Guidelines for caisson life cycle integrity management



## GUIDELINES FOR CAISSON LIFE CYCLE INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT

First edition

October 2019

# Published by **Energy Institute, London**

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003 Registered charity number 1097899 The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 20 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

> BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd Qatar Petroleum BP Oil UK Ltd Repsol Sinopec Centrica RWE npower Chevron North Sea Ltd Saudi Aramco Chevron Products Company Scottish Power SGS

Chrysaor

CLH Shell UK Oil Products Limited

ConocoPhillips Ltd Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd

DCC Energy

**EDF** Energy TAQA Bratani Total E&P UK Limited FNI E. ON UK Total UK Limited Equinor Tullow Oil ExxonMobil International Ltd Uniper Valero Innogy

Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd Vattenfall Vitol Energy Nexen CNOOC Ørsted Woodside Perenco World Fuel Services

Phillips 66

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2019 by the Energy Institute, London. The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003. Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 102 1

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, https://publishing.energyinst.org. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the El Publications Team.

e: pubs@energyinst.org

# **CONTENTS**

		Pag	je
Fore	word .		6
۸ ekn	owloa	dgements	7
ACKII	owiec	agements	,
1	Intro	oduction and scope	8
	1.1	Background and scope	
	1.2	Industry response	
	1.3	Caisson description	
2	Cais	son life cycle	10
	2.1	Caisson description	0
	2.2	Caisson design	1
	2.3	Caisson issues	2
	2.4	Caisson inspection	5
	2.5	Failure consequences	5
	2.6	Repairs and replacement	6
3	Caiss	son integrity management system	17
	3.1	Data	
		3.1.1 Caisson inventory	
		3.1.2 Design data	
		3.1.3 Inspection and repair history	
		3.1.4 Analysis data	
		3.1.5 Effect of platform operations	
		3.1.6 Performance standard and safety case	
	3.2	Evaluation	
		3.2.1 Design data review	
		3.2.2 Engineering assessment	
		3.2.3 Inspection review	
		3.2.4 Dropped object	
		3.2.5 Risk assessment	
	3.3	Strategy	
	3.4	Programme	
		3.4.1 Scope of work	
		3.4.2 Inspection execution	
		3.4.3 Review and reporting	
	3.5	Continuous improvement	
4	Insp	ection	23
-	4.1	Inspection background	
	4.2	External inspection – topsides	
		4.2.1 Scope and frequency	
		4.2.2 Topside inspection techniques	
		4.2.3 Topside caisson elements	
		4.2.4 Topside inspection activities and failure mechanisms	
	4.3	External inspection – subsea	
		4.3.1 Scope and frequency	
		4.3.2 Subsea inspection techniques	
		4.3.3 Subsea caisson elements	
			_

Cont	ents c	continued	Page
		4.3.4 Subsea inspection operational considerations	
		4.3.5 Subsea inspection activities and failure mechanisms	
	4.4	Internal inspection.	
		4.4.1 Scope and frequency	
		4.4.2 Internal inspection techniques	
		4.4.3 Internal caisson elements	
	4.5	New caisson inspection	
	4.6	Riser caissons.	
	4.7	Splash zone inspection	
	4.8	Inspection programme.	
	1.0	4.8.1 Scope of work	
		4.8.2 Reporting.	
		1.5.2 Reporting	2
5	Risk	cassessment	
	5.1	Methodology	
	5.2	Likelihood of failure	
		5.2.1 Caisson type or service	
		5.2.2 Design parameters	31
		5.2.3 Condition/Known integrity issues	
	5.3	Consequence of failure	32
_			
6		sson design and analysis	
	6.1	Overview	
	6.2	Caisson and components.	
		6.2.1 Caisson	
		6.2.2 Supports and guides	
		6.2.3 Appurtenances	
		6.2.4 Connections	
	6.3	6.2.5 Installation	
	6.3	In-place analysis.	
	6.4	Fatigue analysis	
	6.5	Local assessment	
	6.6	Corrosion protection	39
7	Brov	wnfield repair	40
•	7.1	Effect of anomalies on caisson behaviour.	
	7.2	Repair options	
	7.3	Removal	
	7.4	Replacement	
		nepideee.i.	
Anne	ex A	Caisson failures and lessons learned	47
		Case 1: Caisson deadweight support failure	47
		Case 2: Widespread critical corrosion	
		Case 3: Guide design too flexible and fails	
		Case 4: DWS cracking	
Annex B		Abbreviations	49
Anne	ex C	References	50

# **LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES**

		Page
Figures		
Figure 1	Typical examples of caisson support arrangement and components	11
Figure 2	Examples of caisson cracking associated with guide interaction	12
Figure 3	Examples of caisson underwater section loss	13
Figure 4	Example of caisson diver protection cage damage	13
Figure 5	Example of DWS cracking photo	
Figure 6	Example of caisson corrosion photos	14
Figure 7	ISO SIM cycle	17
Figure 8	Caisson structural integrity management	18
Figure 9	Example risk matrix	30
Figure 10	Example of mechanical connectors	35
Figure 11	Example of a caisson dead weight support FEA	
Figure 12	Example of local FE model for caisson mechanical connector	
Figure 13	Example of composite wrap repair on caisson disposal inlet	
Figure 14	Example of caisson swage repair	
Figure 15	External clamps	43
Figure 16	Composite repair at guide FEA assurance	47
Tables		
Table 1	Common caisson types	9
Table 2	Caisson ageing mechanisms	
Table 3	Caisson typical integrity issues	
Table 4	Caisson issue repair options	
Table 5	Comparison of caisson repair solutions	44

## **FOREWORD**

This publication has been produced directly by the Energy Institute (EI) Ageing and Life Extension Committee (ALECOM) with technical drafting and editing by Wood. The intention of this publication is to provide guidance for the life cycle integrity management of caissons in order to facilitate good practice throughout the industry.

The guidance introduces the topic of caisson life cycle and how to address caissons within the integrity management system. It provides guidance and information on associated inspection techniques, risk assessment approaches, caisson design and analysis and brownfield repair. A number of case studies are included in an Annex A to share experienced failures in the field and the resulting lessons learned.

Although it is anticipated that following this publication will assist those involved in the maintenance and operation of ageing structures, the information contained in this publication is provided as guidance only. While every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of its contents, the EI, and the technical representatives listed in the acknowledgements, cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken, on the basis of this information. The EI shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage which may arise from the use of any of the information contained in any of its publications.

The above disclaimer is not intended to restrict or exclude liability for death or personal injury caused by own negligence.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Technical Department, Energy Institute, 61 New Cavendish Street, London, W1G 7AR.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The Institute wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the following individuals over the project duration:

ALECOM Members (Technical Partners)

Steve Temple (Chair) Total

Stuart Gosling (Deputy Chair) CNOOC International

Hugh Borland BP Alistair Hardey Shell Ramsay Fraser BP Ian Manclark Equinor Richard McKeown Chevron Donald McKinnon Chevron Kenny Robertson Taga Don Smith ENI

Konstantinos Vatopoulos Aramco Overseas

In addition, thanks to the ALECOM Observer members for comment, feedback and review:

Sam Blackburn Marathon
John Kent Worley
Jim Saunderson Apache
Alex Stacey HSE
Paul Tallett Atkins
Prof John Wintle TWI

Technical drafting and editing by Dr Mohammad Nabavian and John Moses of Wood.

This first edition guidance was project managed by Dr Cameron Stewart (EI) Upstream Technical Manager.

## 1 INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND AND SCOPE

Caisson failures continue to represent a major economical, operational and safety risk to most offshore operator companies. These risks can be multiplied by the large number of caissons often present across a fleet of assets. The consequences of caisson failure vary and in the worst cases can lead to production deferment, damage to the jacket structure, or hydrocarbon release. When required, caisson repairs are often carried out on a reactive basis with limited options available; these repairs typically involve installation of external clamps, wraps, or internal swage liners. Where subsea intervention is required to facilitate installation of the repair, costs can quickly escalate into the order of £1M. In some instances, complete removal and replacement of the caisson is the only viable option. In the context of ageing and life extension (ALE), large scale caisson repair and replacement programmes are often required. Caissons can also suffer from accelerated ageing effects meaning that consideration of caisson management on newer installations is required.

Therefore, life cycle integrity management of caissons is extremely important. The successful delivery of which relies upon numerous aspects, including:

- knowledge of caisson inventory and design;
- a thorough understating of the role that individual caissons play in platform operations;
- a detailed and specific risk assessment;
- appropriate inspection planning, execution, and anomaly sentencing;
- tracking anomalies as a system of threats rather than individually;
- the ability to carry out appropriate structural assessments;
- knowledge of in-service repairs and replacement design/installation in a brownfield environment, and
- feedback into greenfield caisson designs.

The scope of this document is to provide guidance on life cycle management of caissons in order to facilitate good practice throughout the industry.

This guidance should be read in conjunction with *Guidance for corrosion management in oil* and gas production and processing.

#### 1.2 INDUSTRY RESPONSE

The industry first became aware of caisson issues in the early 90s, as a result of a number of failures. In the following years caisson integrity became firmly established as an industry-wide issue. In 2009 various industry surveys were carried out to determine the extent of the issue; typically these surveys found that approximately 30–50 % of caissons had integrity issues. This percentage will have increased through time.

During this time the industry was focused on Key Programmes (KP) of the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) – KP3 and KP4. KP3 ran from 2004–2007 and focused on asset integrity. Subsequently KP4 ran from 2011 to 2013 with a focus on ALE. While these did not explicitly

cover caissons, they highlighted the importance of structural integrity management to asset integrity and gave focus to knowing the condition of platform infrastructure and what this means in terms of ageing. Oil and Gas UK (OGUK) published its HS086 *Guidance on the management of ageing and life extension of offshore structures* in 2014, which provided a discussion on caissons' threats, risk assessment and prioritisation. Also, in 2014 HOIS issued *Guidance on in-service inspection and integrity management of caissons*.

#### 1.3 CAISSON DESCRIPTION

Caissons typically extend down from the topside into the sea water below, enabling the discharge of fluids, receipt of sea water, or to act as a conduit for risers or other similar infrastructure. They are not pressure retaining but do act as a barrier against environmental loading. Caissons are considered as structural items and fall under the remit of the structural integrity management (SIM) system. Note that input from various disciplines e.g. corrosion, materials, and mechanical are also often required to support caisson integrity.

Table 1 highlights common types of caisson.

**Table 1: Common caisson types** 

Caisson type	Example	Production critical	Safety critical	Operations support
Pump caissons	Sea water lift	✓		
	Firewater lift		✓	
Discharge caissons	Hazardous and non-hazardous drains			<b>√</b>
	Drill cuttings			✓
	Water overflow	✓		
Carrier caissons	J/l-tube caissons	✓	✓	✓
	Riser caissons	✓	✓	