Guidelines for the investigation of the microbial content of liquid fuels and for the implementation of avoidance and remedial strategies

3rd edition



GUIDELINES FOR THE INVESTIGATION OF THE MICROBIAL CONTENT OF LIQUID FUELS AND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF AVOIDANCE AND REMEDIAL STRATEGIES

Third edition

November 2019

Published by Energy Institute, London

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003 Registered charity number 1097899 The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 20 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 250 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the El serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the El, funded by the El's Technical Partners. The El's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies

| BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd | Qatar Petroleum |
|------------------------------------|---|
| BP Oil UK Ltd | Repsol Sinopec |
| Centrica | RWE npower |
| Chevron North Sea Ltd | Saudi Aramco |
| Chevron Products Company | Scottish Power |
| Chrysaor | SGS |
| CLH | Shell UK Oil Products Limited |
| ConocoPhillips Ltd | Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd |
| DCC Energy | SSE |
| EDF Energy | TAQA Bratani |
| ENI | Total E&P UK Limited |
| E. ON UK | Total UK Limited |
| Equinor | Tullow Oil |
| ExxonMobil International Ltd | Uniper |
| Innogy | Valero |
| Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd | Vattenfall |
| Nexen CNOOC | Vitol Energy |
| Ørsted | Woodside |
| Perenco | World Fuel Services |
| Phillips 66 | |

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2019 by the Energy Institute, London. The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003. Registered charity number 1097899, England All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 116 8

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to El and IP publications is available via our website, **https://publishing.energyinst.org**. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the El Publications Team.

e: pubs@energyinst.org

CONTENTS

| | | Pa | age |
|-------|---|---|--|
| Forev | vord . | | 7 |
| Ackno | owled | gements | 8 |
| 1 | Intro | duction | 9 |
| 2 | Micro 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 | bial growth in fuels What are microbes? How do they get into fuel systems? How do microbes grow? How do microbes spread? What factors affect microbial growth? 2.5.1 Water 2.5.2 The influence of water activity 2.5.3 Nutrients 2.5.4 Temperature 2.5.5 Oxygen 2.5.6 Acidity/alkalinity What is the influence of recent industry trends on microbial growth in fuels? 2.6.1 Fatty acid methyl esters 2.6.2 Bioethanol 2.6.3 Influence of sulfur 2.6.4 | 11 12 12 12 13 14 14 14 15 16 16 16 17 17 17 17 18 19 20 |
| - | | 2.6.4 Synthetic fuels and next generation of biofuels | . 20 |
| 3 | 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 | Operational problems3.1.1Subscription3.1.1Fuel tank and system fouling3.1.2Fuel filter clogging3.1.3Fuel gauge malfunction3.1.4Contamination and disarming of coalescers in filter water separatorsCorrosionInfluence of microbes on fuel quality and specificationsMicrobial issues in specific fuel types and applications3.4.1Automotive diesel3.4.2Aviation turbine fuels3.4.3Marine fuels and fuels for offshore power generation3.4.4Gas oil, heating oil and other middle distillate fuels3.4.5Motor gasoline3.4.6Aviation gasoline | 21 21 25 27 27 27 27 27 30 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 |
| | 3.5 | 3.4.7 Transportation of fuel Potential health hazards | . 39 . 41 |
| 4 | Avoid 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 | dance . Controlling ingress of microbes . Control of free water. Product settling . Design, construction and maintenance . | 43 43 45 47 47 |

Contents continued

| Те | sting for I | nicrobiological contamination | 50 |
|------|-------------|---|-------|
| 5. | 1 Object | ives of microbiological testing | 50 |
| 5.2 | 2 Routin | e condition monitoring and testing to assess operational risk | 50 |
| | 5.2.1 | Overview | 50 |
| | 5.2.2 | Initial risk assessment | 51 |
| | 5.2.3 | lesting frequency. | 51 |
| | 5.2.4 | Selection of sampling points for routine monitoring programmes | 51 |
| | 5.2.5 | Actions in response to test data | 52 |
| _ | 5.2.6 | Routine microbial monitoring for specific fuel types | 55 |
| 5. | 3 Microb | | 58 |
| 5. | 4 Testing | g as part of a health and safety risk assessment | 61 |
| 5. | 5 Ioolbo | ox of test methods | 61 |
| | 5.5.1 | Non-microbial methods useful in investigation or assessment | 64 |
| | | of microbial contamination | 61 |
| | 5.5.2 | Microbial methods for investigation or assessment of | ~ ^ |
| _ | c c l' | | 64 |
| 5. | 6 Sampli | ng | /4 |
| | 5.6.1 | Sample containers | /4 |
| | 5.6.2 | Sample container closures | /5 |
| | 5.6.3 | Sampling devices | /5 |
| | 5.6.4 | Sampling techniques | // |
| | 5.6.5 | Sample labelling and chain of custody | 80 |
| _ | 5.6.6 | Preparations for transport of samples and analyses. | 81 |
| 5. | / Interpr | etation of microbiological test results | 81 |
| | 5.7.1 | Introduction to results interpretation | 81 |
| | 5.7.2 | Factors to be considered when interpreting microbiological test results | 82 |
| | 5.7.3 | Relationship of the microbes to the properties of the fuel | |
| | | and operational problems | 85 |
| | 5.7.4 | Limit values for microbiological contamination | 86 |
| Re | emediatio | n | 92 |
| 6. | 1 Overvi | ew | 92 |
| 6. | 2 Physica | al decontamination strategies | 92 |
| | 6.2.1 | Tank, vessel and pipeline cleaning | 93 |
| | 6.2.2 | Filtration | 93 |
| | 6.2.3 | Gravitation | 94 |
| | 6.2.4 | Heat | 94 |
| | 6.2.5 | Other methods | 94 |
| 6. | 3 Chemi | cal decontamination strategies | 95 |
| | 6.3.1 | Preservation of fuel | 95 |
| | 6.3.2 | Decontamination of contaminated fuel | 96 |
| | 6.3.3 | Decontamination of contaminated bottom water | . 96 |
| | 6.3.4 | Decontamination of fuel tanks and fuel system infrastructure | 96 |
| ex A | Abbre | viations | 98 |
| - | | | |
| ex B | Retere | ences and bibliography | . 100 |

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figures

Page

| Figure 1 | Types of microbes which contaminate fuels | 11 |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure 2 | Microbial growth can occur wherever water accumulates | 13 |
| Figure 3 | Contamination of bulk fuel by turbulence in a storage tank | 14 |
| Figure 4 | Fungal growth at the interface between water and fuel. | 15 |
| Figure 5 | Microbial biomass from the bottom of B5 diesel storage tank | 22 |
| Figure 6 | Microbial contamination on the floor and walls of a jet fuel storage tank | 23 |
| Figure 7 | Extracellular polymeric material generated by microbial growth | |
| | at a fuel:water interface. | 24 |
| Figure 8 | Fungal biomass in an automotive diesel fuel sample from a | |
| | retail site tank bottom | 24 |
| Figure 9 | Microbial biomass on strainer from ship's fuel system | 25 |
| Figure 10 | Fungal biomass on filters from an automotive diesel pump and delivery | |
| | nozzle at a retail site | 26 |
| Figure 11 | Fungal biomass clogging a truck fuel filter | 27 |
| Figure 12 | Growth of fungi in filter water separators | 28 |
| Figure 13 | Pitting corrosion of tank bottom plate due to SRB | 30 |
| Figure 14 | Water entrained in diesel due to bacterial biosurfactants | 32 |
| Figure 15 | Black/grey discolouration of fuel and water in tank bottoms indicative | |
| | of sulfide generation by SRB | 32 |
| Figure 16a | Factors which can impede water removal from storage tanks | 49 |
| Figure 16b | Factors which can impede water removal from fuel end user tanks | 49 |
| Figure 17 | Typical test data for routine monthly monitoring (IP 613) of bottom | |
| | fuel samples from a diesel storage tank | 53 |
| Figure 18 | Flow diagram of typical microbial monitoring programme | 54 |

Tables

| Table 1 Table 2 | Relationship of microbial contamination to some fuel quality tests | 31 58 |
|--------------------|---|----------|
| Table 3 | Overview of culture test methods for assessment of microbial contamination in fuel tanks and systems | 71 |
| Table 4 | Overview of rapid test methods (ATP and immunoassay) for assessment of microbial contamination in fuel tanks and systems | 72 |
| Table 5 | Overview of other methods for assessment of microbial contamination in fuel tanks and systems | 73 |
| Table 6 | Range of results obtained when testing fuel (IP 385) with nominally the same level of randomly dispersed contamination but using | |
| Table 7 | Relationship between number of CFU present in the water layer to | 84 |
| Table 8 | status of microbial growth in middle distillate fuel storage tanks | 87 |
| Table 9 | established using IATA approved methods. | 88 |
| | from arcrait ruer tanks, established using IATA approved methods for ruer | 09 |

List of figures and tables continued

| | | Page |
|----------|---|------|
| Table 10 | Guidance limits for microbial contamination and related parameters in drain or low point samples of middle distillate fuel (e.g. automotive | |
| | diesel, marine diesel, gas oil, heating kerosine) | 90 |

FOREWORD

In 1996, the Institute of Petroleum published *Guidelines for the investigation of the microbial content of fuel boiling below 390* °C *and associated water*, which was updated in 2008 by the Energy Institute (EI), under the title *Guidelines for the investigation of the microbial content of petroleum fuels and for the implementation of avoidance and remedial strategies*, as it became a frequently referenced industry standard. The first edition was weighted towards microbial problems in the supply and distribution of distillate fuels, particularly diesel fuel, and its target readers were the many professionals who worked in the industry and those who had access to laboratory facilities.

Since then, the oil industry has seen changes in infrastructure, ownership and management of refining, supply and distribution facilities and in the type of fuels supplied. Bio-derived fuel components, notably the most commonly used fatty acid methyl esters (FAME), are generally more readily biodegraded than conventional fossil fuels. Consequently, when present at sufficient concentration as a blend component or as an unintentional cross-contaminant, FAME can increase the susceptibility of fuels to microbial growth. This has raised new challenges for successful microbial contamination management. Control measures previously effective had to be augmented and supplemented. Although problems due to microbial growth in liquid fuels remain relatively rare, fuels users have become more aware of its impact on operations, for example due to premature filter blockage, engine failure and corrosion. Modern engines have increasingly lower tolerance to the presence of fuel contaminants, including those derived from microbial growth. This has led to an increased demand on fuel suppliers to provide microbiological 'quality' assurances of the product they supply. Some fuel user interest groups, notably in the aviation sector, have published their own guidance and standards, which place a strong onus on microbiological risk assessment and routine condition monitoring in the fuel supply and distribution chain.

Consequently, this third edition seeks to serve as an information resource, providing practical advice for controlling, monitoring, investigating and remediating microbial contamination. It is targeted at operational staff and product quality managers responsible for all liquid fuels including those used in aviation, marine, ground transportation, power generation and heating. It addresses all stages of fuel production, distribution, and use, including considerations for refineries, supply and distribution facilities, pipelines, local suppliers, retail outlets, bunker suppliers and major fuel users such as ships, offshore platforms, aircraft operators, power stations, and road and rail fleet operators.

These updated guidelines were commissioned by the El Microbiology Committee, chaired by Joan Kelley and previously chaired by Elaine McFarlane.

The information contained in this publication is provided as guidance only and, while every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of its contents, the EI, the research contractor and the technical representatives listed in the Acknowledgements cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken, based on this information. The EI shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage which may arise from the use of the information contained in any of its publications.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted in writing to technical@energyinst.org, Energy Institute, 61 New Cavendish Street, London W1G 7AR.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was commissioned by the EI's Microbiology Committee, chaired by Joan Kelly (Conidia Bioscience Ltd.) and formerly chaired by Elaine McFarlane (Shell).

The work was carried out by Graham Hill, Gareth Williams, and Leon O'Malley from ECHA Microbiology and steered by members of the Microbiology Committee, who during the project included:

| Brian Crook | HSE |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Carol Devine | NECE |
| Clara Di Iorio | ENI |
| Bob Eden | Rawwater |
| Alex Few | ExxonMobil |
| Graham Hill | ECHA Microbiology |
| Richard Johnson | Oil plus |
| Joan Kelley (Chair) | Conidia Bioscience Ltd |
| Bart Lomans | Shell |
| Alex McFarlane | Conidia Bioscience Ltd |
| Elaine McFarlane (Former Chair) | Shell |
| Leon O'Malley | ECHA Microbiology |
| Fred Passman | Biodeterioration Control Associates |
| Andrew Ryan | Intertek |
| Peter Sanders | Oil Plus Ltd. |
| Uwe Schabla | Shell |
| Torben Lund Skovhus | VIA University College |
| Jim Stott | Intertek |
| Geert Van der Kraan | Dupont |
| Leanne Walker | Rawwater |
| Neil Whitehead | Minton, Treharne & Davies (MTD) |
| Gareth Williams | ECHA Microbiology |

The EI wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the authors and also its gratitude for the valuable contributions made by the Microbiology Committee during the course of the project. In addition, the EI would like to thank Edward W. English, II of Fuel Quality Services, Inc and George Zombanakis of United Airlines for their invaluable feedback during the stakeholder review process.

The project coordination was carried out by Kerry Sinclair (EI) and technical editing by Marcela Betancur-Diaz (EI).

Except where stated, photographs and figures in this document have been provided and reproduced with permission of ECHA Microbiology Ltd.

1 INTRODUCTION

It has been known for over a century that, in the presence of free water, hydrocarbon fuels will support the growth of microorganisms, also known as microbes or 'bugs'. In some sectors microbiological contamination is abbreviated as MBC, or microbiological growth as MBG. Although fuel is sterile when refined, microbes are ubiquitous, and can enter fuel during subsequent storage and distribution. Ingress and accumulation of only small amounts of water can then promote microbial proliferation in fuel storage tanks at refineries and distribution terminals, in pipelines, ships' cargo tanks, road and rail tankers, depot tanks, retail tanks and end user tanks. If left unchecked, that growth can lead to degradation of fuel quality due to contamination by microbial particulates and by-products of microbial activity. Consequent operational problems for fuel users and distributors may be severe and incur costly remediation and system downtime. For example, one United Kingdom (UK) ship operator estimated the cost of cleaning microbially contaminated fuel bunker tanks in their fleet to be more than £1 million per year, without including incidental costs and disruption caused by having key vessels unavailable for scheduled operations. Diesel fuel contamination has also caused failure of power generators on offshore platforms, leading to demanning and several weeks' delay in oil and gas production, with consequent incidental costs running into many millions of dollars.

The problems caused by microbial growth in fuel tanks and systems can include blockage of fuel lines and filters, with consequent fuel starvation to the engine, fouling, and malfunction of fuel tank gauges and corrosion of fuel tanks and system components. The inherent susceptibility of any fuel or fuel component to microbial degradation and spoilage is dependent on its chemical composition, the chemistry of its additives, and their concentration. Problems of microbial growth are mostly associated with middle distillate fuels, including aviation turbine fuels, automotive diesel, marine distillate fuel, gas oil, burner fuels and fuels for heating, power generation and non-road mobile machinery.

Other fuel types may be affected to a lesser extent. Heavy residual fuels can be prone to microbial contamination although the consequences are generally less severe than for middle distillate fuels. Microbial growth and associated problems are also reported in automotive gasolines and bioethanol blends, although these would appear to be less prevalent in Europe and the rest of the world, compared to North America. Microbial growth in aviation gasoline has historically been very rare, due primarily to the toxicity of the lead anti-knock additives, but the introduction of unleaded aviation gasoline could require a reassessment of the associated risks.

To date, little work has been done to fully investigate the susceptibility of synthetic fuels and biofuels, other than those produced by transesterification of fats and oils. Synthetic fuels and biofuels which are considered as 'drop-in' fuels share similarities in chemistry to the conventional fossil fuels they supplement or replace, and consequently they could have similar susceptibility to microbial growth, but this is currently unproven. Conversely, the most commonly used biodiesels produced by transesterification of plant and animal fats and oil (e.g. FAME) are inherently more readily degraded by microbes, although ultimate susceptibility will depend on the blend ratio with conventional diesel. Introduction of biodiesel as a blend component in automotive diesel, marine distillate fuels and fuels for power generation and heating, has made these fuels more susceptible to microbial growth. Experience with automotive biodiesel blends suggests that once microbial growth commences, the onset of operational issues can be considerably faster than historically experienced for conventional fossil fuels. The introduction of significant amounts of FAME as a cross-contaminant during fuel distribution also raises the prospect that fuel could be inadvertently rendered more susceptible to microbial growth. If fuel meets a specification where FAME is limited to typical *de minimis* levels, the impact is unlikely to be significant. However, not all specifications provide a limit on FAME and fuel users may be unaware of presence or concentration of FAME in fuel they purchase.

Marine fuels are notably prone to microbial contamination because difficulties in keeping onboard fuel systems free of water; a worldwide trend of increasing levels of FAME in marine distillate is likely to compound the potential for microbial growth. Formation of water in aircraft fuel tanks, due to condensation as aircraft ascend and descend, is a well-recognised problem and presents a risk of microbial growth, with potentially severe operational and safety consequences. The aviation industry has led the way in the introduction of rigorous fuel handling and quality control procedures, primarily targeted at control and removal of water contamination at all stages of fuel distribution and use. Whilst aviation industry standards of water removal will not be appropriate or practical for all fuel types, they provide a useful reference point.

Since the turn of the century, there has been some evidence of a resurgence of microbial contamination problems across a range of fuel types. The reasons have not been fully established, but are likely to be related to increased use of biodiesel and/or fuel additives, which may provide additional nutrients for microbial growth, changes in fuel processing and handling practice, increasing complexity of the supply chain and custody transfer, increased use of fuel in warm humid environments conducive to microbial growth and reduced tolerances of modern engines to particulates and contamination. This has led to a need for increased diligence and awareness and, where appropriate, refinement of preventative control measures and condition monitoring by routine microbiological testing.

This guideline provides an overview of the factors which cause and exacerbate microbial growth and the problems caused, offering detailed procedures for good housekeeping to avoid microbial growth, and describes the indicators of contamination and appropriate analytical methods for investigation. It outlines suitable plans for routine condition monitoring including procedures for sampling and use of on-site tests, and emphasises that the primary mechanism for control of microbial growth is through regular and rigorous attention to good housekeeping and system maintenance. Prevention and problem avoidance are by far the most cost-effective and safe strategies, but for those incidents where control of microbial growth is lost, this document outlines options for remedial action. Selection of the most appropriate remedial actions will depend on the particular circumstances of the incident and will need careful consideration and assessment of the impact on fuel quality, safety and the environment. It is not intended that this document provides all necessary information to implement remedial actions and additional expert advice may be needed.

Fortunately, because of well-established good industry practice and attention to good fuel housekeeping and system maintenance, serious problems due to microbial growth in liquid fuels remain relatively rare. This publication provides the practical basis to ensure that attention to best practice is maintained. It is intended to provide the fuels industry with the knowledge to fully understand the risks and successfully maintain and implement procedures to meet the existing and future challenges presented by microbial growth in fuel tanks and systems.