Guidance on human factors safety critical task analysis

Second edition



GUIDANCE ON HUMAN FACTORS SAFETY CRITICAL TASK ANALYSIS

Second edition

January 2020

Published by **Energy Institute, London**

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003 Registered charity number 1097899 The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 20 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 200 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The El's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the El addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit http://www.energyinst.org

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies:

BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd

BP Oil UK Ltd

Centrica

Chevron North Sea Ltd

Chevron Products Company

Repsol Sinopec

RWE npower

Saudi Aramco

Scottish Power

Chrysaor SGS

CLH Shell UK Oil Products Limited

ConocoPhillips Ltd Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd

DCC Energy SS

EDF Energy TAQA Bratani **ENI** Total E&P UK Limited E. ON UK Total LIK Limited Equinor Tullow Oil ExxonMobil International Ltd Uniper Valero Innogy Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd Vattenfall Nexen CNOOC Vitol Energy Ørsted Woodside Perenco World Fuel Services

Phillips 66

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2020 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 165 6

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to El and IP publications is available via our website, https://publishing.energyinst.org. Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies. For more information, contact the El Publications Team.

e: pubs@energyinst.org

CONTENTS

		Pa	age
Forew	ord.		. 6
Ackno	wled	gements	. 7
1	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	Background. What is safety critical task analysis? Benefits. Purpose. Scope. 1.5.1 Focus on qualitative approaches. 1.5.2 Other approaches to task analysis. 1.5.3 SCTA and routine task risk assessment.	. 8 . 8 10 10 11 11
2	Safet 2.1 2.2 2.3	y critical task analysis process Overview Step 1 – Identify main site hazards. Step 2 – Identify and prioritise safety critical tasks 2.3.1 Capturing SCTs at the right level 2.3.2 Identifying tasks. 2.3.3 Screening and prioritising tasks 2.3.4 What types of task are of interest? 2.3.5 Defining required actions 2.3.6 Common pitfalls, misunderstandings and misapplications – and their solutions	12 13 14 15 18 20 21
	2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9 2.10 2.11 2.12	Step 3 – Understand the tasks . Step 4 – Represent the safety critical tasks . Step 5 – Identify human failures and performance influencing factors . 2.6.1 Group-based approaches . Step 6 – Determine safety measures to control risk of human failures . Step 7 – Implement and monitor effectiveness of safety measures . Step 8 – Review the effectiveness of the process . SCTA techniques and output summary . Illustrative examples of outputs . Frequently asked questions .	24 26 29 30 32 34 34 35 35
3	3.1	Hierarchical task analysis 3.1.1 Brief description 3.1.2 Applicability 3.1.3 Pros and cons 3.1.4 Examples and further reading. Human HAZOP and team/guideword based variants 3.2.1 Brief description 3.2.2 Applicability 3.2.3 Pros and cons. 3.2.4 Examples and further reading.	42 42 42 43 43 43 44 44 44
	3.3	Other techniques	

Contents continued **Page** 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 4 4.2 4.3 Case study 3 – Identifying SCTS for a series of mature offshore 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 Case study 4 – Using task screening to identify safety critical sub-tasks 57 4.4 4.5 4.6 5 High- versus low- quality SCTA.......63 5.2 **Annexes** Annex A **Annex B** B.1 B.2

Abbreviations and accronymns......79

Annex C

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figures		Page
Figure 1	Summary of SCTA process	12
Figure 1 Figure 2	Summary of SCTA process	
Figure 3	Data collection techniques	
Figure 4	Example HTA diagram	
Figure 5	Mapping techniques to SCTA steps	
Figure 6	Simplified tanker unloading example	
Figure 7	Associated fault tree	
Figure 8	Example event tree analysing MAH escalation	
Figure 9	Partially developed bow tie	
Figure 10	Human error as a degradation factor, highlighting 'start-up' as an SCT	
Figure A.1	Example risk-based operating task classification guide	
Tables		
Table 1	Example procedure screening matrix for a LPG bulk storage/distribution site	
Table 2	Example simple criticality/prioritisation table	
Table 3	Blockers to SCT identification and potential enablers	
Table 4	Example human failure identification guidewords	
Table 5	Mapping effective safety measures against human failure classification	
Table 6	Example of emergency response task analysis	36
Table 7	Example of task analysis relating to accident initiation – operations – road tanker loading at fuel terminal	27
Table 8	Example of task analysis relating to accident initiation – maintenance –	57
labic 0	pipeline interventions	38
Table 9	Examples of task analysis relating to accident escalation – detection,	50
Table 5	control and mitigation of events	39
Table 10	Illustrative ALARP demonstration	
Table 11	TIP outline	
Table 12	Operational SCTs	
Table 13	Maintenance, inspection or testing tasks	
Table 14	Emergency response tasks	
Table 15	Summary of case study 5	
Table 16	Example output from human HAZOP	
Table 17	Summary of case study 6	
Table 18	Example output from nuclear power station SCTA	
Table A.1	Performance influencing factors	
Table A.2	Alternative checklist of performance influencing factors	
Table A.3	Example adaptation of the HSE's 5-item task criticality scheme – covering	
	environmental hazards, posed by loss of containment	68
Table A.4	Example task criticality scoring for tasks involving handling or use	
	of hazardous substances	
Table A.5	Example human HAZOP guidewords	71

FOREWORD

The human contribution to major accident hazard (MAH) risk in the energy and allied industries is well-known. In recent years, the sector has made significant inroads in both the management of human failure, and in optimising human performance. In part this can be attributed to application of the first edition of the Energy Institute's (EI) document *Guidance on human factors safety critical task analysis* (SCTA). Originally published in 2011, the first edition filled a gap by enabling companies and human factors (HF) non-specialists to conduct quality HF analyses in a structured and consistent format. The document raised awareness of the value of investing in HF studies to better manage the risk of human failure, leading to reported improvements in safety and reductions in losses. Regulators also recognise that its correct application will help satisfy requirements for safety critical tasks to be comprehensively analysed and their risk appropriately assessed.

This second edition of the guidance has been updated, focusing on the identification of safety critical tasks (SCT). Feedback to El's Human and Organisational Factors Committee (HOFCOM), as custodian of the guidance, confirms that users would benefit from learning more about the range of methods for SCT identification that has been developed, and how to avoid pitfalls. New case studies are included in section 4 to show how companies have identified SCTs.

This publication has drawn on many existing sources from the public domain, and has supplemented these with input from practitioners and case study material. It is aimed at those who: participate in SCTA; incorporate SCTA into a wider risk assessment; commission SCTA, and those that are required to read, understand and act upon SCTA. Thus, the target audience includes designers, operations personnel, assessors and managers.

The information contained in this document is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the EI and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, expressed or implied, are made by the EI or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the EI and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the EI nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

The El welcomes feedback on its publications. Feedback or suggested revisions should be submitted to:

Technical Department
Energy Institute
61 New Cavendish Street
London, W1G 7AR
e: technical@energyinst.org

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Guidance on human factors safety critical task analysis (second edition) was developed by Dr. Ed Smith and Richard Roels (DNV-GL) under direction of the El HOFCOM. During this project, HOFCOM members included:

Tony Atkinson ABB Jonathan Bohm HSE

Roger Bresden Saudi Aramco

Ed Corbett HSL Alix Davies EDF

Bill Gall Kingsley Management Ltd.

Peter Jefferies Phillips 66
Stuart King El (Secretary)
Simon Monnington BP plc
Eryl Marsh HSE

Richard Marshall Essar Oil UK (Vice-Chair)

Rob Miles Hu-Tech Risk Management Services Ltd.

Helen Rycraft IAEA

Caroline Myers ExxonMobil Corporation
Rob Saunders Shell International
Gillian Vaughan EDF Energy (Chair)
Frank Verschueren FOD WASO

Frank Verschueren FOD WASO
Phil Spence ConocoPhillips

Project management and technical editing were carried out by Stuart King (EI).

The EI would also like to acknowledge the following individuals and organisations who commented on, provided resources, or otherwise made significant contributions to the second edition:

Phil Basildon RWE
Gillian Hockin BP
David Jamieson Shell
Ed Jamieson RWE
Vitor Monteiro BP

Furthermore, the EI would also like to acknowledge the following individuals and organisations who commented on, provided resources, or otherwise made significant contributions to the first edition:

Wayne Barratt Rhodia
Andy Brazier AB Risk Ltd.

Allan Greensmith Total Lindsey Oil Refinery
Jamie Henderson Human Reliability Associates

Chris Venn Chevron

BP LPG

BP Chemicals Limited Hull Site ConocoPhillips Humber Refinery Human Reliability Associates

Affiliations are correct at the time of contribution.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

There is widespread awareness in the energy industry that human failures whilst performing SCTs have contributed to major accidents, such as Macondo, Piper Alpha, Chernobyl and Texas City. The proactive identification and analysis of such SCTs has improved in recent years reflecting increased awareness and acceptance of the value of looking at such activities in detail, using the SCTA process. This growth is due to: significant uptake of the first edition of this guidance; the recognition that purely technical approaches to safety have their limitations, and through ongoing regulatory support.

1.2 WHAT IS SAFETY CRITICAL TASK ANALYSIS?

Task analysis can be simply defined as the study of what a person is required to do, in terms of actions and mental processes, to achieve a goal (Kirwan and Ainsworth, *A guide to task analysis*). It involves describing how a task is done, often through a series of smaller subtasks. SCTA focuses on how tasks that are critical to major accident risk are performed. The following is a definition of an SCT:

- An SCT is a task where human factors could cause, or contribute to, a major accident¹, or fail to reduce the effect of one, including during:
 - operational tasks;
 - prevention and detection;
 - control and mitigation, and
 - emergency response.

Using these headings, the following show illustrative SCTs identified by practitioners:

- Operational tasks:
 - loading liquid petroleum gas (LPG) from bulk storage to road tanker;
 - sampling of hazardous substances, and
 - blinding/de-blinding of piping and equipment.
- Prevention and detection:
 - test level trips, and
 - override or suppress safety function (e.g. inhibit fire or gas detectors).
- Control and mitigation:
 - pressure safety valve (PSV) inspection and testing, and
 - firewater pump inspection and testing.
- Emergency response:
 - deploy active firefighting equipment (to fight fire), and
 - launching a lifeboat.

¹ Control of Major Accident Hazards (COMAH) Regulations: 'major accident' means an occurrence such as a major emission, fire, or explosion resulting from uncontrolled developments in the course of the operation of any establishment to which these regulations apply, and leading to serious danger to human health or the environment (whether immediate or delayed) inside or outside the establishment, and involving one or more dangerous substances (COMAH Regulations 2015)