          |
| Figure 6: Molecular structure of ETBE .....  | 18          |

## FOREWORD

It is widely recognised that the use of biofuels for road transport in the UK and throughout the world is increasing. Decisions concerning the use and management of new fuel components must be underpinned by a sound understanding of the potential risks. Experience in the US with the fuel component methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE) has served to highlight that understanding risks to groundwater is of particular issue.

The project was commissioned with the aim of producing a review of available information on road transport fuels and to assess the potential impacts that the storage, transportation and use of biofuels could have on groundwater resources in the UK. It is intended that the information within this review could be used by decision makers in industry and government to make informed judgments on the potential risks to groundwater posed by certain biofuels.

The information contained in this publication is provided as guidance only and while every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of its contents, the EI and its technical committees cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken, on the basis of this information. The EI shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage that may arise from the use of any of the information contained in any of its publications.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The review of available literature and preparation of this report was undertaken by Simon Firth (WorleyParsons, Komex Limited).

The project was jointly funded by the Energy Institute and the Environment Agency for England and Wales. The Institute wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the author and also its gratitude for the valuable contributions made by the steering panel consisting of the Energy Institute's Soil Waste Groundwater Group and the Environmental Agency:

|                   |                    |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Michael Dale      | Total              |
| Martyn Dunk       | ExxonMobil         |
| Alwyn Hart        | Environment Agency |
| Chris Hughes      | Chevron Texaco     |
| Martyn Lambson    | BP                 |
| Matthew Lahvis    | Shell              |
| Gordon Lethbridge | Shell              |
| Jenny Lyn         | EI                 |
| Martin Maeso      | EI                 |
| Chris Moody       | Environment Agency |
| Fraser Will       | Total              |

# 1 INTRODUCTION

The use of biofuels for road transport in the UK and throughout the world is increasing. This increase in use is largely driven by policies to reduce carbon emissions and to decrease reliance on non-renewable fossil fuels. However, the manufacture, distribution and use of biofuels also present potential risks to the environment and it is important to understand such risks to ensure that any harmful effects are managed and minimised.

Understanding the risks to groundwater is of particular issue in light of experience in the US with the fuel component methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE). The use of MTBE was encouraged to improve air quality, but subsequent concern over the potential impacts to groundwater has led to the use of this compound being banned in several states. Thus, it is important to understand the potential risks from any new fuel components to properly inform decisions concerning their use and management. This report describes the potential for risk that the distribution and use of biofuels could pose to groundwater resources in the UK.

## 1.1 BACKGROUND

The increase in use of biofuels for road transport is driven by many factors including concerns about greenhouse gas emissions, national energy security issues and increases in crude oil prices (NNFCC, 2006). In Europe, the most significant factor is undoubtedly the EU "Biofuels Directive" (Directive 2003/30/EC), introduced in May 2003. This called for Member States to set national indicative targets promoting the increased use of biofuels or other renewable fuels for transport. In response to the directive, the UK Department of Transport introduced the Renewable Transport Fuels Obligation (RTFO) in November 2005. This places a legal requirement on transport fuel suppliers to ensure that a specified percentage of their overall fuel sales is from a renewable source. The timeframe for achieving the specified percentages is as follows:

- 2,5% by volume in 2008-09 financial year
- 3,75% by volume in 2009-10 financial year
- 5% by volume in 2010-11 financial year

Road transport fuels consumption figures show that approximately 18 million tonnes of petrol and 21 million tonnes of diesel were used within the UK in 2007 (BERR, 2007). Thus, the RTFO is expected to result in a significant volume of biofuels being used within the UK. A variety of financial incentives are offered to encourage these targets to be met. These include duty reductions for biofuels, penalty taxation for non-compliance and tax reduction incentives for the construction of biofuel processing plants. The implementation of the RTFO should mean that the volume of biofuels used within Europe, including the UK, will increase significantly over the coming years. Indeed, figures from European Commission (2006) indicate that 1,7 million tonnes of biofuels were produced in the European Union in 2003, 26% more than 2002.

The legislative requirement for increased use of biofuels has led to further debate on the environmental costs and benefits associated with their use. A recent report by the Royal Society (2008) dispels the myth that biofuels are carbon neutral and points to the range of potential impacts that must be considered when assessing the merits of different biofuels. The potential impacts to groundwater are just one of many potential impacts. Other potential impacts are not within the scope of this report but are summarised below to enable the potential groundwater impacts to be placed in context.



An important element of the debate is the greenhouse gas savings that arise from biofuels relative to fossil fuels. Clearly, any carbon released during biofuel combustion was originally absorbed from the atmosphere during growth. However, significant carbon emissions are also associated with the energy used for the growth and manufacture of biofuels and can also occur from soils as a result of the clearance of land for growing biofuel crops. The carbon emission performance of biofuels is largely related to the efficiency of their production relative to that of fossil fuels.

In general, studies assessing the carbon emissions from the overall lifecycle of biofuels conclude that they result in lower carbon emissions than fossil fuels. For example, work conducted for DEFRA (Sheffield Hallam University, 2003) showed that biodiesel resulted in 71% less carbon emissions than fossil fuel diesel. A similar result was obtained by a study for the US Departments of Agriculture and Energy, which showed that biodiesel resulted in 75% less carbon emissions (NREL, 1998).

The reported carbon emissions savings from bioethanol are more variable and are largely dependent on the type of feedstock and production process and whether by-products can be utilised. A recent lifecycle assessment study conducted at Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Groode, 2006) states that bioethanol manufactured from corn creates as much carbon dioxide per unit energy produced as fossil fuel. However, bioethanol produced from more energy efficient crops, such as sugar beet and wheat, results in lower carbon emissions. A European study has shown that bioethanol produced from these crops results in 27% lower greenhouse gas emissions than fossil fuels (CONCAWE, 2002).

Another important concern in the biofuel debate is the potential impacts caused by increased demand for land for growing biofuel crops. The area of land required for the growth of crops used as a feedstock for biofuel production could be significant. For example, to meet the 5% biofuel target for the UK approximately two million tonnes of biofuel will be required each year. Assuming an approximate yield of one tonne of biofuel per hectare per year, an area of agricultural land of the order of two million hectares would be required to fulfil the UK's biofuel demand. This area equates to approximately one third of all arable land within the UK. The increased demand for agricultural land could result in an increase in food prices and lead to other impacts such as a reduction in biodiversity (e.g. replacement of South American rainforest with sugar cane plantations [ENDS, 2007a]) and deterioration in groundwater quality caused by an increased use of fertilisers.

Possible increases in smog and associated health effects caused by the combustion of biofuels have also been predicted (ENDS, 2007b).