El Research report

Measures and key performance indicators to manage maintenance in upstream petroleum production



RESEARCH REPORT: MEASURES AND KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS TO MANAGE MAINTENANCE IN UPSTREAM PETROLEUM PRODUCTION

First edition

January 2020

Published by **Energy Institute, London**

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003 Registered charity number 1097899 The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 20 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 200 energy companies worldwide. The El provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The El's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The El gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies:

BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd Qatar Petroleum BP Oil UK Ltd Repsol Sinopec Centrica RWE npower Chevron North Sea Ltd Saudi Aramco Chevron Products Company Scottish Power

Chrvsaor SGS

CIH Shell UK Oil Products Limited

ConocoPhillips Ltd Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd SSE

DCC Energy

EDF Energy TAOA Bratani ENI Total E&P UK Limited E. ON UK Total UK Limited Tullow Oil Equinor ExxonMobil International Ltd Uniper Innogy Valero

Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd Vattenfall Nexen CNOOC Vitol Energy Ørsted Woodside Perenco World Fuel Services

Phillips 66

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2019 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 164 9

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, https://publishing.energyinst.org. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.

e: pubs@energyinst.org

CONTENTS

		Pa	ıge			
Pref	ace		. 6			
Exe	cutive s	summary	. 7			
Ack	nowled	lgements	. 8			
4	ladua	duction	•			
1	1.1	Introduction				
	1.1	Scope				
	1.2	Objectives				
	1.3 1.4.	Application				
	1.4.	Application	10			
2	Working group					
	2.1	Project purpose and objectives				
	2.2	Project brief				
	2.3	Interview questionnaire				
	2.4	Proposed participants				
	2.5	Documentation review	12			
3	Inter	views	13			
,	3.1	Identification of contributors				
	3.1	Interview process				
	3.3	Operating company interview outputs				
	ر.ر	3.3.1 Value of performance measures				
		3.3.2 Selection of performance measures				
		3.3.3 Performance indicator coverage				
		3.3.4 Calculation				
		3.3.5 Presentation				
		3.3.6 Quality control				
		3.3.7 Evaluation of performance indicators				
		3.3.8 Evaluation of cumulative risk				
		3.3.9 Common definitions				
		3.3.10 Innovation				
	3.4	Regulator interviews				
	5.4	3.4.1 Health and Safety Executive (HSE)				
		3.4.2 Petroleum Safety Authority (PSA)				
	3.5	Review workshop				
	3.6	Categorisation and selection of performance indicators				
	3.7	Suggested performance indicators				
	3.8	Definition of performance indicators				
	3.9	Data collection and calculation				
	3.10	Testing, validation and quality control				
	3.10	Validity of performance measures				
	3.11	Analysis and presentation				
	٥.١٧	3.12.1 Presenting overall performance and risk				
	3.13	Continued suitability of performance measures				
		Additional workshop findings				
	J. 1 ⁻Ŧ	- / WORLDONG TO INSTRUCT INTO THE PROPERTY OF				

RESEARCH REPORT: MEASURES AND KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS TO MANAGE MAINTENANCE IN UPSTREAM PETROLEUM PRODUCTION

Contents continued

Docu	umentation review				
4.1	Regulations				
4.2	Standards				
	4.2.1 BS EN ISO 20815:2018 Petroleum, petrochemic	al and natural			
	gas industries – Production assurance and relial	pility management			
	4.2.2 BS EN ISO 14224:2016 Petroleum, petrochemic	tal and natural			
	gas industries – collection and exchange of relia	ability and			
	maintenance data for equipment				
	4.2.3 BS EN 17007:2017 Maintenance processes and				
	4.2.4 BS EN 15341:2007 Maintenance – maintenance				
	performance indicators				
	4.2.5 BS EN 13306:2017 Maintenance – maintenance				
1.3	Reports and guidelines				
	4.3.1 Root causes of hydrocarbon leaks on offshore				
	petroleum installations (Vinnem and Roed)				
	4.3.2 HSG 254 – Developing process safety indicators				
	guide for chemical and major hazard industries				
	4.3.3 IOGP Report 456 Process safety – recommende				
	key performance indicators				
	4.3.4 PD CEN ISO/TR 12489:2016 Petroleum, petroch				
	industries – reliability modelling and calculation				
	4.3.5 RR1075 Benefits of data management and data UKCS oil and gas industry				
	OKCS OII and gas industry				
Stan	andard definitions for maintenance performance indicators				
5.1	Maintenance and inspection backlog				
	5.1.1 Definition of backlog				
	5.1.2 Measuring amount of overdue work				
	5.1.3 Definition of overdue maintenance				
	5.1.4 Definition of safety (and environmental) critical				
	5.1.5 Industry reporting				
	5.1.6 Risk associated with overdue work				
5.2	Safety (and environmental) critical element (SCE/SECE)				
	pre-planned maintenance (PPM) compliance				
5.3	PM Deferral compliance				
5.4	Preventive maintenance:corrective maintenance (PM:CM)				
	5.4.1 Definitions				
	5.4.2 Effectiveness of PM:CM ratio				
5.5	Maintenance and inspection schedule attainment				
5.6	Mean [Elapsed] time between failure (M[E]TBF) per equip				
5.7	Availability and reliability				
Cond	clusions				
6.1	Original work scope				
6.2	Original objectives				
6.3	Revised purpose and objectives				
	, ,				
Reco	ommendations				

RESEARCH REPORT: MEASURES AND KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS TO MANAGE MAINTENANCE IN UPSTREAM PETROLEUM PRODUCTION

Contents continued					
Annexes					
Annex A	Glossary of acronyms42				
Annex B	References and bibliography43				
Annex C	Project brief				
Annex D	Operator interview summary				
LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES					
	Page				
Figure Figure 1	Maintenance KPI coverage				
Tables					
Table 1	Maintenance performance indicator categories				
Table 2	Suggested maintenance performance indicators by goal				

PREFACE

The work contained in this research has been developed and supported by a working group with oversight provided by the Energy Institute (EI) Process Safety Committee. The intention of the research was to identify the state of practice with respect to maintenance management measures and key performance indicators. It is worthwhile to state that the working group did not universally subscribe to the recommendations of the report as described in Section 7, but all believed that there is genuine value to these being included in their entirety in the published report.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This technical publication was commissioned by the EI's Process Safety Committee (PSC) and developed by Mike Judd (Petrofac) with contributions from:

Richard Adams Petrofac Lee Allford EI

Stuart Barnes Conoco Phillips

Allison Bennett TAQA Matthew Blackburn HSE Roy Boag HSE

Lee Broadley Repsol Sinopec Resources UK

Kevin Bruce TAQA

Jason Davidson Repsol Sinopec Resources UK

Sam Daoudi formerly EI
Andy Duncan Intertek
David Holland TAQA
Eivind Jåsund PSA
Colin Martin HSE

Mark McKenzie National Grid Stuart McIntosh TAQA Ole Jørgen Melleby PSA

Carlos Montero Repsol Sinopec Resources UK

Mark Nicholls National Grid

Nils Martin Rugsveen Equinor (formerly Statoil)

Mark ScanlonEIDon SmithENIGraham VincentPetrofacDaniel WilsonPetrofac

Steven Young Sharjah National Oil Corporation

The El also wishes to record its appreciation of the valuable contributions of the following organisations that took part in interviews as part of this research project:

Conoco Phillips

ENI

Health and Safety Executive (HSE)

National Grid Petrofac

Petroleum Safety Authority (PSA) - Norway

Repsol Sinopec Resources UK

Sharjah National Oil Corporation (SNOC) – Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

Equinor (formerly Statoil) - Norway

TAQA

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This research report details the findings and recommendations of a research project into maintenance performance measures for the upstream oil and gas industry conducted on behalf of the EL.

1.2 SCOPE

The original scope of work provided by the EI was 'to research measures and key performance indicators to manage maintenance in order to develop guidelines on the practicalities of reporting maintenance management measures and KPIs'.

The following tasks were to be undertaken:

- Review of ISO 20815:2008 and ISO 14224:2016 in the context of OSCR and PFEER.
- Review of additional standards, guidelines and reports as listed in Annex B. (Note: this list was expanded from the original requirement).
- Establish by bilateral engagement, current operational practices within the upstream petroleum sector, including how they use the findings of the outputs of verification assessments and how they use data to demonstrate SECEs (Safety and Environment Critical Elements) meet their performance standards (e.g. for availability and reliability).
- Establish by bilateral engagement, sector based reporting requirements.

The industry scope was upstream petroleum from subsea through to production/export, as per the intent of ISO 20815. ISO 14224 has a broader industry scope, but includes those upstream petroleum sectors.

Note: due note has been taken in this report of the update to BS EN ISO 20815:2018.

1.3 OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of this project was to develop guidelines on the practicalities of reporting maintenance management measures and KPIs covering:

- their definitions;
- what should be in/out of scope, and
- suitable times to run reports.

In particular, the project was to investigate the following typical KPIs:

- maintenance and inspection backlog;
- safety critical element (SCE) pre-planned maintenance (PPM) compliance (updated to SECE PPM compliance to align with latest versions of UK legislation);
- PM deferral compliance;