

# Guidelines for the management of structural ALE issues for mono-hull FPSOs

GUIDELINES FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF  
STRUCTURAL ALE ISSUES FOR MONO-HULL FPSOs

First edition

October 2019

Published by

**Energy Institute, London**

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003  
Registered charity number 1097899

The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 20 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit <http://www.energyinst.org>

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd	Qatar Petroleum
BP Oil UK Ltd	Repsol Sinopec
Centrica	RWE npower
Chevron North Sea Ltd	Saudi Aramco
Chevron Products Company	Scottish Power
Chrysaor	SGS
CLH	Shell UK Oil Products Limited
ConocoPhillips Ltd	Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd
DCC Energy	SSE
EDF Energy	TAQA Bratani
ENI	Total E&P UK Limited
E. ON UK	Total UK Limited
Equinor	Tullow Oil
ExxonMobil International Ltd	Uniper
Innogy	Valero
Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd	Vattenfall
Nexen CNOOC	Vitol Energy
Ørsted	Woodside
Perenco	World Fuel Services
Phillips 66	

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2019 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 112 0

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, <https://publishing.energyinst.org>.

Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies.

For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.

e: [pubs@energyinst.org](mailto:pubs@energyinst.org)

---

## CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>9</b>
1.1 Introduction .....	9
1.2 Scope .....	9
<b>2 Application</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>3 Regulatory requirements</b> .....	<b>11</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	11
3.2 UK .....	11
3.3 Other regions .....	12
3.4 Industry standards and guidance .....	12
<b>4 Operator requirements</b> .....	<b>14</b>
4.1 General .....	14
4.2 Establishing the goal .....	14
4.2.1 Extending the field life with FPSO on-station .....	15
4.2.2 Off-station modifications and repairs .....	15
4.2.3 Replacing the asset .....	15
4.2.4 Decommissioning the field .....	16
4.3 Understanding the condition of the FPSO .....	16
<b>5 General considerations of ageing and life extension</b> .....	<b>17</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	17
5.2 Data .....	18
5.3 Evaluation .....	18
5.4 Triggers for an engineering assessment .....	19
5.5 Strategy .....	19
5.5.1 Inspection planning .....	19
5.5.2 Anomaly management .....	20
5.5.3 Life extension strategy .....	23
5.5.4 Decommissioning strategy and preparation for end of life .....	23
5.6 Program .....	24
5.6.1 Inspection and monitoring programmes .....	24
5.6.2 Fabric maintenance programmes vs. dedicated tank coating refurbishment projects .....	25
5.7 Review and audit .....	25
5.8 Skills, training and competence .....	25
<b>6 Specific considerations for FPSOs</b> .....	<b>27</b>
6.1 General .....	27
6.2 Important structural aspects of FPSOs .....	27
6.2.1 Global loading .....	27
6.2.2 Local loading .....	28

---

**Contents continued**

	<b>Page</b>
6.3	Important practical aspects of FPSOs . . . . . 28
6.3.1	Maintaining the structural integrity inspection program . . . . . 28
6.3.2	Bow-wave of work . . . . . 29
6.3.3	Accommodation . . . . . 29
6.3.4	Gaining access . . . . . 30
6.3.5	Ability to move 'off-station' . . . . . 30
6.4	Tank contents . . . . . 32
6.5	Examples of typical FPSO structural issues . . . . . 32
6.5.1	General . . . . . 32
6.5.2	Breakdown of internal protective tank coatings . . . . . 33
6.5.3	Breakdown of external protective coatings . . . . . 35
6.5.4	Corrosion . . . . . 36
6.5.5	Fatigue cracks . . . . . 40
6.5.6	External hull degradation issues . . . . . 41
6.5.7	Structure deformation . . . . . 42
6.5.8	Design and construction issues . . . . . 42
6.5.9	Modifications . . . . . 42
6.5.10	Breakdown of corrosion protection systems . . . . . 43
6.6	Case studies . . . . . 43
<b>7</b>	<b>ALE engineering assessment . . . . . 44</b>
7.1	General . . . . . 44
7.2	Triggers for an ALE engineering assessment . . . . . 45
7.2.1	Change in criteria . . . . . 45
7.2.2	External changes . . . . . 46
7.2.3	Degradation or time related changes in condition . . . . . 47
7.2.4	Change in intent . . . . . 48
7.3	Screening assessment . . . . . 48
7.4	Additional assessment and inputs . . . . . 48
7.4.1	Follow-up inspection . . . . . 49
7.4.2	Phased analysis . . . . . 49
7.5	Assessment outcomes and actions . . . . . 50
<b>8</b>	<b>Hull structural analysis . . . . . 51</b>
8.1	General . . . . . 51
8.2	Analysis provider . . . . . 52
8.3	Analysis brief . . . . . 52
8.4	Analysis assumptions . . . . . 52
8.5	Pre-analysis review . . . . . 53
8.6	Hydrodynamic analysis . . . . . 54
8.6.1	Asset mass model . . . . . 54
8.6.2	Hydrodynamic and mass model validation . . . . . 54
8.6.3	Heading analysis . . . . . 55
8.6.4	Environmental data . . . . . 55
8.6.5	Heading analysis validation . . . . . 55
8.6.6	Hydrodynamic response analysis . . . . . 55
8.6.7	Radii of gyration for roll, pitch and yaw . . . . . 56
8.6.8	Roll damping . . . . . 56
8.6.9	Vessel loading conditions . . . . . 56
8.6.10	Definition of wave headings . . . . . 57

**Contents continued**

	<b>Page</b>
8.6.11 Internal pressure loads (loads on tank boundaries) . . . . .	57
8.6.12 External pressure loads . . . . .	57
8.6.13 Hydrodynamic response validation . . . . .	57
8.7 Structural finite element analysis . . . . .	58
8.7.1 Extent of models . . . . .	58
8.7.2 Elements and mesh topology . . . . .	58
8.7.3 FE model verification . . . . .	58
8.7.4 Load transfer . . . . .	59
8.7.5 Verification of load transfer . . . . .	59
8.7.6 Verification of responses . . . . .	59
8.8 Hull strength assessment . . . . .	60
8.9 Hull fatigue assessment . . . . .	61
8.9.1 Fatigue stress range . . . . .	61
8.9.2 High cycle fatigue damage and fatigue life . . . . .	61
8.9.3 Low cycle fatigue damage . . . . .	61
8.10 Post analysis assessment . . . . .	61
8.11 Common analysis issues . . . . .	63
<b>9 Assessment outcome and actions . . . . .</b>	<b>65</b>
9.1 Typical structural repairs . . . . .	65
9.2 Coating protection . . . . .	66
9.3 Cathodic protection . . . . .	66
9.4 Onboard and structural monitoring systems . . . . .	67
<b>10 Understanding the implications . . . . .</b>	<b>69</b>
10.1 Life extension study . . . . .	69
10.2 Work methods . . . . .	70
<b>11 Managing the future . . . . .</b>	<b>71</b>
11.1 Following the approved strategy . . . . .	71
11.2 Managing and using the data set . . . . .	71
11.3 Transferring to an RBI scheme . . . . .	71
11.4 Technology . . . . .	73
 <b>Annexes</b>	
<b>Annex A Abbreviations . . . . .</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>Annex B References . . . . .</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>Annex C FPSO practical case studies . . . . .</b>	<b>79</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Figures</b>	
Figure 1	Structural integrity management flowchart . . . . . 17
Figure 2	Inspection and maintenance strategies . . . . . 20
Figure 3	Typical anomaly management process. . . . . 22
Figure 4	Example of premature coating failure due to poor preparations. . . . . 33
Figure 5	Example of typical FPSO water ballast tank erection joint coating failings. . . . . 34
Figure 6	Example of typical FPSO external protective coating failure (upper deck) . . . . . 35
Figure 7	Example of typical FPSO external protective coating failure (side shell) . . . . . 35
Figure 8	Example of typical FPSO external protective coating failure (underwater) . . . . . 36
Figure 9	Guidance on pitting extents. . . . . 37
Figure 10	Example of typical FPSO hull structure corrosion issues (water ballast tank) . . . . . 38
Figure 11	Example of typical FPSO hull structure corrosion issues (water ballast tank) . . . . . 38
Figure 12	Example of typical FPSO hull structure corrosion issues (cargo oil tank) . . . . . 39
Figure 13	Example of typical FPSO hull structure corrosion issues (cargo oil tank) . . . . . 39
Figure 14	Example of typical FPSO hull structure corrosion issues (cargo oil tank) . . . . . 40
Figure 15	Example of FPSO hull structure fatigue crack originating from a bracket toe . . . . . 41
Figure 16	Depleted anode following cleaning of loose anode material . . . . . 43
Figure 17	Engineering assessment flowchart. . . . . 44
Figure 18	High-Level FPSO life extension study . . . . . 69
<b>Tables</b>	
Table 1	Off-station event considerations . . . . . 31
Table 2	Engineering trigger assessments . . . . . 45
Table 3	Common analysis issues . . . . . 63

## **FOREWORD**

This document has been produced by Marine Technical Limits (MTL) on behalf of the Energy Institute (EI). It is intended to address the management of structural ageing and life extension (ALE) issues for mono-hull floating production storage and offloading (FPSOs).

Although it is anticipated that this publication will assist those involved in the maintenance and operation of ageing FPSOs, the information contained in this publication is provided as guidance only. Whilst every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of its contents, the EI and the technical representatives listed in the acknowledgements, cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken, on the basis of this information. The EI shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage which may arise from the use of any of the information contained in any of its publications.

The above disclaimer is not intended to restrict or exclude liability for death or personal injury caused by own negligence.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Technical Department, Energy Institute, 61 New Cavendish Street, London, W1G 7AR.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The EI wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the following Ageing and Life Extension Committee (ALECOM) members over the project duration:

Steve Temple (Chair)	Total
Stuart Gosling (Deputy Chair)	Nexen CNOOC
Kingsley Anunobi	ConocoPhillips
Hugh Borland	BP
Ramsay Fraser	BP
Alistair Hardey	Shell
Innes Lawtie	Equinor
Richard McKeown	Chevron
Donald McKinnon	Chevron
Martin Munday	Spirit Energy
Kenny Robertson	Taq
Fraser Selfridge	Taq
Don Smith	ENI
Fergus Stewart	Repsol Sinopec
Konstantinos Vatopoulos	Aramco

In addition, thanks to the ALECOM Observer members of ALECOM for comment, feedback and review:

Sam Blackburn	Marathon
Duncan Brown	Chevron
Peter Burridge	Total
John Kent	Worley Parsons
Mohammad Nabavian	Wood
Jim Saunderson	Apache
Alex Stacey	HSE
Paul Tallett	Atkins Energy
Fred Toal	Total
Zahra Totouchian	Shell
Prof John Wintle	TWI

Technical drafting and editing by Ian Stewart (MTL) with contributions from the following:

Matthew Lewin	MTL
Calum MacLean	MTL
Chris McChesney	MTL
Daniel O'Connor	MTL

This first edition guidance was coordinated and managed by Dr Cameron Stewart, EI Upstream Technical Manager.

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, there are many mono-hull FPSOs in operation that are approaching, have reached, or exceeded their original design life. During the life cycle of these assets there is a need to ensure that they remain fit-for-purpose (including hull structural integrity), which can be achieved through the application of a robust Structural Integrity Management System (SIMS). The SIMS must ensure that, as the FPSO ages, any degradation is properly predicted, detected, monitored, assessed and remediated as required.

There is considerable information available publicly for the application of SIMS. This document aims to complement existing information on SIMS to address issues specifically related to ALE of the hull structures of mono-hull FPSOs.

This document aims to guide the reader through the management of this process, highlighting the key stages and supporting analysis through to the potential implications and remedial actions on the asset.

It is highlighted that this document considers the main hull structure of mono-hull FPSOs. Other ALE aspects of FPSOs are not included within; however, where possible, relevant signposts are provided to existing publications and guidance.

Although this document relates to mono-hull FPSOs, much of the guidance notes contained within are applicable to other FPSO/floating storage unit (FSU) designs.

## 1.2 SCOPE

This document provides guidance to address common issues relating to the ALE of mono-hull FPSOs. The focus of this document is on hull structures for mono-hull FPSOs, including cargo oil tanks, water ballast tanks, voids, internal caissons, topside module structural connections which integrate with the hull structure, etc.

This document excludes guidance for the following:

- semi-submersible structures;
- topside structures;
- marine systems;
- watertight integrity;
- operational aspects;
- turrets and station keeping systems, and
- subsea-to-surface interface equipment, i.e. risers, mid-water arches, umbilicals etc.

## 2 APPLICATION

This document is intended as a guide to support duty holders, operators and contractors with the Structural Integrity Management (SIM) of ageing FPSOs in the oil and gas industry.

The document should be used to guide the reader through the engineering assessment process in response to common ageing related assessment triggers. The guidance is intended to be informative and offer possible assessment approaches for FPSO hull structural issues, but it is not intended to be a prescriptive assessment approach. Instead it should be used to select a suitable and proportionate assessment approach in response to a given triggering event, whilst considering the data available as part of a holistic approach.

It should be used alongside other industry information sources available, such as *Guidance on the management of ageing and life extension for UKCS floating production installations* and International Association of Classification Societies (IACS) *Common structural rules (CSR)*.