

# Guidelines for the integrity management of offshore platform conductors

# GUIDELINES FOR THE INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT OF OFFSHORE PLATFORM CONDUCTORS

First edition

March 2021

Published by  
**Energy Institute, London**

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003  
Registered charity number 1097899

The Energy Institute (EI) is the chartered professional membership body for the energy industry, supporting over 23 000 individuals working in or studying energy and 200 energy companies worldwide. The EI provides learning and networking opportunities to support professional development, as well as professional recognition and technical and scientific knowledge resources on energy in all its forms and applications.

The EI's purpose is to develop and disseminate knowledge, skills and good practice towards a safe, secure and sustainable energy system. In fulfilling this mission, the EI addresses the depth and breadth of the energy sector, from fuels and fuels distribution to health and safety, sustainability and the environment. It also informs policy by providing a platform for debate and scientifically-sound information on energy issues.

The EI is licensed by:

- the Engineering Council to award Chartered, Incorporated and Engineering Technician status, and
- the Society for the Environment to award Chartered Environmentalist status.

It also offers its own Chartered Energy Engineer, Chartered Petroleum Engineer, and Chartered Energy Manager titles.

A registered charity, the EI serves society with independence, professionalism and a wealth of expertise in all energy matters.

This publication has been produced as a result of work carried out within the Technical Team of the EI, funded by the EI's Technical Partners. The EI's Technical Work Programme provides industry with cost-effective, value-adding knowledge on key current and future issues affecting those operating in the energy sector, both in the UK and internationally.

For further information, please visit <http://www.energyinst.org>

The EI gratefully acknowledges the financial contributions towards the scientific and technical programme from the following companies:

ADNOC	Phillips 66
BP Exploration Operating Co Ltd	Prax
BP Oil UK Ltd	Qatar Petroleum
Chevron North Sea Ltd	Repsol Sinopec
Chevron Products Company	RWE npower
Chrysaor	Saudi Aramco
CLH	Scottish Power
CNOOC	SGS
ConocoPhillips Ltd	Shell UK Oil Products Limited
DCC Energy	Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd
Drax Group	Siemens Gamesa Renewables
EDF Energy	Spirit Energy
EDPR	SSE
ENI	TAQA Bratani
E.ON UK	Total E&P UK Limited
Equinor	Total UK Limited
ExxonMobil International Ltd	Uniper
Innogy	Valero
Ithaca Energy	Vattenfall
Intertek	Vitol Energy
Kuwait Petroleum International Ltd	Woodside
Neptune Energy	World Fuel Services
Ørsted	

However, it should be noted that the above organisations have not all been directly involved in the development of this publication, nor do they necessarily endorse its content.

Copyright © 2021 by the Energy Institute, London.

The Energy Institute is a professional membership body incorporated by Royal Charter 2003.

Registered charity number 1097899, England

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced by any means, or transmitted or translated into a machine language without the written permission of the publisher.

ISBN 978 1 78725 240 0

Published by the Energy Institute

The information contained in this publication is provided for general information purposes only. Whilst the Energy Institute and the contributors have applied reasonable care in developing this publication, no representations or warranties, express or implied, are made by the Energy Institute or any of the contributors concerning the applicability, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein and the Energy Institute and the contributors accept no responsibility whatsoever for the use of this information. Neither the Energy Institute nor any of the contributors shall be liable in any way for any liability, loss, cost or damage incurred as a result of the receipt or use of the information contained herein.

Hard copy and electronic access to EI and IP publications is available via our website, <https://publishing.energyinst.org>.

Documents can be purchased online as downloadable pdfs or on an annual subscription for single users and companies.

For more information, contact the EI Publications Team.

e: [pubs@energyinst.org](mailto:pubs@energyinst.org)

## CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>8</b>
1.1 Background .....	8
1.2 Scope .....	8
<b>2 Integrity management throughout the life of the well</b> .....	<b>9</b>
2.1 Design .....	10
2.2 Construction .....	11
2.3 Operations .....	11
<b>3 Typical well configurations</b> .....	<b>13</b>
3.1 Common well architecture/conductor designs .....	13
3.2 Critical interfaces .....	16
3.2.1 Drilling/installation .....	16
3.2.2 Production .....	17
3.2.3 Foundations and geotechnical considerations .....	17
3.2.4 Cementing .....	18
3.2.5 Tieback misalignment .....	18
3.3 Conductor as an environmental barrier .....	20
3.4 Conductor connectors .....	20
<b>4 Summary of well integrity issues</b> .....	<b>22</b>
4.1 Structural fatigue of conductor, connectors and flowlines .....	22
4.1.1 Wave-induced fatigue and VIV fatigue .....	22
4.1.2 Loss of centralisation .....	23
4.1.3 Welded attachments .....	27
4.2 Corrosion (both external and internal) of conductors, especially crevice corrosion .....	27
4.2.1 Splash zone corrosion .....	28
4.3 Settlement .....	28
4.4 Cut-outs and holes .....	30
4.5 Consideration of operational loads .....	30
4.6 Operational history .....	31
4.7 Connection failure .....	31
4.8 Casing centralisers/abrasion/slippage .....	32
4.9 Impact/abrasion damage .....	32
4.10 Misalignment .....	33
4.11 Scouring .....	33
4.12 Mechanical damage .....	33
<b>5 Method of assessment to establish risk</b> .....	<b>34</b>
5.1 Risk-based inspection (RBI) .....	35
5.2 Prioritisation of inspection .....	36
5.3 Grouping of conductors to simplify assessment .....	36
5.4 Minimum requirements to comply with safety case .....	37

**Contents continued**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>6 Inspection and monitoring techniques</b>	<b>38</b>
6.1 Practicalities and restrictions	38
6.2 External visual inspection/conductor rotation	38
6.3 Internal via PEC tool/NDT	39
6.4 External/internal inspections	39
6.5 Monitoring – wellhead movement	40
6.6 Wellhead or conductor response monitoring	41
6.6.1 Motion monitoring	42
6.6.2 Load monitoring	42
6.6.3 Frequency monitoring	43
6.6.4 Benefits and limitations of monitoring	43
6.7 Well casing loads measurement	46
6.8 Marine growth inspection	46
6.9 Calibration of analysis models against recorded response	47
<b>7 Advanced engineering analysis</b>	<b>48</b>
7.1 Multistring analysis (complex, multidisciplinary) – including VIV	49
7.2 Design codes	50
7.3 Assessing degradation	51
7.3.1 Crack propagation analysis	51
7.4 Operational parameters to record	52
<b>8 Remediation options</b>	<b>53</b>
8.1 Repair clamps and sleeves	53
8.2 Annulus grouting	55
8.3 Cement top-up	58
8.4 VIV suppression	58
8.5 Marine growth removal	59
8.6 Shimming	59
8.7 Retrofit centralisers	60
8.8 Practical considerations	61
8.9 Temporary restraint	61
8.10 Coating repairs	62
<b>9 End of well life considerations</b>	<b>63</b>
9.1 Slot recovery replacement	65
9.1.1 Slot recovery	65
9.1.2 Slot replacement	66
<b>Annexes</b>	
<b>Annex B References</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>Annex B Abbreviations and acronyms</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>Annex C Conductor structural integrity ownership flowchart</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>Annex D Required input data</b>	<b>71</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLE

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Figures</b>	
Figure 1 Design stage flow . . . . .	10
Figure 2 Construction stage flow . . . . .	11
Figure 3 Operational stage flow . . . . .	12
Figure 4 A typical platform drilled well configuration. . . . .	14
Figure 5 Tieback well . . . . .	15
Figure 6 Jack-up drilling over platform . . . . .	17
Figure 7 Example bending moment profile along a tieback conductor with various tieback misalignments . . . . .	19
Figure 8 Notation for positive and negative offset and misalignment. . . . .	20
Figure 9 Centraliser diagram . . . . .	23
Figure 10 Example of loss of centralisation . . . . .	25
Figure 11 Fatigue life profile with varying radial clearances . . . . .	26
Figure 12 Example of conductor corrosion ( <i>Shallow water well conductor life extension strategy</i> ) . . . . .	28
Figure 13 Wellcat output and effect of slumping on the connector capacity . . . . .	29
Figure 14 Risk assessment process for creating risk-based IM plan. . . . .	35
Figure 15 Stress along conductor for different preload groups . . . . .	37
Figure 16 Example of conductor clamp repair . . . . .	55
<b>Table</b>	
Table 1 Summary of benefits and limitations . . . . .	45

## FOREWORD

The intention of this publication is to provide guidance to address structural integrity management of offshore platform conductors, to facilitate good practice regarding the design, operation and integrity management of the wells during their lifetime.

This document focuses on wells located within the North Sea; however, the response of these systems and the key considerations explained herein apply to wells globally. These guidelines apply to shallow water platform conductors with surface wellheads. The focus of this guidance is on the integrity management of untensioned systems, and the behaviour exhibited by conductors under significant levels of compressive load, including instability and reduced fatigue performance relative to a tensioned system.

Although it is anticipated that following this publication will assist those involved in structural integrity management of ageing offshore platform well conductors, the information contained in this publication is provided as guidance only. While every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of its contents, the Energy Institute (EI) and the technical representatives listed in the acknowledgements, cannot accept any responsibility for any action taken, or not taken, on the basis of this information. The EI shall not be liable to any person for any loss or damage which may arise from the use of any of the information contained in any of its publications.

The above disclaimer is not intended to restrict or exclude liability for death or personal injury caused by own negligence.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Technical Department, Energy Institute, 61 New Cavendish Street, London, W1G 7AR.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The EI wishes to record its appreciation of the work carried out by the following individuals over the project duration:

Ageing and Life Extension Committee (ALECOM) members

Nick Bentley	Chrysaor
Hugh Borland	BP
Linda Bremner	Spirit Energy
David Brett	Ithaca
Emmanuel Fakas	Shell
Ramsay Fraser	BP
Stuart Gosling (Deputy Chair)	CNOOC
Aaron Hasnip	Repsol Sinopec
Manolo Koolman	Aramco Overseas
Ian Manclark	Equinor
Donald McKinnon	Ithaca
Iryna Mykhailova	Ithaca
Farzan Parsinejad	Chevron
Kenny Robertson	TAQA
Don Smith	ENI
Steve Temple (Chair)	Total

In addition, thanks to the following ALECOM Observer members of ALECOM for comment, feedback and review:

John Kent	Worley Parsons
Mohammad Nabavian	Wood
Jim Saunderson	Apache
Alex Stacey	HSE
Fergus Stewart	Wood
Paul Tallett	Atkins Energy
Prof John Wintle	TWI

Technical drafting and editing were undertaken by Elaine Whiteley (2H Offshore Engineering) with contributions from the drafting consortium of Acteon companies (2H Offshore Engineering, Clarus Subsea Integrity, Claxton Engineering, Core Grouting Solutions and Pulse Structural Monitoring:

Alisa Broussard	Clarus Subsea Integrity
Sebastien Plouzennec	Pulse Structural Monitoring
Stephen Rourke	Core Grouting Solutions
Ann Vicens	Claxton Engineering
Phil Ward	2H Offshore Engineering

This first edition guidance was project managed by Dr Cameron Stewart (EI), Upstream Technical Manager



# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 BACKGROUND

Platform conductors provide a safety-critical function in offshore hydrocarbon wells, in that they protect the surface casing string from environmental loading and, for some wells, provide structural support to the wellhead. Consequently, failure of a conductor can result in a significant integrity threat to the installation.

With the average age of North Sea platforms now exceeding 30 years, the frequency of integrity-related issues in conductors is increasing. The root causes of these issues are primarily time degradation mechanisms, including wall thickness loss due to corrosion, fatigue failures, and the loss of centralisation from ageing centralisers and platform guides.

Managing the integrity of a platform conductor requires a holistic approach, due to the interdependent relationship between the conductor, the internal well casings, and the platform structure. The importance of the well and the platform structure needs to be considered not only during the design of the system but throughout its operational life, as changes to the well throughout its lifetime can have a significant effect on the response of the conductor.

## 1.2 SCOPE

The aim of these guidelines is to explain key aspects relating to structural integrity management of offshore platform conductor wells in the North Sea, and to advise on best practice regarding the design, operation, and integrity management of the wells during their lifetime.

This document focuses on wells located within the North Sea; however, the response of these systems and the key considerations explained herein apply to wells globally. It should be noted, however, that depending on the location, additional considerations may apply. For example, in the Middle East, rates of corrosion may be faster due to elevated temperatures, and for wells located extremely far North, icing of the platform may occur. Such regional considerations are outside the scope of this document.

These guidelines apply to shallow water platform conductors with surface wellheads. Subsea well systems utilising high pressure risers are not included within the scope of this document. The focus of this guidance note is on conductors which are not supported by tension, neither pull-up nor push-up systems. Conductors under applied tension exhibit superior performance than those in which tension is not applied. This document focuses on the integrity management of untensioned systems and the behaviour exhibited by conductors under significant levels of compressive load, including instability and reduced fatigue performance relative to a tensioned system. Although the document does not cover wells with externally applied tension, the document does cover the case where the conductor may be in tension as a result of thermal loading due to high well bore temperatures.

The scope of this document is limited to single well conductors. Production platforms may have one or more single well conductors. Splitter wells are outside the scope of this document.