

Hydrocarbon management

HM 78

Guidelines for meter selection for the upstream oil
and gas industry

1st edition

HM 78

GUIDELINES FOR METER SELECTION FOR THE UPSTREAM OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY

1st edition

July 2019

Published by

Energy Institute, London

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003
Registered charity number 1097899

The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 20 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuel distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit **energyinst.org**

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

Andeavor	Phillips 66
Apache North Sea	Qatar Petroleum
BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd	Repsol Sinopec
BP Oil UK Ltd	RWE npower
Centrica	Saudi Aramco
Chevron North Sea Ltd	Scottish Power
Chevron Products Company	SGS
Chrysaor	Shell UK Oil Products Limited
CLH	Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd
ConocoPhillips Ltd	SSE
DCC Energy	TAQA Bratani
EDF Energy	Total E&P UK Limited
ENI	Total UK Limited
E.ON UK	Tullow Oil
Equinor	Uniper
ExxonMobil International Ltd	Valero
Innogy	Vattenfall
Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd	Vitol Energy
Nexen CNOOC	Woodside
Ørsted	World Fuel Services
Perenco	

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2019 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 081 9

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, **publishing.energyinst.org**.

Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies.

For more information, contact the EI Publications Team at **pubs@energyinst.org**

CONTENTS

Foreword	6
1 Introduction	7
2 Meter selection process	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Process conditions	8
2.3 Typical meter locations	9
2.4 Fluid phases	11
2.5 Presence of specific chemicals	11
2.6 Selection process	12
2.7 Uncertainty requirements	13
2.8 Cost constraints	14
2.9 Installation considerations	14
2.9.1 Maintenance redundancy and access	14
2.9.2 Pipework	14
2.9.3 Provision of a bypass	15
2.9.4 Direction of flow and meter orientation	15
2.9.5 Flow control valves	16
2.9.6 Location	16
2.9.7 Trace heating and insulation	16
2.9.8 Electrical connections	16
2.10 Operational considerations	16
2.10.1 Changes in operating parameters	16
2.10.2 Operational costs	17
2.11 Maintenance considerations	17
2.11.1 Maintenance costs	17
2.11.2 Meters with functional and verification diagnostics	18
2.12 Calibration	18
3 Common types of meter (primary element)	19
3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 Turbine meters	19
3.3 Orifice plates	20
3.4 Coriolis meters	20
3.5 Ultrasonic meters (USM)	21
3.6 Positive displacement meters (PD)	21
3.7 Multi-phase flow meters (MPFM)	22
3.8 Vortex meters	22
3.9 Venturi meters	22
3.10 Cone meters	22
3.11 Annubar/Pitot tubes	23
3.12 Electromagnetic (MAG) meters	23

Contents continued

	Page
Annexes	
Annex A Bibliography	36
Annex B Examples of meter installations	40
B.1 Liquid turbine meter run.	41
B.2 Liquid ultrasonic (USM) meter run	42
B.3 Gas orifice plate meter run.	43
B.4 Gas ultrasonic meter run	44
B.5 Liquid positive displacement (PD) meter run	45
B.6 Liquid coriolis meter run.	46
B.7 Multiphase metering	47
B.8 Sampling and density meter	48

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

	Page
Figures	
Figure 1	Simplified hydrocarbon process 10
Figure 2	General meter selection 12
Figure 3	Uncertainty profile of various meter types. 35
Tables	
Table 1	Typical uncertainties. 13
Table 2	Overview of meter characteristics and performance 24

FOREWORD

Measurement accuracy is essential in the sale, purchase and handling of petroleum products. It reduces the likelihood of disputes between buyer and seller and facilitates control of losses. Accurate measurement demands the use of standard equipment and procedures that are traceable to national reference standards.

The Energy Institute (EI) Hydrocarbon Management Committee is responsible for the production and maintenance of standards and guidelines covering various aspects of static and dynamic measurement of petroleum. The EI maintains liaison with parallel working groups of the American Petroleum Institute's (API-MPMS) Committee on Petroleum Measurement, and other organisations concerned with quantitative measurement in other countries and in other industries.

The EI Hydrocarbon Management Guidelines (formerly Petroleum Measurement Manual and Petroleum Measurement Papers) are widely used by the petroleum industry and have received recognition in many countries by consumers and the authorities. In order to promote international good practice the EI works via the British Standards Institute (BSI) to develop standards through the International Standards Organization's technical committee TC-28 Petroleum Products and related products of synthetic or biological origin and its sub-committee TC28/SC2 Measurement of petroleum and related products.

A full list of Hydrocarbon Management guidelines is available on request from the EI.

The EI Hydrocarbon Management guidelines are recommended for general adoption but should be read and interpreted in conjunction with safety, environmental, weights and measures, customs and excise and other regulations in force in the particular country in which they are to be applied. Such regulatory requirements have precedence over corresponding clauses in the EI document except where the requirements of the latter are more rigorous, when its use is recommended. Users should also consider contractual constraints imposed by any other interested party.

Although it is believed that adoption of the recommendations of this guideline will assist the user, the EI cannot accept any responsibility, of whatsoever kind, for damage or alleged damage arising or otherwise occurring where this document has been applied.

Attention is also drawn to the fact that some of the equipment mentioned in the guide is protected by patents throughout the world. The mention of any proprietary information in this guide does not imply its endorsement by the EI for any particular application; neither does omission imply rejection.

Users of these guidelines are invited to send comments, suggestions, or details of relevant experience to:

Technical Department
Hydrocarbon Management
Energy Institute
61 New Cavendish Street
London
W1G 7AR
United Kingdom
energyinst.org

1 INTRODUCTION

The selection of the correct meter or primary element along with the appropriate secondary instrumentation is fundamental to the performance and/or reliability of any metering system. The implications of the wrong selections may result in:

- reduction in confidence in the reported figures;
- increased financial exposure due to higher uncertainties, and
- increased maintenance/man-hour issues.

Detailed standards covering the selection of meters already exist such as BS 7405:1991 *Guide to selection and application of flow meters for the measurement of fluid flow in closed conduits*, and this document is intended to be complementary to these as it provides guidance to assist designers and metering technicians when deciding on the type of metering device to install for a particular type of fluid stream.

Of all process measurements, flow measurement is the most important as it has the greatest contribution to the economic viability of any project involved with the sale of oil and gas production.

For a given development project, operators should discuss the planned measurement approach and likely uncertainty levels with regulatory authorities at the earliest possible stage in field development. Irrespective of the regulatory authority's requirements for measurement uncertainty, operators should consider the use of an uncertainty evaluation at an early stage for commercial reasons, particularly at the contract negotiation stage and then throughout field life.

To avoid problems during operation, operators should also ensure that the relevant process conditions that are to be attributed to any primary measurement meter are fully understood and documented and made available to the respective engineering disciplines at the design stage.