

El Research Report

Guidelines for the investigation of relationship between water content in biodiesels and microbial growth and contamination

RESEARCH REPORT: GUIDELINES FOR THE INVESTIGATION OF
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WATER CONTENT IN BIODIESELS AND
MICROBIAL GROWTH AND CONTAMINATION

1st edition

June 2020

Published by
Energy Institute, London

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003
Registered charity number 1097899

The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 23 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 200 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit <http://www.energyinst.org>

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies:

ADNOC	Phillips 66
BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd	Qatar Petroleum
BP Oil UK Ltd	Repsol Sinopec
Chevron North Sea Ltd	RWE npower
Chevron Products Company	Saudi Aramco
Chrysaor	Scottish Power
CLH	SGS
ConocoPhillips Ltd	Shell UK Oil Products Limited
DCC Energy	Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd
Drax Group	Siemens Gamesa Renewables
EDF Energy	Spirit Energy
EDPR	SSE
ENI	TAQA Bratani
E.ON UK	Total E&P UK Limited
Equinor	Total UK Limited
ExxonMobil International Ltd	Tullow Oil
Innogy	Uniper
Ithaca Energy	Valero
Intertek	Vattenfall
Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd	Vitol Energy
Nexen CNOOC	Woodside
Neptune Energy	World Fuel Services
Ørsted	

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2020 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 198 4

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, <https://publishing.energyinst.org>.

Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies.

For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.

e: pubs@energyinst.org

CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword	8
Acknowledgements	9
1 Introduction	10
1.1 Technical background	10
1.2 Scope	11
2 Test methods	12
2.1 Base fuel types	12
2.2 Pre-study testing of base fuels	12
2.2.1 Background microbiological contamination	12
2.2.2 Screening B100 for biocidal activity	13
2.3 Preparation and dispensing of fuel blends	13
2.4 Preparation of inoculum	14
2.4.1 Test microorganism maintenance	14
2.4.2 Preparation of inoculated aqueous phase for addition to microcosms. . . .	14
2.4.3 Assay of inoculated aqueous phase	15
2.5 Preparation of microcosms	15
2.5.1 Diesel/water combinations	15
2.5.2 Acclimatisation of fuels in environmental chamber	15
2.5.3 Assessment of initial water content for fuels and addition of aqueous phase to microcosms	16
2.6 Incubation and assessment of microcosms during Part 1 study.	16
2.7 Procedure for sampling	17
2.8 Assessment of test microcosms during Part 1 study	17
2.8.1 Visual assessment.	17
2.8.2 Photography	17
2.8.3 Total viable count in fuel phase by IP 613: <i>Determination of the viable aerobic microbial content of fuels and associated water – Thixotropic gel culture method.</i>	17
2.8.4 Water content in fuel phase by IP 438: <i>Petroleum products – Determination of water – Coulometric Karl Fischer titration method.</i>	18
2.8.5 Discrimination between free and dissolved water in fuel phase by IP 438 on week 14	18
2.8.6 Total viable bacteria, yeasts and moulds in aqueous phase at week 14. . .	18
2.9 Settling study, Part 2	19
2.10 Additional assessments at end of investigation	19
2.10.1 Filterable particulates by modified IP 415: Determination of particulate content of middle distillate fuels – Laboratory filtration method	19
2.10.2 Filter blocking tendency by IP 387: Determination of filter blocking tendency	20
2.10.3 Total acid number by IP 139: <i>Petroleum products and lubricants – Determination of acid or base number – Colour-indicator titration method.</i>	20

Contents continued

	Page
3 Results	21
3.1 Visual assessment of microcosms	21
3.1.1 Fuel phase	21
3.1.2 Aqueous phase	21
3.2 Total viable counts in microcosms	22
3.2.1 Total viable count of fuel phase in microcosms by IP 613: <i>Determination of the viable aerobic microbial content of fuels and associated water – Thixotropic gel culture method</i>	22
3.2.2 Total viable count of aqueous phase in selected microcosms at end of Part 1 study	23
3.3 Water content in microcosms	27
3.3.1 Water contents in fuel phase layers during study	27
3.3.2 Dissolved versus free water content in fuel phase at week 14	28
3.4 Settling study, Part 2	31
3.4.1 Settling of viable microorganisms	31
3.4.2 Settling of water	32
3.5 Additional assessments at end of investigation	35
3.5.1 Modified IP 415: <i>Determination of particulate content of middle distillate fuels – Laboratory filtration method, assay</i>	35
3.5.2 Total acid number by IP 139: <i>Petroleum products and lubricants – Determination of acid or base number – Colour-indicator titration method</i>	36
3.5.3 Filter blocking tendency by IP 387: <i>Determination of filter blocking tendency</i>	36
4 Discussion	39
4.1 Overview of key findings	39
4.2 Implication of study findings for best practices in handling biodiesel blends	40
4.2.1 Control of water	40
4.2.2 Settling of product	40
4.2.3 Testing	41
4.2.4 Tank cleaning	41
5 Summary	42
Annexes	
Annex A Test microorganisms	43
Annex B Visual assessment and photography of test microcosms	45
Annex C Assay data	80
Annex D Environmental data	93
Annex E Acronyms/abbreviations	95
Annex F References	96

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

	Page
Figures	
Figure 1 Sampling positions (upper, middle, lower and dead bottom) used during the investigation	13
Figure 2 Determination of Total Viable Count (TVC) in fuel phase by IP 613 at each sampling level (upper, middle, bottom and dead bottom) for inoculated B0 microcosms during course of the Part 1 study.	24
Figure 3 Determination of Total Viable Count (TVC) in fuel phase by IP 613 at each sampling level (upper, middle, bottom and dead bottom) for inoculated B10 microcosms during course of the Part 1 study.	25
Figure 4 Determination of Total Viable Count (TVC) in fuel phase by IP 613 at each sampling level (upper, middle, bottom and dead bottom) for inoculated B20 microcosms during course of the Part 1 study.	26
Figure 5 TVC of bacteria, yeasts and moulds in aqueous phase of microcosms containing 1 000 and 10 000 ppm total water.	27
Figure 6 Summary of water contents determined at each fuel level in all microcosms at the end of the Part 1 study.	28
Figure 7 Comparison of combined and free water content (calculated by difference of total and dissolved water content) for each fuel layer in microcosms at week 14.	30
Figure 8 Determination of total viable microorganisms by IP 613 test method for (a) B10 and (b) B20 microcosms and water content by IP 438 for (c) B10 and (d) B20 microcosms during settling study.	33
Figure 9 Settling study – determination of settling microorganisms in 400 ppm inoculated microcosms for (a), B10 and (b), B20 fuel blends by IP 613 test method.	34
Figure 10 Particulate collected by filtration of inoculated B0, B10 and B20 microcosms with 100 ppm, 400 ppm, 1 000 ppm and 10 000 ppm total water.	35
Figure 11 Total acid number of fuel by IP 139 method	37
Figure 12 Filter blocking tendency of fuel by IP 387 (Procedure A).	38
Figure B.1 Visual appearance of B0 microcosm containing 100 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/01).	49
Figure B.2 Visual appearance of B0 microcosm containing 400 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/02).	50
Figure B.3 Visual appearance of B0 microcosm containing 1 000 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/03).	51
Figure B.4 Visual appearance of B0 microcosm containing 10 000 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/04).	52
Figure B.5 Visual appearance of B0 microcosm containing 100 ppm total water – uninoculated (18499/05).	53
Figure B.6 Visual appearance of B0 microcosm containing 10 000 ppm total water – uninoculated (18499/06).	54
Figure B.7 Visual appearance of B10 microcosm containing 100 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/07).	58
Figure B.8 Visual appearance of B10 microcosm containing 400 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/08).	59
Figure B.9 Visual appearance of B10 microcosm containing 1 000 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/09).	60
Figure B.10 Visual appearance of B10 microcosm containing 10 000 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/10).	61

List of figures and tables continued

	Page
Figure B.11 Visual appearance of B10 microcosm containing 100 ppm total water – uninoculated (18499/11)	62
Figure B.12 Visual appearance of B10 microcosm containing 10 000 ppm total water – uninoculated (18499/12)	63
Figure B.13 Visual appearance of B20 microcosm containing 100 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/13)	68
Figure B.14 Visual appearance of B20 microcosm containing 400 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/14)	69
Figure B.15 Visual appearance of B20 microcosm containing 1 000 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/15)	70
Figure B.16 Visual appearance of B20 microcosm containing 10 000 ppm total water – inoculated (18499/16)	71
Figure B.17 Visual appearance of B20 microcosm containing 100 ppm total water – uninoculated (18499/17)	72
Figure B.18 Visual appearance of B20 microcosm containing 10 000 ppm total water – uninoculated (18499/18)	73
Figure B.19 Filters showing particulate filtered from bottom of inoculated B0 microcosms (18499/01 to 18499/04)	74
Figure B.20 Filters showing particulate filtered from bottom of uninoculated B0 microcosms (18499/05 and /06)	75
Figure B.21 Filters showing particulate filtered from bottom of inoculated B10 microcosms (18499/07 to 18499/10)	76
Figure B.22 Filters showing particulate filtered from bottom of uninoculated B10 microcosms (18499/11 and 18499/12)	77
Figure B.23 Filters showing particulate filtered from bottom of inoculated B20 microcosms (18499/13 to 18499/15)	78
Figure B.24 Filters showing particulate filtered from bottom of uninoculated B20 microcosms (18499/17 and 18499/18)	79
Figure C.1 Determination of water content in fuel phase by IP 438 at each sampling level (upper, middle, lower and dead bottom) for B0 microcosms during course of the Part 1 study.	87
Figure C.2 Determination of water content in fuel phase by IP 438 at each sampling level (upper, middle, lower and dead bottom) for B10 microcosms during course of the Part 1 study.	88
Figure C.3 Determination of water content in fuel phase by IP 438 at each sampling level (upper, middle, lower and dead bottom) for B20 microcosms during course of the Part 1 study.	89
Figure D.1 Plot of temperature (°C) and RH (%) determined in the environmental chamber during the 14-week study	94

Tables

Table 1	Combinations of fuels and water concentrations investigated during the study, with either an inoculated or uninoculated aqueous phase.	15
Table 2	Calculation of theoretical settling rates for various sizes of microbial particles in B0, B10 and B20.	32
Table A.1	Bacteria in inoculum	43
Table A.2	Yeasts in inoculum	44
Table A.3	Moulds in inoculum.	44

List of figures and tables continued

	Page
Table B.1	Visual assessment of B0 microcosms (18499/01 to 18499/06) – fuel phase 46
Table B.2	Visual assessment of B0 microcosms (18499/01 to 18499/06) – aqueous phase. . . . 47
Table B.3	Visual assessment of B10 microcosms (18499/07 to 18499/12) – fuel phase 55
Table B.4	Visual assessment of B10 microcosms (18499/07 to 18499/12) – aqueous phase. . . . 56
Table B.5	Visual assessment of B20 microcosms (18499/13 to 18499/18) – fuel phase 64
Table B.6	Visual assessment of B20 microcosms (18499/13 to 18499/18) – aqueous phase. . . . 66
Table C.1	TVC of bacteria, yeasts and moulds in test fuels by IP 385 test method and TVC of total microorganisms in test fuels by IP 613 test method. 81
Table C.2	TVC of bacteria, yeasts and moulds in mixed inoculum used as aqueous phase on commencement of the study (CFU/mL) 81
Table C.3	Acclimatisation of microcosms to temperature (21 °C) and RH (70 %), Determination of total water content of fuel after 72 h and calculation of the required volume of water to be added to each microcosm 82
Table C.4	TVC of microorganisms in fuel phase by IP 613 and water content (by IP 438) for B0 microcosms at each sampling height. 83
Table C.5	TVC of microorganisms in fuel phase by IP 613 and water content (by IP 438) for B10 microcosms at each sampling height. 84
Table C.6	TVC of microorganisms in fuel phase by IP 613 and water content (by IP 438) for B20 microcosms at each sampling height. 85
Table C.7	TVC of microorganisms in selected aqueous phases of inoculated microcosms by serial dilution 86
Table C.8	TVC of microorganisms in fuel phase of B10 400 ppm inoculated microcosms by IP 613 and water content (by IP 438) during settling study 90
Table C.9	TVC of microorganisms in fuel phase of B20 400 ppm inoculated microcosms by IP 613 and water content (by IP 438) during settling study 91
Table C.10	Calculated settling rates of total viable microorganisms from bulk fuel (lower layer) in B10 and B20 microcosms (inoculated; containing 400 ppm Total Water) during settling study. 92
Table C.11	Dry weight of particulate recovered from bottom of microcosms (water, interface and fuel) by modified IP 415, compared to acid number (by IP 139) and FBT of fuel (by IP 387, Procedure A) 92
Table D.1	Temperature (°C) and RH (%) determined in the environmental chamber during the 14-week study 93

FOREWORD

EN 590. *Automotive fuels. Diesel. Requirements and test methods* specification currently allows for blending of up to 7 % (v/v) of fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) into standard automotive diesel fuel. Some vehicle fleet operators also use biodiesel blends containing significantly higher FAME concentrations. Fuel users have reported operational problems such as filter plugging and corrosion of fuel tanks as a result of microbiological growth and contamination of diesel fuel systems.

The Energy Institute (EI) *Research report: Investigation of microbiological susceptibility of biodiesel and biodiesel blends* concluded that FAME at concentrations of 2 % and above increased the susceptibility of fuel to microbial growth, most notably for fungal growth. As FAME has an increased propensity to hold water (both as dissolved water and as free water dispersed as microdroplets), there is an increased potential for microbial contamination of bulk fuel in tanks.

Following on the findings of EI *Research report: Investigation of microbiological susceptibility of biodiesel and biodiesel blends*, the EI Microbiology Committee commissioned further research on the topic. This publication reports on the second phase of the laboratory research undertaken to investigate the influence of water content on the extent of microbiological contamination in hydrocarbon diesel (B0) and two biodiesel blends (B10 and B20). The investigation consisted of two parts: the principal part of the study investigated the relationship between microbiological contamination and water content (100, 400, 1 000 and 10 000 ppm total water) in laboratory microcosms for each fuel, which simulated fuel stored in tanks. The microcosms were held under defined conditions of temperature and humidity over a 14-week test period. For the second part, the settling time for water and microorganisms in microcosms containing B10 and B20 at 400 ppm total water content was investigated. For both parts of the investigation, the vertical profile of microbiological contamination and water content was determined by analysing sub-samples drawn from four distinct depths of fuel in each microcosm.

For all fuels, increase in the total water content of microcosms resulted in an increase in the amount of microbial biomass in aqueous phase; this increase in biomass was most noticeable on increasing the total water content from 100–400 ppm. Contrary to expectations, at the end of the 14-week study microbiological contamination was not detected in bulk fuel layers for the biodiesel blends, whereas for B0 some contamination was detected in bulk fuel. The water content of bulk fuel was observed to increase with increasing FAME concentration; this increase was found to be predominantly due to dissolved water, not free water. The settling rate of microorganisms in fuel was found to decrease considerably from B10 to B20.

This publication presents the results of the laboratory study. While every reasonable route has been taken to ensure the accuracy of its contents, the EI cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken, on the basis of this information. The EI shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage which may arise from the use of any of the information contained in any of its publications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was commissioned by the EI's Microbiology Committee, chaired by Joan Kelly (Conidia Bioscience Ltd.) and formerly chaired by Elaine McFarlane (Shell).

The work was carried out by Graham Hill, Gareth Williams and Leon O'Malley from ECHA Microbiology and steered by members of the Microbiology Committee, who during the project included:

Brian Crook	HSE
Carol Devine	NECE
Clara Di Iorio	ENI
Bob Eden	Rawwater
Alex Few	ExxonMobil
Graham Hill	ECHA Microbiology
Richard Johnson	Oil plus
Joan Kelley (Chair)	Conidia Bioscience Ltd
Bart Lomans	Shell
Alex McFarlane	Conidia Bioscience Ltd
Elaine McFarlane (Former Chair)	Shell
Leon O'Malley	ECHA Microbiology
Fred Passman	Biodeterioration Control Associates
Andrew Ryan	Intertek
Peter Sanders	Oil Plus
Uwe Schabla (Vice-Chair)	Shell
Torben Lund Skovhus	VIA University Collage
Jim Stott	Intertek
Geert Van der Kraan	Dupont
Leanne Walker	Rawwater
Neil Whitehead	Minton, Treharne & Davies
Gareth Williams	ECHA Microbiology

The EI wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the authors and also its gratitude for the valuable contributions made by the Microbiology Committee during the course of the project.

The EI would like to thank Ramya Venkataraman of ExxonMobil for supplying the base fuel used to prepare blends and FAME used during the investigation. The EI would also like to express its gratitude to Minton, Treharne & Davies for carrying out the IP 387, IP 139 and Infrared analysis of the settled precipitate at their facilities in Cardiff allowing the completion of this research report.

The project coordination and technical editing were carried out by Kerry Sinclair (EI) and Marcela Betancur-Diaz (EI).

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

European Union (EU) regulations mandating the use of fuels from renewable sources for road transport have seen increasingly wide use of FAME in automotive diesels. EN 590 now enables up to 7 % (v/v) FAME to be blended into standard automotive diesel fuel and some vehicle fleet operators use blends containing significantly higher FAME concentrations. Sporadic serious operational and fuel quality problems due to microbiological contamination have been experienced by some retailers and vehicle operators (e.g. filter clogging, poor fuel stability, tank gauging malfunction and rapid corrosion of fuel tanks). In many cases, these problems have led to a requirement for more rigorous maintenance practices when storing, handling and using fuels containing FAME, in particular improved controls over the ingress and accumulation of water.

It is well documented that the presence of free water in fuels is the main factor influencing the extent of microbial growth in fuel tanks and systems. However, less is known about the correlation between levels of water contamination, as measured in representative fuel samples, and levels of microbial growth and contamination. Additionally, there has been little understanding of how the propensity of biodiesel blends to hold water influences the ability of microbial contamination to grow and disperse in the bulk fuel phase.

In conventional fuels, microbial growth is usually restricted to areas of free water accumulation in tank bottoms or surface condensate films where it forms slimes, known as biofilm, when adhered to surfaces. Any microbial contamination detected in the bulk fuel phase is usually a consequence of physical disturbance of this growth, and consequent dispersion of microbial contamination (e.g. by turbulence when a tank is filled). The microbial biomass breaks up to form a freely suspended particulate contamination, but with time, this contamination will usually settle out.

Conversely, biodiesel blends may hold water, both as dissolved water and as free water dispersed as microdroplets. This may increase the ability of microbial growth to foul and contaminate bulk fuel in storage tanks and ultimately impact on fuel quality. If free water is held in suspension in fuel, microbial growth may be possible even when water is no longer detected by routine dipping. In addition, it may be more difficult to mitigate contamination by conventional routine housekeeping practices, as it will be more difficult to remove water by tank draining as the water and microbial contamination may not settle out as readily.

Industry experience suggests that diesel fuels containing FAME can have an increased susceptibility to microbial growth, as stated in the IASH conference paper *Strategies for resolving problems caused by microbial growth in terminals and retail sites handling biodiesels*. The EI's review paper *Implications of biofuels on microbial spoilage and corrosion within the fuel distribution chain and end use*, highlighted the need for further research. The EI also issued a technical bulletin *Microbial growth in diesels and other fuels containing fatty acid methyl esters (FAME)*, which discusses the implications of FAME on microbial growth and provides provisional recommendations for the maintenance of fuel handling facilities.

The technical bulletin expands on the EI *Guidelines for the investigation of the microbial content of petroleum fuels and for the implementation of avoidance and remedial strategies* and *Research report: Investigation of microbiological susceptibility of biodiesel and biodiesel blends*, which demonstrate that a number of factors unique to biofuels are pertinent to

the increase in operational problems; therefore, this research report describes a second phase of laboratory research to establish a better understanding of the relationship between microbiological contamination in biodiesel blends and water content.

1.2 SCOPE

In order to investigate different aspects of the relationship between water content and microbiological contamination in biodiesel blends, the research was split into two parts:

- Part 1 (the main part of the study): investigated the relationship between microbiological contamination and water content (in both inoculated and uninoculated microcosms) for three fuels (B0, B10 and B20) held under defined conditions of temperature and humidity over a 14-week test period. The microcosms simulated diesel stored in tanks on a small laboratory scale. The vertical distribution of microbiological contamination and water content was determined by analysis of sub-samples drawn from four distinct depths (representing upper, middle, lower and bottom layers) in each microcosm over this time.
- Part 2 (conducted at the end of the 14-week period): two microcosms were chosen for a shorter study to investigate the influence of settling time on the vertical distribution of microbial contamination and water within the fuel layers. In this second part, microbial contamination present in the bottom layer was disturbed into the bulk fuel; the settling of microorganisms and water was monitored by analysis of sub-samples drawn from each depth over a 48-hour period.

Key findings from this investigation may assist in developing good practice guidelines for the handling and storage of biodiesel. Fuel suppliers have an obligation to implement effective control measures at fuel terminals, which ensure the quality and fitness-for-purpose of fuel. This publication should assist industry in gaining a better understanding on how measured values of water content in fuel could influence microbial growth and contamination in the downstream infrastructure. In addition, the settling study provides information on settling parameters for microbiological contamination in biodiesel, which can be used to form a basis for estimating the effectiveness of routine product settling practices.

This publication provides details on the influence of:

- FAME concentration on the vertical distribution and concentration of water in fuel;
- FAME concentration on the vertical distribution and extent of microbial contamination in fuel;
- total water content on the vertical distribution and extent of microbial contamination in fuel, and
- settling time on the vertical distribution of microbiological contamination and water content in fuel.