
Reported and potential occurrence of ether oxygenates on water resources in the UK

REPORTED AND POTENTIAL OCCURRENCE OF ETHER OXYGENATES
ON WATER RESOURCES IN THE UK

July 2009

Published by

ENERGY INSTITUTE, LONDON

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003
Registered charity number 1097899

The Energy Institute (EI) is the leading chartered professional membership body supporting individuals and organisations across the energy industry. With a combined membership of over 13 500 individuals and 300 companies in 100 countries, it provides an independent focal point for the energy community and a powerful voice to engage business and industry, government, academia and the public internationally.

As a Royal Charter organisation, the EI offers professional recognition and sustains personal career development through the accreditation and delivery of training courses, conferences and publications and networking opportunities. It also runs a highly valued technical work programme, comprising original independent research and investigations, and the provision of IP technical publications to provide the international industry with information and guidance on key current and future issues.

The EI promotes the safe, environmentally responsible and efficient supply and use of energy in all its forms and applications. In fulfilling this purpose the EI addresses the depth and breadth of energy and the energy system, from upstream and downstream hydrocarbons and other primary fuels and renewables, to power generation, transmission and distribution to sustainable development, demand side management and energy efficiency. Offering learning and networking opportunities to support career development, the EI provides a home to all those working in energy, and a scientific and technical reservoir of knowledge for industry.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the Energy Institute (EI), funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit <http://www.energyinst.org.uk>

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

BG Group	Maersk Oil North Sea UK Limited
BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd	Murco Petroleum Ltd
BP Oil UK Ltd	Nexen
Centrica	Saudi Aramco
Chevron	Shell UK Oil Products Limited
ConocoPhillips Ltd	Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd
ENI	Statoil Hydro
E. ON UK	Talisman Energy (UK) Ltd
ExxonMobil International Ltd	Total E&P UK plc
Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd	Total UK Limited

Copyright © 2009 by the Energy Institute, London:
The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.
Registered charity number 1097899, England
All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 0 85293 515 6

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided as guidance only and while every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of its contents, the Energy Institute cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken, on the basis of this information. The Energy Institute shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage which may arise from the use of any of the information contained in any of its publications.

Further copies can be obtained from
Portland Customer Services, Commerce Way, Whitehall Industrial Estate, Colchester CO2 8HP, UK. Tel: +44 (0) 1206 796 351
e: sales@portland-services.com

Electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, www.energyinstpubs.org.uk.
Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies.
For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.
e: pubs@energyinst.org

CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword	vii
Acknowledgements	viii
Executive summary	ix
1 Introduction, scope and status of publication	1
1.1 Background to the project	1
1.2 Objectives	1
1.3 Methodology	2
2 Background	3
2.1 Use of ether oxygenates	3
2.2 Fate and transport	5
2.3 Water quality limits	6
2.4 Laboratory analysis	7
2.5 Case studies	8
3 Data collection	10
3.1 Oil company data	10
3.1.1 Part 1 – General questions	10
3.1.2 Part 2 – Site specific data	10
3.2 Water company data	11
3.3 Regulator data	11
4 Data analysis	13
4.1 Oil company data	13
4.1.1 Questionnaires	13
4.1.2 Site investigation data	14
4.1.3 Summary of oil company site investigation data	22
4.2 Water company data	23
4.3 Regulator data	24
4.3.1 Groundwater	25
4.3.2 Surface water	31
4.3.3 Summary of regulator water quality data	32
5 Risks to controlled waters from ether oxygenates	34
5.1 Basis of the groundwater model	35
5.1.1 Conceptual model of risk	35
5.1.2 Risk quantification	35
5.2 Model limitations/uncertainties	37
5.3 Model results	38
5.4 Discussion of results	42
5.5 Surface water	42

Contents cont...	Page
5.6 Potential future risks	43
5.6.1 Methodology	44
5.6.2 Model results	45
5.6.3 Discussion of results	47
6 Discussion	48
6.1 Comparison with previous study	48
6.1.1 Use of ether oxygenates	48
6.1.2 Occurrence of ether oxygenates in groundwater beneath petrol stations/ distribution depots	48
6.1.3 Public water supply well monitoring	50
6.2 Comparison between the UK and US	50
7 Conclusions	55
7.1 Use of ether oxygenates	55
7.2 Occurrence of ether oxygenates	55
7.3 Risks to water resources	56
7.4 Overall conclusion	57
8 Potential future work	58
9 References	59
Annex A Questionnaires	62
A.1 Oil company questionnaire	62
A.2 Regulator questionnaire	66
A.3 Water company questionnaire	68
Annex B Groundwater risk modelling	71
B.1 Conceptual model of risk	71
B.2 Risk quantification	72
B.3 Sensitivity analysis	84
Tables:	
Table 1 Chemical and physical properties of ether oxygenates	6
Table 2 Odour and taste detection thresholds of ether oxygenates in water	7
Table 3 Number of sites with reported concentrations of ether oxygenates in soils	17
Table 4 Number of sites with reported concentrations of ether oxygenates in groundwater	18
Table 5 Concentrations of MtBE in groundwater where three groundwater bearing units investigated	20
Table 6 Summary of responses from water companies	23
Table 7 Numbers of regulator groundwater wells (and samples) analysed for ether oxygenates	24
Table 8 Numbers of surface water locations (and samples) analysed for ether oxygenates by regulators	25
Table 9 Summary of MtBE data for groundwater samples provided by Environment Agency of England and Wales (for years 1992 to 2006)	26

Contents cont...	Page
Table 10 Summary of MtBE data for groundwater wells provided by Environment Agency of England and Wales (for years 1992 to 2006)	26
Table 11 Number of routinely monitored wells in England and Wales with MtBE > 0,5 ug.L ⁻¹ in relation to aquifer type	28
Table 12 Summary of MtBE results for modelled risk to licensed potable water groundwater abstractions	38
Table 13 Predicted numbers of licensed potable groundwater abstractions in England and Wales exceeding threshold concentrations with increase in use of MtBE (method 1)	46
Table 14 Predicted numbers of licensed potable groundwater abstractions in England and Wales exceeding threshold concentrations with increase in use of MtBE (method 2)	47
Table 15 Occurrence of MtBE in US and UK	53
Table A1 Sites with ether oxygenates (include TBA data if available) in the soil and/or groundwater (individual site data)	66
Table A2 Public water supply wells impacted with ether oxygenates	70
Table B1 Parameter values for risk model prediction runs	80
Figures:	
Figure 1 Locations of UK refineries/blending facilities producing petrol	4
Figure 2 Reported concentrations of MtBE in soils at 631 sites	17
Figure 3 Reported concentrations of MtBE in groundwater at 524 sites	19
Figure 4 Relation between concentrations of MtBE detected in 1st and 2nd groundwater bearing units	20
Figure 5 Relation between maximum detected concentration of MtBE in groundwater and groundwater vulnerability (high, intermediate and low) at oil company sites	22
Figure 6 Locations of wells routinely monitored for MtBE by Environment Agency of England and Wales (1993 to 2006) and occurrence of MtBE in these wells	27
Figure 7 Occurrence of MtBE in Environment Agency routinely sampled wells	29
Figure 8 Cumulative frequency distribution showing relationship between occurrence of MtBE and distance to nearest petrol station	30
Figure 9 Predicted percentage of wells exceeding threshold concentrations on different aquifer types	40
Figure 10 Predicted concentration of MtBE in abstraction wells arising from each of the 1 723 modelled petrol stations versus predicted contaminant transit time to the well (for one Monte Carlo run)	41
Figure 11 Predicted concentration of MtBE in abstraction wells arising from each of the 1 723 modelled petrol stations versus modelled source concentration (for one Monte Carlo run)	41
Figure 12 Relationship between MtBE source concentration and numbers of wells exceeding threshold concentrations for prediction of future risks (method 1)	46
Figure 13 Frequency distribution of maximum concentrations of MtBE in groundwater for current and previous study	49
Figure 14 Frequency distribution of maximum concentrations of MtBE in groundwater at sites with more than 15 ug.L ⁻¹ MtBE for current and previous study	50
Figure B1 Risk model for abstraction wells	78

Contents cont...	Page
Figure B2 Frequency distribution of hydraulic conductivity of uppermost groundwater bearing unit	81
Figure B3 Frequency distribution of saturated thickness of uppermost groundwater bearing unit	82
Figure B4 Frequency distribution of hydraulic gradient of uppermost groundwater bearing unit	82
Figure B5 Modelled frequency distribution of $K \times i$	83
Figure B6 Modelled frequency distribution of source thickness	83
Figure B7 Modelled frequency distribution of source width	84
Figure B8 Results of sensitivity analysis	87

FOREWORD

Ether oxygenates such as MtBE (methyl tertiary butyl ether), EtBE (ethyl tertiary butyl ether), TAME (tertiary amyl methyl ether) and DIPE (di-isopropyl ether) can be added to gasoline as octane enhancers. Their use has facilitated the desired replacement of lead and a decrease in the benzene content of gasoline. In addition, the presence of oxygen in the molecules improves combustion of the gasoline, thereby improving the quality of engine exhaust emissions to the atmosphere.

Following leaks or spills of gasoline into the ground, ether oxygenates are more mobile in groundwater than gasoline-range hydrocarbons (GRH), because they are more soluble in water, less biodegradable and sorb less strongly to aquifer soils than GRH. The potential to reach a down-gradient drinking water well is thus greater for ether oxygenates than GRH. There is relatively little difference in the drinking water risk potentials of MtBE, EtBE, TAME and DIPE.

The risk posed by gasoline ether oxygenates (GEOs) to potable groundwater resources is one of taste and odour rather than human health. Taste and odour thresholds in water are very low and several orders of magnitude below which health effects have been observed in laboratory animals.

In 1999/2000, the Soil, Water and Waste Working Group of the Energy Institute (EI), under its previous name the Institute of Petroleum, joined forces with the Environment Agency to carry out a study on the occurrence of GEOs in UK groundwater to assess the risk to potable water resources. The study was initiated following several States in the US banning the use of MtBE in gasoline, because of its occurrence in groundwater and the perceived risk to drinking water resources.

The EA/EI 1999/2000 study concluded that MtBE did not pose a widespread risk to potable groundwater resources in the UK and modelling predicted that the risk was unlikely to change in the future, so long as there was not a major increase in MtBE usage in the future. However, the project team recommended that the study be repeated in approximately five years' time to monitor the situation and test the predictions. Consequently, the study was repeated in 2007 – 2008. This report contains the findings of the recent study and compares them to those from the original study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was commissioned by the Energy Institute's Soil Waste Groundwater Group and was jointly funded by the Energy Institute and the Environment Agency of England and Wales.

The work was undertaken by the WorleyParsons Komex Ltd project team: Simon Firth, Cathy Coldrey, Ciara Meehan and Alec Taylor.

The project was steered by members of the Energy Institute's Soil Waste Groundwater group and representatives of the Environment Agency:

Michael Dale	Total
Martyn Dunk	ExxonMobil
Alwyn Hart	Environment Agency
Chris Hughes	Chevron
Martyn Lambson	BP
Matthew Lahvis	Shell
Gordon Lethbridge	Shell
Jenny Lyn	Energy Institute
Martin Maeso	Energy Institute
Chris Moody	Environment Agency
Fraser Will	Total

The Energy Institute wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the project team and also its gratitude for the valuable contributions made by the steering panel during the course of the project. In particular the EI would like to acknowledge Simon Firth as the principal author and express its thanks to him.

Furthermore, the Institute would like to thank the companies/organisations which provided data for this study. Without their cooperation, this study would not have been possible.

1 INTRODUCTION, SCOPE AND STATUS OF PUBLICATION

1.1 BACKGROUND TO THE PROJECT

Methyl tertiary butyl ether (MtBE) and other ether oxygenates (ethyl tertiary butyl ether [EtBE], tertiary amyl methyl ether [TAME] and di-isopropyl ether [DIPE]) may be added to petrol (gasoline)¹ (although not all petrol) to enhance performance (boost octane). Additional environmental benefits include the replacement of lead and reduction of aromatics in petrol, and improved combustion resulting in reduced atmospheric emissions. The potential risks include those to potable groundwater following spills or leakage and the increased environmental significance (compared to petroleum hydrocarbons) of smaller losses arising from vapour leaks (ether oxygenates being more volatile than gasoline range hydrocarbons).

Ether oxygenates degrade more slowly and are more mobile in groundwater than gasoline range hydrocarbons and therefore tend to travel in groundwater further following a leak or spill. This increased mobility coupled with a low taste and odour threshold means that a leak or spill of petrol containing ether oxygenates has the potential to render large volumes of potable groundwater undrinkable. The taste and odour thresholds of ether oxygenates defined in the US EPA Drinking Water Advisory (0,020 to 0,040 mg.L⁻¹) are approximately four to five orders of magnitude less than the concentrations that may cause human health effects. In essence, exposure to water containing ether oxygenates would be so unpleasant from a taste and odour standpoint that it would not be expected to be consumed at the concentrations that may affect health. In the US, the use of oxygenates as a component in petrol was encouraged by regulatory authorities to improve air quality but concerns over potential effects on potable groundwater later resulted in the use of MtBE as a fuel oxygenate being phased out.

In 1999, following the concerns in the US, a study on the usage and occurrence of MtBE in groundwater in England and Wales was commissioned by the Environment Agency and Institute of Petroleum (now the Energy Institute). This study, conducted by Komex (now WorleyParsons Komex), concluded that ether oxygenates at that time did not pose a major threat to public water supplies in England and Wales (Environment Agency, 2000a). A number of reasons were given for why MtBE appeared to be less of an issue in the UK than in the US and these are discussed further in section 6.2.

One of the recommendations of the UK study was that the occurrence of ether oxygenates in water resources should be re-assessed in five years' time. This report, commissioned by the Energy Institute, and jointly funded by the Energy Institute and Environment Agency, presents the results of work conducted to fulfil this recommendation. The scope of the present study has been expanded from the previous project to include impacts to surface water as well as groundwater and to consider the entire UK.

1.2 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the study were to:

- assess the current usage of ether oxygenates in petrol sold in the UK;

¹ Note that petrol is synonymous with gasoline.

- assess the occurrence of ether oxygenates in water resources in the UK by analysing data from petroleum facility site investigations (retail stations, distribution depots/terminals and refineries) and water quality data held by the Environment Agency and Water Supply Companies;
- determine whether the occurrence of MtBE in UK groundwater resources is changing;
- assess the state of knowledge concerning the impact of ether oxygenates on surface water resources; and
- draw conclusions about the current level of potential environmental risk from ether oxygenates and make predictions about the future.

1.3 METHODOLOGY

The above objectives were realised by conducting the following work:

- **Questionnaires**
Questionnaires were sent to oil companies, water companies and water resources regulators in the UK. Oil companies were asked to provide data on the usage of ether oxygenates in petrol and their occurrence in soil and groundwater from site investigations conducted at their sites. Water companies were asked to provide monitoring data for ether oxygenates in public water supplies. Regulators were asked to provide monitoring data for ether oxygenates in surface water and their network of groundwater quality monitoring wells and supporting information for use in the risk modelling.
- **Data interpretation**
Data gathered from completed questionnaires were analysed to assess:
 - the current and likely future usage of ether oxygenates in petrol sold in the UK; and
 - the occurrence of ether oxygenates in water resources in the UK and whether this has changed since the previous study.
- **Risk assessment**
A probabilistic risk model was developed and used to complement the water quality data collected and further assess the risk that ether oxygenates potentially pose to groundwater. The risks to surface water were assessed qualitatively.